Median

Quantil

## PORTAL Fakultät für Betriebswirtschaftslehre



FIN 401 Corporate Finance & Risk Management - Di, B1 - Professor Ernst Maug, Ph.D. (5348238341) Erfasste Fragebögen = 96

### Auswertungsteil der geschlossenen Fragen


Mittelwert

Relative Häufigkeiten der Antworten Std.-Abw.


Legende

Fragetext	Linker Pol 25%  Skala	4	3 2 1 Histogramm	Rechter Pol		n=Anzahl mw=Mittelwert md=Median s=StdAbw. E.=Enthaltung
1. Personal data						
1.1) Course of Study:						
	/L (Business Administratio	a) [			98.9%	n=89
	ith intercultural qualification	_			0%	
(	VWL (Economic				1.1%	
	Wifo (Information System	_			0%	
Wi	Päd. (Business Educatio				0%	
	Language or cultural studie				0%	
	School of La	w			0%	
	Oth	er			0%	
1.2) Targeted degree:	Bachel	or [			100%	n=85
	Mast	er			0%	
	Diplom	na			0%	
	Magist	er			0%	
Ph	D or other doctoral progra	m			0%	
	Oth	er			0%	
1.3) Semester (only for your actual course of study):						
		1. 🛚			1.1%	n=93
		3.			91.4%	
		5. ()			3.2%	
		6. 🛚			1.1%	
		7. ()			2.2%	
	1	0. 🛚			1.1%	
1.4) I attended the course regularly:						
	Ye	es 🗀			100%	n=80
	N	lo			0%	

I am an exchange student: n=78 9% 91% 2. Evaluation of the course <sup>2.1)</sup> Course objectives were clearly stated. n=90 mw=1.8 md=2 s=0.8 Strongly disagree Strongly agree 1 1% 8.8% 16.5% 31.9% Course requirements and criteria for grading were n=91 Strongly disagree Strongly agree mw=2 md=2 s=1 clearly explained. 2.2% 3.3% 37.4% n=91 mw=1.5 md=1 s=0.8 The course was well structured. Strongly disagree Strongly agree 5.7% 20.5% 45.5% The choice of topics was explained by the n=88 mw=2.1 md=2 s=0.9 Strongly disagree Strongly agree instructor. 1.1% 4.4% 10% 52.2% 32.2% The choice appeared well-founded to me. n=90 Strongly disagree Strongly agree mw=1.9 md=2 s=0.8 3.3% 7.7% 40.7% Dissemination of subject matter was appropriately n=91 mw=1.7 md=2 s=0.8 Strongly disagree Strongly agree placed over the semester. 1.1% 1.1% 10.9% 32.6% Course content was presented in a **comprehensible manner**. n=92 Strongly disagree Strongly agree mw=1.6 md=1 s=0.8 2.3% 3.4% 36.4% 5.7% Instructor illustrated subject matter with examples n=88 mw=1.7 md=1 Strongly disagree Strongly agree from the business world and from current research. s=0.9 5 1.1% 46.6% Where appropriate instructor drew **parallels to business-related disciplines**. n=88 Strongly disagree Strongly agree mw=2 md=2 s=0.9 9.9% 40.7% 46.2% <sup>2.10)</sup> Instructor incorporated audio-visual media n=91 Strongly disagree Strongly agree mw=1.7 md=2 s=0.8 effectively (e.g., blackboard, overhead projector, video, beamer). 2.2% 28.1 <sup>2.11)</sup> Visual materials were easy to read and follow. n=89 mw=1.4 md=1 s=0.7 Strongly disagree Strongly agree 5 0% 1.1% 5.6% 23.3% 70% <sup>2.12)</sup> Instructor provided the opportunity for **questions** n=90 mw=1.4 md=1 s=0.6 Strongly disagree Strongly agree regarding content.



Seite 3



# **Profillinie**


Teilbereich: Fakultät für Betriebswirtschaftslehre

Name der/des Lehrenden: PORTAL Fakultät für Betriebswirtschaftslehre

Titel der Lehrveranstaltung: FIN 401 Corporate Finance & Risk Management - Di, B1 - Professor Ernst Maug, Ph.D.

(Name der Umfrage)

#### 2. Evaluation of the course



#### 3. Evaluation of general satisfaction with the course

3.1) Overall, I am satisfied with the course.



## 4. Evaluation of teaching and learning environment

- 4.1) Prior knowledge and experience helped me master the course content.
- 4.2) Technical equipment (overhead projector, beamer, blackboard, microphone, etc.) was always ready for use and functioned properly).
- 4.3) Size of the room was adequate for the course.
- $^{4.4)}$  The  ${\bf room\ setup\ }$  (seating, tables, lighting, ventilation, etc.) was satisfactory.

