



**Evaluationsstelle der Fakultät für BWL**  
 FIN 401 Corporate Finance & Risk Management | Vorlesung - Di, B5 - Professor Ernst Maug, Ph.D. (41a)  
 HWS2012)  
 Erfasste Fragebögen = 137



Auswertungsteil der geschlossenen Fragen


**Legende**

Frage**text**




**Personal Data**


Course of Study



Targeted degree:



Semester (only for your actual course of study):



I attended the course regularly:




I am an exchange student:




**Evaluation of the course**


Course objectives were clearly stated.




Course requirements and criteria for grading were clearly explained.




The course was well structured.




The choice of topics was explained by the instructor.




The choice appeared well-founded to me.




Dissemination of subject matter was appropriately placed over the semester.




Course content was presented in a comprehensible manner.




Instructor illustrated subject matter with examples from the business world and from current research.




Where appropriate instructor drew parallels to business-related disciplines.




Instructor incorporated audio-visual media effectively (e.g., blackboard, overhead projector, video, beamer).




Visual materials were easy to read and follow.




Instructor provided the opportunity for questions regarding content.




Answers given by the instructor were helpful in clarifying uncertainties.




Recommended reading materials (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were useful in facilitating understanding of course content.




Recommended reading materials (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were readily available.




Instructor's manner of speaking was clear and audible.




Instructor spoke at an appropriate speed.




Instructor's lecturing style sustained my attention.




Instructor was open to subject-oriented issues.



Instructor was open and friendly towards students.




Instructor was able to arouse my interest in the subject.




**Evaluation of general satisfaction with the course**

Overall, I am satisfied with the course.




**Evaluation of teaching and learning environment**


Prior knowledge and experience helped me master the course content.




Technical equipment (overhead projector, beamer, blackboard, microphone, etc.) was always ready for use and functioned properly).



Size of the room was adequate for the course.



The room setup (seating, tables, lighting, ventilation, etc.) was satisfactory.



# Profillinie

Teilbereich: Fakultät für Betriebswirtschaftslehre

Name der/des Lehrenden: Evaluationsstelle der Fakultät für BWL

Titel der Lehrveranstaltung: FIN 401 Corporate Finance & Risk Management | Vorlesung - Di, B5 - Professor Ernst Maug, Ph.D.  
(Name der Umfrage)

Verwendete Werte in der Profillinie: Mittelwert

## Evaluation of the course

Course objectives were clearly stated.	Strongly disagree								Strongly agree	n=121	mw=1,79	md=2,00	s=0,76
Course requirements and criteria for grading were clearly explained.	Strongly disagree								Strongly agree	n=123	mw=2,07	md=2,00	s=0,91
The course was well structured.	Strongly disagree								Strongly agree	n=120	mw=1,48	md=1,00	s=0,69
The choice of topics was explained by the instructor.	Strongly disagree								Strongly agree	n=119	mw=1,96	md=2,00	s=0,84
The choice appeared well-founded to me.	Strongly disagree								Strongly agree	n=123	mw=1,79	md=2,00	s=0,81
Dissemination of subject matter was appropriately placed over the semester.	Strongly disagree								Strongly agree	n=119	mw=1,55	md=1,00	s=0,70
Course content was presented in a comprehensible manner.	Strongly disagree								Strongly agree	n=121	mw=1,48	md=1,00	s=0,73
Instructor illustrated subject matter with examples from the business world and from current research.	Strongly disagree								Strongly agree	n=118	mw=1,56	md=1,00	s=0,78
Where appropriate instructor drew parallels to business-related disciplines.	Strongly disagree								Strongly agree	n=119	mw=1,76	md=2,00	s=0,82
Instructor incorporated audio-visual media effectively (e.g., blackboard, overhead projector,	Strongly disagree								Strongly agree	n=120	mw=1,81	md=2,00	s=0,90
Visual materials were easy to read and follow.	Strongly disagree								Strongly agree	n=122	mw=1,55	md=1,00	s=0,68

