Dr. Markus Huggenberger

FIN 660 Quantitatives Risikomanagement | Lecture - Tue, B3 (7530a|HS2018)
Erfasste Fragebögen = 26

Auswertungsteil der geschlossenen Fragen

Legende

Fragetext



n=Anzahl
mw=Mittelwert
md=Median
s=Std.-Abw.
E.=Enthaltung

Personal Data

Course of Study

n=26

BWL	69.2%
BWL i.Q.	0%
VWL	7.7%
Wifo	0%
Wi.-Päd.	0%
Philologie/BaKuWi/MaKuWi	0%
Untern.-Jur.	0%
Other	23.1%

Targeted degree:

n=25

Bachelor	0%
Master	100%
Diplom	0%
Magister	0%
PhD	0%
Other	0%

Semester (only for your actual course of study):

n=25

1.	40%
3.	40%
5.	20%

I attended the course regularly:

n=23

Yes	91.3%
No	8.7%

I am an exchange student:

n=22

Yes	18.2%
No	81.8%

Evaluation of the course

Course objectives were clearly stated.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree n=25 mw=1,32 md=1 s=0,48
Course requirements and criteria for grading were clearly explained.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree n=24 mw=1,25 md=1 s=0,61
The course was well structured.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree n=25 mw=1,12 md=1 s=0,33
The choice of topics was explained by the instructor.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree n=24 mw=1,33 md=1 s=0,56
The choice appeared well-founded to me.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree n=23 mw=1,39 md=1 s=0,58
Dissemination of subject matter was appropriately placed over the semester.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree n=25 mw=1,4 md=1 s=0,65
Course content was presented in a comprehensible manner.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree n=24 mw=1,33 md=1 s=0,56
Instructor illustrated subject matter with examples from the business world and from current research.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree n=25 mw=1,6 md=1 s=0,71
Where appropriate instructor drew parallels to business-related disciplines.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree n=25 mw=1,84 md=2 s=0,69
Instructor incorporated audio-visual media effectively (e. g., blackboard, overhead projector, video, beamer).	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree n=25 mw=1,28 md=1 s=0,46
Visual materials were easy to read and follow.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree n=25 mw=1,24 md=1 s=0,44

Instructor provided the opportunity for questions regarding content.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree n=24 mw=1 md=1 s=0

Answers given by the instructor were helpful in clarifying uncertainties.	Strongly disagree	0% 0% 3,8% 19,2% 76,9%	Strongly agree	n=26 mw=1,27 md=1 s=0,53
Recommended reading materials (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were useful in facilitating understanding of course content.	Strongly disagree	0% 3,8% 7,7% 38,5% 50%	Strongly agree	n=26 mw=1,65 md=1,5 s=0,8
Recommended reading materials (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were readily available.	Strongly disagree	0% 0% 11,5% 3,8% 84,6%	Strongly agree	n=26 mw=1,27 md=1 s=0,67
Instructor's manner of speaking was clear and audible.	Strongly disagree	0% 0% 0% 15,4% 84,6%	Strongly agree	n=26 mw=1,15 md=1 s=0,37
Instructor spoke at an appropriate speed.	Strongly disagree	0% 0% 0% 15,4% 84,6%	Strongly agree	n=26 mw=1,15 md=1 s=0,37
Instructor's lecturing style sustained my attention.	Strongly disagree	0% 3,8% 11,5% 34,6% 50%	Strongly agree	n=26 mw=1,69 md=1,5 s=0,84
Instructor was open to subject-oriented issues.	Strongly disagree	0% 0% 4% 24% 72%	Strongly agree	n=25 mw=1,32 md=1 s=0,56
Instructor was open and friendly towards students.	Strongly disagree	0% 0% 0% 3,8% 96,2%	Strongly agree	n=26 mw=1,04 md=1 s=0,2
Instructor was able to arouse my interest in the subject.	Strongly disagree	0% 4% 12% 28% 56%	Strongly agree	n=25 mw=1,64 md=1 s=0,86

