Evaluationsstelle der Fakultät für BWL

FIN 401 Corporate Finance & Risk Management | Vorlesung - Di, B1 - Herr Professor Ernst Maug, Ph.D. (2356a|HS2014)
Erfasste Fragebögen = 112

UNIVERSITY OF MANNHEIM

Auswertungsteil der geschlossenen Fragen

Legende

Fragetext



Personal Data

Course of Study

n=110

BWL	98.2%
BWL i.Q.	0.9%
VWL	0%
Wifo	0%
Wi.-Päd.	0%
Philologie/BaKuWi/MaKuWi	0%
Untern.-Jur.	0%
Other	0.9%

Targeted degree:

n=111

Bachelor	100%
Master	0%
Diplom	0%
Magister	0%
PhD	0%
Other	0%

Semester (only for your actual course of study):

n=107

1.	2.8%
2.	0.9%
3.	89.7%
5.	4.7%
7.	1.9%

I attended the course regularly:

n=103

Yes	97.1%
No	2.9%

I am an exchange student:

n=99

Yes	13.1%
No	86.9%

Evaluation of the course

Course objectives were clearly stated.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=108 mw=1,57 md=2 s=0,61
Course requirements and criteria for grading were clearly explained.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=107 mw=2,05 md=2 s=0,98
The course was well structured.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=109 mw=1,41 md=1 s=0,66
The choice of topics was explained by the instructor.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=109 mw=1,67 md=2 s=0,75
The choice appeared well-founded to me.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=107 mw=1,64 md=2 s=0,66
Dissemination of subject matter was appropriately placed over the semester.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=106 mw=1,59 md=1 s=0,7
Course content was presented in a comprehensible manner.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=107 mw=1,52 md=1 s=0,66
Instructor illustrated subject matter with examples from the business world and from current research.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=108 mw=1,56 md=1 s=0,62
Where appropriate instructor drew parallels to business-related disciplines.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=108 mw=1,76 md=2 s=0,73
Instructor incorporated audio-visual media effectively (e. g., blackboard, overhead projector, video, beamer).	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=107 mw=1,84 md=2 s=0,94
Visual materials were easy to read and follow.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=103 mw=1,53 md=1 s=0,71
Instructor provided the opportunity for questions regarding content.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=107 mw=1,23 md=1 s=0,47

Answers given by the instructor were helpful in clarifying uncertainties.	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	5,6%	31,5%	63%	Strongly agree	n=108 mw=1,43 md=1 s=0,6
Recommended reading materials (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were useful in facilitating understanding of course content.	Strongly disagree	0%	2,8%	8,5%	39,6%	49,1%	Strongly agree	n=106 mw=1,65 md=2 s=0,76
Recommended reading materials (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were readily available.	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	3,8%	39,6%	56,6%	Strongly agree	n=106 mw=1,47 md=1 s=0,57
Instructor's manner of speaking was clear and audible.	Strongly disagree	0%	1,9%	6,5%	20,6%	71%	Strongly agree	n=107 mw=1,39 md=1 s=0,7
Instructor spoke at an appropriate speed.	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	2,8%	27,4%	69,8%	Strongly agree	n=106 mw=1,33 md=1 s=0,53
Instructor's lecturing style sustained my attention.	Strongly disagree	0%	1%	13,5%	32,7%	52,9%	Strongly agree	n=104 mw=1,63 md=1 s=0,75
Instructor was open to subject-oriented issues.	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	6,7%	32,4%	61%	Strongly agree	n=105 mw=1,46 md=1 s=0,62
Instructor was open and friendly towards students.	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	1,9%	24,5%	73,6%	Strongly agree	n=106 mw=1,28 md=1 s=0,49
Instructor was able to arouse my interest in the subject.	Strongly disagree	1%	2,9%	8,8%	33,3%	53,9%	Strongly agree	n=102 mw=1,64 md=1 s=0,84

Evaluation of general satisfaction with the course

Overall, I am satisfied with the course.	Strongly disagree	0%	0,9%	6,6%	42,5%	50%	Strongly agree	n=106 mw=1,58 md=1,5 s=0,66

Evaluation of teaching and learning environment

Prior knowledge and experience helped me master the course content.	Strongly disagree	1%	3,8%	19%	45,7%	30,5%	Strongly agree	n=105 mw=1,99 md=2 s=0,86
Technical equipment (overhead projector, beamer, blackboard, microphone, etc.) was always ready for use and functioned properly).	Strongly disagree	0%	1,9%	5,8%	35%	57,3%	Strongly agree	n=103 mw=1,52 md=1 s=0,7