Instructor provided the opportunity for questions regarding content.	Strongly disagree								Strongly agree	n=121	mw=1,18	md=1,00	s=0,47
Answers given by the instructor were helpful in clarifying uncertainties.	Strongly disagree								Strongly agree	n=121	mw=1,50	md=1,00	s=0,67
Recommended reading materials (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were useful in facilitating understanding	Strongly disagree								Strongly agree	n=119	mw=1,52	md=1,00	s=0,75
Recommended reading materials (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were readily available.	Strongly disagree								Strongly agree	n=119	mw=1,43	md=1,00	s=0,66
Instructor's manner of speaking was clear and audible.	Strongly disagree								Strongly agree	n=122	mw=1,85	md=1,00	s=1,09
Instructor spoke at an appropriate speed.	Strongly disagree								Strongly agree	n=121	mw=1,34	md=1,00	s=0,64
Instructor's lecturing style sustained my attention.	Strongly disagree								Strongly agree	n=121	mw=1,69	md=2,00	s=0,75
Instructor was open to subject-oriented issues.	Strongly disagree								Strongly agree	n=120	mw=1,54	md=1,00	s=0,66
Instructor was open and friendly towards students.	Strongly disagree								Strongly agree	n=118	mw=1,25	md=1,00	s=0,57
Instructor was able to arouse my interest in the subject.	Strongly disagree								Strongly agree	n=121	mw=1,60	md=1,00	s=0,83

**Evaluation of general satisfaction with the course**

Overall, I am satisfied with the course.



n=118    mw=1,54    md=1,00    s=0,75

**Evaluation of teaching and learning environment**

Prior knowledge and experience helped me master the course content.



n=117    mw=1,94    md=2,00    s=0,84

Technical equipment (overhead projector, beamer, blackboard, microphone, etc.) was always



n=118    mw=1,57    md=1,00    s=0,81

Size of the room was adequate for the course.



n=116    mw=2,26    md=2,00    s=1,14

The room setup (seating, tables, lighting, ventilation, etc.) was satisfactory.



n=117    mw=1,81    md=2,00    s=0,96

Auswertungsteil der offenen Fragen

Auto-Gruppe

What did you especially like about the course?

~~For young people into a career -~~  
The professor explains well.

• effect of Mr. Maug to explain complex stuff

I liked the fact, that Prof Maug did not use a microphone.

The fact, that the lecture was held without microphone was great.

Excellent lecture!

- way of explaining things

- very good and understandable English spoken by the professor

- experience of how the professors

Very interesting topics  
Clear lectures British accent

- good examples
- ' visualization



~~The~~ Ernst Maug is a very friendly person.  
I like his style of teaching.

instructor was friendly and knowledgeable about subject matter.

- the fact that he didn't use a microphone
- To me it was the best lecture ever! I don't know anything that could be done better.

• best lecturer up to now

- very good explanations
- very interesting explanation of capital structure and Basel III

The content

instructor's way of presentation

- Even in third lecture of the day the lecturer was not tired of presenting the topic
- good style of lecture

- interesting lectures
- concepts to understand, not just things to learn by heart
- mid-term exam

The ability of a lecturer to explain the materials of the course in a clear and understandable way

reference to current topics

Competence of instructor

Please we can improve next time!

The instructor always presented the material and the content in a very comprehensible manner that ~~has~~ raised my interest even though I actually do not like finance too much!

→ Very good instructor!  
I would love to listen to him for the last six weeks too

The instructor of the class was very competent and tried the best to explain the contents to the students.

Very good job: I enjoyed the class.

- very supportive in terms of answering questions

What should/could be improved?

Do more people in 1 course

- Initiate tutorials because they would improve the learning of ~~complex~~ difficult and complex stuff

- There should be tutorials
- The online test questions (answers) are sometimes unclear in their meaning)

Instructor refused to use microphone; ~~off~~ Out when you are sitting in the chairs it can be heard to understand. Instructor should use microphone.

Please use a microphone.

please use a microphone

Would appreciate it if sometimes ~~the~~ slides  
would be a bit more detailed.

- speak with microphone

use a microphone!

usage of microphone

(Some information about exam content (how it looks like). Give students the opportunity to learn with old exams (downloaded on LIA5)

Instructor should use microphone; was hard to hear him in the back. Room was always full over capacity and not well-ventilated.

Certainty, whether exam - will be similar to  
Exercises

- Provide the lecture slides in a PDF-File where every slide is a page on its own and students can print them out as they like.

Speaking with mic

~~SPEAK~~ WITH MICROPHONE!



explain which parts are relevant for the mid-term  
↳ just this part of the lecture or the professor's  
part as well

Tutorial + Exerzise  
for further practicing

Speak with microphone

MICROPHONE!!