Evaluation of general satisfaction with the course

Overall, I am satisfied with the course.	Strongly disagree	0% 3,8% 0% 38,5% 57,7%	Strongly agree	n=26 mw=1,5 md=1 s=0,71

Evaluation of teaching and learning environment

Prior knowledge and experience helped me master the course content.	Strongly disagree	8% 4% 8% 48% 32%	Strongly agree	n=25 mw=2,08 md=2 s=1,15
Technical equipment (overhead projector, beamer, blackboard, microphone, etc.) was always ready for use and functioned properly).	Strongly disagree	0% 0% 0% 16,7% 83,3%	Strongly agree	n=24 mw=1,17 md=1 s=0,38

Size of the room was adequate for the course.



n=24
mw=1,13
md=1
s=0,34

The room setup (seating, tables, lighting, ventilation, etc.) was satisfactory.



n=25
mw=1,2
md=1
s=0,65

Profillinie

Teilbereich: Fakultät für Betriebswirtschaftslehre
Name der/des Lehrenden: Dr. Markus Huggenberger
Titel der Lehrveranstaltung: (Name der Umfrage) FIN 660 Quantitatives Risikomanagement | Lecture - Tue, B3 (7530a|HS2018)

Verwendete Werte in der Profillinie: Mittelwert

Evaluation of the course



Course objectives were clearly stated.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=25	mw=1,32	md=1,00	s=0,48
Course requirements and criteria for grading were clearly explained.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=24	mw=1,25	md=1,00	s=0,61
The course was well structured.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=25	mw=1,12	md=1,00	s=0,33
The choice of topics was explained by the instructor.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=24	mw=1,33	md=1,00	s=0,56
The choice appeared well-founded to me.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=23	mw=1,39	md=1,00	s=0,58
Dissemination of subject matter was appropriately placed over the semester.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=25	mw=1,40	md=1,00	s=0,65
Course content was presented in a comprehensible manner.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=24	mw=1,33	md=1,00	s=0,56
Instructor illustrated subject matter with examples from the business world and from current research.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=25	mw=1,60	md=1,00	s=0,71
Where appropriate instructor drew parallels to business-related disciplines.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=25	mw=1,84	md=2,00	s=0,69
Instructor incorporated audio-visual media effectively (e.g., blackboard, overhead projector, video, beamer).	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=25	mw=1,28	md=1,00	s=0,46
Visual materials were easy to read and follow.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=25	mw=1,24	md=1,00	s=0,44



Instructor provided the opportunity for questions regarding content.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=24	mw=1,00	md=1,00	s=0,00
Answers given by the instructor were helpful in clarifying uncertainties.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=26	mw=1,27	md=1,00	s=0,53
Recommended reading materials (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were useful in facilitating understanding of course content.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=26	mw=1,65	md=1,50	s=0,80
Recommended reading materials (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were readily available.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=26	mw=1,27	md=1,00	s=0,67
Instructor's manner of speaking was clear and audible.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=26	mw=1,15	md=1,00	s=0,37
Instructor spoke at an appropriate speed.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=26	mw=1,15	md=1,00	s=0,37
Instructor's lecturing style sustained my attention.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=26	mw=1,69	md=1,50	s=0,84
Instructor was open to subject-oriented issues.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=25	mw=1,32	md=1,00	s=0,56
Instructor was open and friendly towards students.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=26	mw=1,04	md=1,00	s=0,20
Instructor was able to arouse my interest in the subject.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=25	mw=1,64	md=1,00	s=0,86

Evaluation of general satisfaction with the course

Overall, I am satisfied with the course.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=26	mw=1,50 md=1,00	s=0,71

Evaluation of teaching and learning environment

Prior knowledge and experience helped me master the course content.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=25	mw=2,08 md=2,00	s=1,15
Technical equipment (overhead projector, beamer, blackboard, microphone, etc.) was always ready for use and functioned properly).	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=24	mw=1,17 md=1,00	s=0,38
Size of the room was adequate for the course.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=24	mw=1,13 md=1,00	s=0,34
The room setup (seating, tables, lighting, ventilation, etc.) was satisfactory.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=25	mw=1,20 md=1,00	s=0,65