PORTAL Fakultät für Betriebswirtschaftslehre

FIN 401 Corporate Finance & Risk Management - Di, B3 - Professor Ernst Maug, Ph.D. (5348238342)
Erfasste Fragebögen = 77

Auswertungsteil der geschlossenen Fragen

Legende

Fragetext



1. Personal data

1.1) Course of Study:

n=74

BWL (Business Administration)	97.3%
BWL i.Q. (with intercultural qualification)	0%
VWL (Economics)	1.4%
Wifo (Information Systems)	0%
Wi.-Päd. (Business Education)	0%
Language or cultural studies	0%
School of Law	1.4%
Other	0%

1.2) Targeted degree:

n=68

Bachelor	98.5%
Master	1.5%
Diploma	0%
Magister	0%
PhD or other doctoral program	0%
Other	0%

1.3) Semester (only for your actual course of study):

n=75

1.	2.7%
3.	90.7%
5.	6.7%

1.4) I attended the course regularly:

n=65

Yes	100%
No	0%

1.5) I am an exchange student:

n=64

Yes	10.9%
No	89.1%

2. Evaluation of the course

2.1)	**Course objectives** were clearly stated.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=73 mw=1.9 md=2 s=0.8
2.2)	**Course requirements** and criteria for grading were clearly explained.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=74 mw=2.1 md=2 s=0.9
2.3)	The course was **well structured**.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=75 mw=1.5 md=1 s=0.7
2.4)	The **choice of topics** was explained by the instructor.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=76 mw=2.2 md=2 s=0.9
2.5)	The choice appeared **well-founded** to me.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=75 mw=1.8 md=2 s=0.8
2.6)	Dissemination of **subject matter** was appropriately placed over the semester.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=73 mw=1.6 md=2 s=0.7
2.7)	Course content was presented in a **comprehensible manner**.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=75 mw=1.5 md=1 s=0.8
2.8)	Instructor illustrated subject matter with **examples** from the **business world** and from **current research**.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=74 mw=1.5 md=1 s=0.7
2.9)	Where appropriate instructor drew **parallels to business-related disciplines**.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=76 mw=2.1 md=2 s=0.8
2.10)	Instructor incorporated **audio-visual media** effectively (e.g., blackboard, overhead projector, video, beamer).	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=75 mw=1.8 md=2 s=0.8
2.11)	**Visual materials** were easy to read and follow.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=76 mw=1.5 md=1 s=0.7
2.12)	Instructor provided the opportunity for **questions** regarding content.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=75 mw=1.4 md=1 s=0.7
2.13)	**Answers** given by the instructor were helpful in clarifying uncertainties.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=73 mw=1.6 md=1 s=0.8

2.14) Recommended reading **materials** (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were **useful** in facilitating understanding of course content.



n=75
mw=1.5
md=1
s=0.8

2.15) Recommended reading **materials** (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were readily **available**.



n=74
mw=1.5
md=1
s=0.9

2.16) Instructor's **manner of speaking** was clear and audible.



n=74
mw=2
md=2
s=1.1

2.17) Instructor spoke at an appropriate **speed**.



n=73
mw=1.4
md=1
s=0.7

2.18) Instructor's **lecturing style** sustained my attention.



n=76
mw=1.7
md=2
s=0.9

2.19) Instructor was **open to subject-oriented issues**.



n=74
mw=1.7
md=2
s=0.7

2.20) Instructor was **open and friendly** towards students.



n=73
mw=1.5
md=1
s=0.7

2.21) Instructor was able to **arouse my interest** in the subject.



n=76
mw=1.7
md=1.5
s=0.9

3. Evaluation of general satisfaction with the course

3.1) **Overall**, I am **satisfied** with the course.



n=72
mw=1.6
md=1
s=0.7

4. Evaluation of teaching and learning environment

4.1) **Prior knowledge** and **experience** helped me master the course content.



n=73
mw=1.9
md=2
s=0.9

4.2) **Technical equipment** (overhead projector, beamer, blackboard, microphone, etc.) was always ready for use and functioned properly).



n=74
mw=1.5
md=1
s=0.6

4.3) **Size of the room** was adequate for the course.



n=75
mw=1.6
md=1
s=0.7

4.4) The **room setup** (seating, tables, lighting, ventilation, etc.) was satisfactory.



Profillinie

Teilbereich: Fakultät für Betriebswirtschaftslehre
Name der/des Lehrenden: PORTAL Fakultät für Betriebswirtschaftslehre
Titel der Lehrveranstaltung: (Name der Umfrage) FIN 401 Corporate Finance & Risk Management - Di, B3 - Professor Ernst Maug, Ph.D.

2. Evaluation of the course



3. Evaluation of general satisfaction with the course

4. Evaluation of teaching and learning environment

4.1)	**Prior knowledge** and **experience** helped me master the course content.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=73 mw=1.9
4.2)	**Technical equipment** (overhead projector, beamer, blackboard, microphone, etc.) was always ready for use and functioned properly).	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=74 mw=1.5
4.3)	**Size of the room** was adequate for the course.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=75 mw=1.6
4.4)	The **room setup** (seating, tables, lighting, ventilation, etc.) was satisfactory.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=70 mw=1.6