

# Evaluationsstelle der Fakultät für BWL


FIN 401 Corporate Finance & Risk Management | Vorlesung - Di, B4 - Herr Professor Ernst Maug, Ph.D. (2357a|HS2014)  
Erfasste Fragebögen = 88



## Auswertungsteil der geschlossenen Fragen

### Legende


Frage**text**




n=Anzahl  
mw=Mittelwert  
md=Median  
s=Std.-Abw.  
E.=Enthaltung

### Personal Data


Course of Study



Targeted degree:



Semester (only for your actual course of study):



I attended the course regularly:




I am an exchange student:




**Evaluation of the course**


Course objectives were clearly stated.




Course requirements and criteria for grading were clearly explained.




The course was well structured.




The choice of topics was explained by the instructor.




The choice appeared well-founded to me.




Dissemination of subject matter was appropriately placed over the semester.




Course content was presented in a comprehensible manner.




Instructor illustrated subject matter with examples from the business world and from current research.




Where appropriate instructor drew parallels to business-related disciplines.




Instructor incorporated audio-visual media effectively (e.g., blackboard, overhead projector, video, beamer).




Visual materials were easy to read and follow.




Instructor provided the opportunity for questions regarding content.




Answers given by the instructor were helpful in clarifying uncertainties.




Recommended reading materials (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were useful in facilitating understanding of course content.




Recommended reading materials (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were readily available.




Instructor's manner of speaking was clear and audible.




Instructor spoke at an appropriate speed.




Instructor's lecturing style sustained my attention.




Instructor was open to subject-oriented issues.



Instructor was open and friendly towards students.




Instructor was able to arouse my interest in the subject.




### Evaluation of general satisfaction with the course

Overall, I am satisfied with the course.




### Evaluation of teaching and learning environment


Prior knowledge and experience helped me master the course content.




Technical equipment (overhead projector, beamer, blackboard, microphone, etc.) was always ready for use and functioned properly).



Size of the room was adequate for the course.



The room setup (seating, tables, lighting, ventilation, etc.) was satisfactory.



# Profillinie



Teilbereich: Fakultät für Betriebswirtschaftslehre

Name der/des Lehrenden: Evaluationsstelle der Fakultät für BWL

Titel der Lehrveranstaltung: FIN 401 Corporate Finance & Risk Management | Vorlesung - Di, B4 - Herr Professor Ernst Maug, Ph.D.  
(Name der Umfrage)

Verwendete Werte in der Profillinie: Mittelwert

## Evaluation of the course



**Evaluation of general satisfaction with the course**

Overall, I am satisfied with the course.



n=84 mw=1,49 md=1,00 s=0,75

**Evaluation of teaching and learning environment**

Prior knowledge and experience helped me master the course content.



n=82 mw=1,99 md=2,00 s=0,88

Technical equipment (overhead projector, beamer, blackboard, microphone, etc.) was always ready for use and functioned properly.



n=82 mw=1,46 md=1,00 s=0,76

Size of the room was adequate for the course.



n=79 mw=1,51 md=1,00 s=0,93

The room setup (seating, tables, lighting, ventilation, etc.) was satisfactory.



n=80 mw=1,74 md=1,00 s=1,11

Auswertungsteil der offenen Fragen

Auto-Gruppe

What did you especially like about the course?

<p>very interesting</p>	<p>-that it was quiet (because of no microphone)</p>
-------------------------	--

<p>Prof <del>did</del> was very educated &amp; able to explain things very well</p>	<p>very well structured slides -&gt; easy to follow</p>
---	---

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>lots of time for questions</li> <li>many numeric examples and calculations</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>clear, confident professor.</li> <li>easy to understand</li> </ul>
--	---

<p>I was very satisfied with the lecturer's style and flow of teaching.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>→ Best class and best professor I had in Uni Mannheim.</li> <li>→ We can ask questions</li> <li>→ Professor always timed the course material / his presentation perfectly.</li> </ul>
---	--

<p>- smaller classes</p>	<p>Short and concise</p>
--------------------------	--------------------------

What should/could be improved?

<p>Perhaps expand the solutions of the exercise on ILEAs.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- More examples or calculations done in class with more time explaining why/how to use them</li> </ul>
---	---

<p>Only one slide per PDF page → Reform</p>	<p>More than 6 lectures can be included in the curriculum.</p>
---	--

<p>More sessions for Corporate finance. 6 sessions are not enough (far too few) in revealing meaningful connections that we would like to know about CF.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- use the microphone</li> <li>- especially in the first session there was no good match between topics of the lectors and the sessions</li> </ul>
--	--

Little reasoning