Median

Quantil

Rechter Pol

PORTAL Fakultät für Betriebswirtschaftslehre



FIN 401 Corporate Finance & Risk Management - Di, B4 - Professor Ernst Maug, Ph.D. (5348238343) Erfasste Fragebögen = 104

Auswertungsteil der geschlossenen Fragen

Mittelwert


Relative Häufigkeiten der Antworten Std.-Abw.

Legende


Fragetext

			s=Std. E.=En	s=StdAbw. E.=Enthaltung	
Skala	5 4	3 2 1 Histogramm			
		<u></u> g. 			
1. Personal data					
111 Groothal data					
1.1) Course of Study:					
BWL (Business A	Administration)		100%	n=101	
BWL i.Q. (with intercultura	al qualification)		0%		
VW	'L (Economics)		0%		
Wifo (Information	ation Systems)		0%		
WiPäd. (Busin	ess Education)		0%		
Language or o	cultural studies		0%		
	School of Law		0%		
	Other		0%		
1.2) Targeted degree:					
	Bachelor		98.9%	n=95	
	Master [1.1%		
	Diploma		0%		
	Magister		0%		
PhD or other do	ctoral program		0%		
	Other		0%		
1.3) Semester (only for your actual course of study):					
, , ,	3.		96.1%	n=102	
	5. ()		2%		
	7. ()		2%		
	0				
1.4) I attended the course regularly:					
rattended the course regularly.	Yes		97.8%	n=93	
	No ()		2.2%		
15)					
1.5) I am an exchange student:	_			n=07	
	Yes		3.4%	n=87	
	No		96.6%		

2. Evaluation of the course ^{2.1)} Course objectives were clearly stated. n=100 mw=1.9 md=2 s=0.7 Strongly disagree Strongly agree 0% 27% 38% 28% Course requirements and criteria for grading were n=100 Strongly disagree Strongly agree mw=2.1 md=2 clearly explained. s = 0.960.6% 0% 3% 33.3% ^{2.3)} The course was **well structured**. n=99 mw=1.5 md=1 Strongly disagree Strongly agree s=0.7 0% 3 1% 25.5% 33.7% 37.8% The choice of topics was explained by the n=98 Strongly disagree Strongly agree mw=1.9 md=2 s=0.9 instructor. 38.4% n=99 mw=1.9 md=2 The choice appeared well-founded to me. Strongly disagree Strongly agree s=0.9 3% 8.9% 40.6% Dissemination of subject matter was appropriately n=101 mw=1.8 md=2 s=0.9 Strongly disagree Strongly agree placed over the semester. 5 0% 3% 10% 30% Course content was presented in a n=100 Strongly disagree Strongly agree mw=1.6 md=1 comprehensible manner. s=0.8 5 7.9% 26.7% Instructor illustrated subject matter with **examples** from the **business world** and from **current** n=101 mw=1.5 md=1 s=0.8 Strongly disagree Strongly agree research. 0% 2% 42 6% 37.6% Where appropriate instructor drew parallels to business-related disciplines. n=101 Strongly disagree Strongly agree mw=1.8 md=2 s=0.8 2.1% 5.2% 38.1% 39.2% ^{2.10)} Instructor incorporated **audio-visual media** n=97 Strongly agree Strongly disagree mw=1.9 md=2 s=1 effectively (e.g., blackboard, overhead projector, video, beamer). 4 ^{2.11)} Visual materials were easy to read and follow. n=101 mw=1.6 md=1 s=0.7 Strongly disagree Strongly agree 2% 69% 1% 5% 23% ^{2.12)} Instructor provided the opportunity for **questions** n=100 Strongly disagree Strongly agree mw=1.4 md=1 regarding content. s=0.8 0% 2% 4% 38.4% 55.6% ^{2.13)} Answers given by the instructor were helpful in n=99 mw=1.5 md=1 s=0.7 Strongly disagree Strongly agree clarifying uncertainties. 5 4 3 2



The **room setup** (seating, tables, lighting, ventilation, etc.) was satisfactory.



n=97 mw=1.4 md=1 s=0.8

Profillinie


Teilbereich: Fakultät für Betriebswirtschaftslehre

Name der/des Lehrenden: PORTAL Fakultät für Betriebswirtschaftslehre

Titel der Lehrveranstaltung: FIN 401 Corporate Finance & Risk Management - Di, B4 - Professor Ernst Maug, Ph.D.

(Name der Umfrage)

2. Evaluation of the course



3. Evaluation of general satisfaction with the course

3.1) Overall, I am satisfied with the course.



4. Evaluation of teaching and learning environment

- $^{4.1)}\,\,$ Prior knowledge and experience helped me master the course content.
- 4.2) Technical equipment (overhead projector, beamer, blackboard, microphone, etc.) was always ready for use and functioned properly).
- $^{4.3)}$ Size of the room was adequate for the course.
- 4.4) The **room setup** (seating, tables, lighting, ventilation, etc.) was satisfactory.

