




Auswertungsteil der geschlossenen Fragen


Legende

Frage**text**




1. Personal data


1.1) Course of Study:



1.2) Targeted degree:



1.3) Semester (only for your actual course of study):



1.4) I attended the course regularly:




1.5) I am an exchange student:




2. Evaluation of the course


2.1)	Course objectives were clearly stated.	<p>0% 2% 14% 52% 32%</p> <p>Strongly disagree 5 4 3 2 1 Strongly agree</p>	<p>n=100 mw=1.9 md=2 s=0.7</p>
2.2)	Course requirements and criteria for grading were clearly explained.	<p>0% 7% 27% 38% 28%</p> <p>Strongly disagree 5 4 3 2 1 Strongly agree</p>	<p>n=100 mw=2.1 md=2 s=0.9</p>
2.3)	The course was well structured .	<p>0% 3% 3% 33.3% 60.6%</p> <p>Strongly disagree 5 4 3 2 1 Strongly agree</p>	<p>n=99 mw=1.5 md=1 s=0.7</p>
2.4)	The choice of topics was explained by the instructor.	<p>0% 3.1% 25.5% 33.7% 37.8%</p> <p>Strongly disagree 5 4 3 2 1 Strongly agree</p>	<p>n=98 mw=1.9 md=2 s=0.9</p>
2.5)	The choice appeared well-founded to me.	<p>1% 2% 22.2% 38.4% 36.4%</p> <p>Strongly disagree 5 4 3 2 1 Strongly agree</p>	<p>n=99 mw=1.9 md=2 s=0.9</p>
2.6)	Dissemination of subject matter was appropriately placed over the semester.	<p>2% 3% 8.9% 40.6% 45.5%</p> <p>Strongly disagree 5 4 3 2 1 Strongly agree</p>	<p>n=101 mw=1.8 md=2 s=0.9</p>
2.7)	Course content was presented in a comprehensible manner .	<p>0% 3% 10% 30% 57%</p> <p>Strongly disagree 5 4 3 2 1 Strongly agree</p>	<p>n=100 mw=1.6 md=1 s=0.8</p>
2.8)	Instructor illustrated subject matter with examples from the business world and from current research .	<p>0% 3% 7.9% 26.7% 62.4%</p> <p>Strongly disagree 5 4 3 2 1 Strongly agree</p>	<p>n=101 mw=1.5 md=1 s=0.8</p>
2.9)	Where appropriate instructor drew parallels to business-related disciplines .	<p>0% 2% 17.8% 42.6% 37.6%</p> <p>Strongly disagree 5 4 3 2 1 Strongly agree</p>	<p>n=101 mw=1.8 md=2 s=0.8</p>
2.10)	Instructor incorporated audio-visual media effectively (e.g., blackboard, overhead projector, video, beamer).	<p>2.1% 5.2% 15.5% 38.1% 39.2%</p> <p>Strongly disagree 5 4 3 2 1 Strongly agree</p>	<p>n=97 mw=1.9 md=2 s=1</p>
2.11)	Visual materials were easy to read and follow.	<p>0% 2% 8.9% 34.7% 54.5%</p> <p>Strongly disagree 5 4 3 2 1 Strongly agree</p>	<p>n=101 mw=1.6 md=1 s=0.7</p>
2.12)	Instructor provided the opportunity for questions regarding content.	<p>1% 2% 5% 23% 69%</p> <p>Strongly disagree 5 4 3 2 1 Strongly agree</p>	<p>n=100 mw=1.4 md=1 s=0.8</p>
2.13)	Answers given by the instructor were helpful in clarifying uncertainties.	<p>0% 2% 4% 38.4% 55.6%</p> <p>Strongly disagree 5 4 3 2 1 Strongly agree</p>	<p>n=99 mw=1.5 md=1 s=0.7</p>




3. Evaluation of general satisfaction with the course



4. Evaluation of teaching and learning environment



- 4.4) The **room setup** (seating, tables, lighting, ventilation, etc.) was satisfactory.




Profillinie

Teilbereich: Fakultät für Betriebswirtschaftslehre

Name der/des Lehrenden: PORTAL Fakultät für Betriebswirtschaftslehre

Titel der Lehrveranstaltung: FIN 401 Corporate Finance & Risk Management - Di, B4 - Professor Ernst Maug, Ph.D.
(Name der Umfrage)

2. Evaluation of the course



3. Evaluation of general satisfaction with the course



4. Evaluation of teaching and learning environment