Size of the room was adequate for the course.



n=106
mw=1,66
md=1
s=0,84

The room setup (seating, tables, lighting, ventilation, etc.) was satisfactory.



n=104
mw=1,81
md=2
s=0,98

Profillinie

Teilbereich: Fakultät für Betriebswirtschaftslehre
Name der/des Lehrenden: Evaluationsstelle der Fakultät für BWL
Titel der Lehrveranstaltung: (Name der Umfrage) FIN 401 Corporate Finance & Risk Management | Vorlesung - Di, B1 - Herr Professor Ernst Maug, Ph.D.

Verwendete Werte in der Profillinie: Mittelwert

Evaluation of the course



Course objectives were clearly stated.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=108	mw=1,57	md=2,00	s=0,61
Course requirements and criteria for grading were clearly explained.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=107	mw=2,05	md=2,00	s=0,98
The course was well structured.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=109	mw=1,41	md=1,00	s=0,66
The choice of topics was explained by the instructor.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=109	mw=1,67	md=2,00	s=0,75
The choice appeared well-founded to me.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=107	mw=1,64	md=2,00	s=0,66
Dissemination of subject matter was appropriately placed over the semester.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=106	mw=1,59	md=1,00	s=0,70
Course content was presented in a comprehensible manner.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=107	mw=1,52	md=1,00	s=0,66
Instructor illustrated subject matter with examples from the business world and from current research.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=108	mw=1,56	md=1,00	s=0,62
Where appropriate instructor drew parallels to business-related disciplines.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=108	mw=1,76	md=2,00	s=0,73
Instructor incorporated audio-visual media effectively (e.g., blackboard, overhead projector, video, beamer).	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=107	mw=1,84	md=2,00	s=0,94
Visual materials were easy to read and follow.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=103	mw=1,53	md=1,00	s=0,71



Instructor provided the opportunity for questions regarding content.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=107	mw=1,23	md=1,00	s=0,47
Answers given by the instructor were helpful in clarifying uncertainties.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=108	mw=1,43	md=1,00	s=0,60
Recommended reading materials (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were useful in facilitating understanding of course content.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=106	mw=1,65	md=2,00	s=0,76
Recommended reading materials (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were readily available.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=106	mw=1,47	md=1,00	s=0,57
Instructor's manner of speaking was clear and audible.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=107	mw=1,39	md=1,00	s=0,70
Instructor spoke at an appropriate speed.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=106	mw=1,33	md=1,00	s=0,53
Instructor's lecturing style sustained my attention.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=104	mw=1,63	md=1,00	s=0,75
Instructor was open to subject-oriented issues.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=105	mw=1,46	md=1,00	s=0,62
Instructor was open and friendly towards students.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=106	mw=1,28	md=1,00	s=0,49
Instructor was able to arouse my interest in the subject.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=102	mw=1,64	md=1,00	s=0,84

Evaluation of general satisfaction with the course

Overall, I am satisfied with the course.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=106 mw=1,58 md=1,50 s=0,66

Evaluation of teaching and learning environment

Prior knowledge and experience helped me master the course content.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=105 mw=1,99 md=2,00 s=0,86
Technical equipment (overhead projector, beamer, blackboard, microphone, etc.) was always ready for use and functioned properly).	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=103 mw=1,52 md=1,00 s=0,70
Size of the room was adequate for the course.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=106 mw=1,66 md=1,00 s=0,84
The room setup (seating, tables, lighting, ventilation, etc.) was satisfactory.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=104 mw=1,81 md=2,00 s=0,98

Auswertungsteil der offenen Fragen

Auto-Gruppe

What did you especially like about the course?

Really great course!	professor was really good split it up into 3 smaller lectures is a very good idea
Great lecture, competent and interesting professor	The professor is really qualified and explains very good
Very well done. I enjoyed the course.	Best lecture ever! Love professor Maug ♡
- really good instructor	The professor explains pretty well. Examples used are illustrating.
-best course this semester	professor was really good detailed explanation

What should/could be improved?

speak up!	• exercises were not that easy as they often covered topics not mentioned in lecture
The part on valuation should be enlightened to two weeks	- use microphone