Professor Ernst Maug, Ph.D.

FIN 401 Corporate Finance & Risk Management | Lecture - Tue, B4 (5386a|HS2016)
Erfasste Fragebögen = 123

UNIVERSITY OF MANNHEIM

Auswertungsteil der geschlossenen Fragen

Legende

Fragetext



n=Anzahl
mw=Mittelwert
md=Median
s=Std.-Abw.
E.=Enthaltung

Personal Data

Course of Study

n=115

BWL	95.7%
BWL i.Q.	0%
VWL	2.6%
Wifo	0.9%
Wi.-Päd.	0%
Philologie/BaKuWi/MaKuWi	0%
Untern.-Jur.	0%
Other	0.9%

Targeted degree:

n=114

Bachelor	100%
Master	0%
Diplom	0%
Magister	0%
PhD	0%
Other	0%

Semester (only for your actual course of study):

n=113

1.	3.5%
2.	2.7%
3.	66.4%
4.	5.3%
5.	15.9%
6.	4.4%
7.	1.8%

I attended the course regularly:

n=114

Yes	96.5%
No	3.5%

I am an exchange student:

n=112

Yes	27.7%
No	72.3%

Evaluation of the course

Course objectives were clearly stated.

Strongly disagree — 0,9% 5,1% 8,5% 49,6% 35,9% — Strongly agree

5 4 3 2 1

n=117
mw=1,85
md=2
s=0,84

Course requirements and criteria for grading were clearly explained.

Strongly disagree — 1,7% 12,2% 20,9% 39,1% 26,1% — Strongly agree

5 4 3 2 1

n=115
mw=2,24
md=2
s=1,03

The course was well structured.

Strongly disagree — 0% 6,9% 14,7% 37,9% 40,5% — Strongly agree

5 4 3 2 1

n=116
mw=1,88
md=2
s=0,91

The choice of topics was explained by the instructor.

Strongly disagree — 0,9% 6,9% 21,6% 44% 26,7% — Strongly agree

5 4 3 2 1

n=116
mw=2,11
md=2
s=0,91

The choice appeared well-founded to me.

Strongly disagree — 1,7% 5,8% 20% 38,3% 34,2% — Strongly agree

5 4 3 2 1

n=120
mw=2,03
md=2
s=0,97

Dissemination of subject matter was appropriately placed over the semester.

Strongly disagree — 0% 6,2% 13,3% 43,4% 37,2% — Strongly agree

5 4 3 2 1

n=113
mw=1,88
md=2
s=0,86

Course content was presented in a comprehensible manner.

Strongly disagree — 0,9% 6,8% 17,9% 46,2% 28,2% — Strongly agree

5 4 3 2 1

n=117
mw=2,06
md=2
s=0,9

Instructor illustrated subject matter with examples from the business world and from current research.

Strongly disagree — 0,9% 5,2% 16,4% 39,7% 37,9% — Strongly agree

5 4 3 2 1

n=116
mw=1,91
md=2
s=0,91

Where appropriate instructor drew parallels to business-related disciplines.

Strongly disagree — 0% 7,6% 22% 41,5% 28,8% — Strongly agree

5 4 3 2 1

n=118
mw=2,08
md=2
s=0,9

Instructor incorporated audio-visual media effectively (e. g., blackboard, overhead projector, video, beamer).

Strongly disagree — 1,7% 7,8% 15,5% 36,2% 38,8% — Strongly agree

5 4 3 2 1

n=116
mw=1,97
md=2
s=1,01

Visual materials were easy to read and follow.

Strongly disagree — 0,9% 7% 8,7% 35,7% 47,8% — Strongly agree

5 4 3 2 1

n=115
mw=1,77
md=2
s=0,94

Instructor provided the opportunity for questions regarding content.	Strongly disagree	0% 3,4% 5% 26,1% 65,5% 5 4 3 2 1	Strongly agree	n=119 mw=1,46 md=1 s=0,75
Answers given by the instructor were helpful in clarifying uncertainties.	Strongly disagree	0% 1,7% 13,9% 39,1% 45,2% 5 4 3 2 1	Strongly agree	n=115 mw=1,72 md=2 s=0,77
Recommended reading materials (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were useful in facilitating understanding of course content.	Strongly disagree	1,7% 4,2% 16,7% 33,3% 44,2% 5 4 3 2 1	Strongly agree	n=120 mw=1,86 md=2 s=0,96
Recommended reading materials (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were readily available.	Strongly disagree	0,9% 6,9% 19% 25,9% 47,4% 5 4 3 2 1	Strongly agree	n=116 mw=1,88 md=2 s=1,01
Instructor's manner of speaking was clear and audible.	Strongly disagree	1,7% 6,1% 17,4% 25,2% 49,6% 5 4 3 2 1	Strongly agree	n=115 mw=1,85 md=2 s=1,03
Instructor spoke at an appropriate speed.	Strongly disagree	0,8% 5% 10,1% 32,8% 51,3% 5 4 3 2 1	Strongly agree	n=119 mw=1,71 md=1 s=0,9
Instructor's lecturing style sustained my attention.	Strongly disagree	2,5% 5,1% 21,2% 38,1% 33,1% 5 4 3 2 1	Strongly agree	n=118 mw=2,06 md=2 s=0,99
Instructor was open to subject-oriented issues.	Strongly disagree	0,9% 2,7% 14,2% 41,6% 40,7% 5 4 3 2 1	Strongly agree	n=113 mw=1,81 md=2 s=0,84
Instructor was open and friendly towards students.	Strongly disagree	0% 4,3% 7,8% 38,3% 49,6% 5 4 3 2 1	Strongly agree	n=115 mw=1,67 md=2 s=0,8
Instructor was able to arouse my interest in the subject.	Strongly disagree	3,5% 4,4% 21,1% 37,7% 33,3% 5 4 3 2 1	Strongly agree	n=114 mw=2,07 md=2 s=1,02

Evaluation of general satisfaction with the course

Overall, I am satisfied with the course.	Strongly disagree	0% 5,5% 15,6% 43,1% 35,8% 5 4 3 2 1	Strongly agree	n=109 mw=1,91 md=2 s=0,86

Evaluation of teaching and learning environment

Prior knowledge and experience helped me master the course content.	Strongly disagree	0% 7,3% 19,1% 43,6% 30% 5 4 3 2 1	Strongly agree	n=110 mw=2,04 md=2 s=0,89

Technical equipment (overhead projector, beamer, blackboard, microphone, etc.) was always ready for use and functioned properly).

Strongly disagree



Strongly agree

n=111
mw=1,73
md=2
s=0,91

Size of the room was adequate for the course.

Strongly disagree



Strongly agree

n=110
mw=1,93
md=2
s=1,03

The room setup (seating, tables, lighting, ventilation, etc.) was satisfactory.

Strongly disagree



Strongly agree

n=111
mw=1,84
md=2
s=1,06

Profillinie

Teilbereich: Fakultät für Betriebswirtschaftslehre
Name der/des Lehrenden: Professor Ernst Maug, Ph.D.
Titel der Lehrveranstaltung: (Name der Umfrage) FIN 401 Corporate Finance & Risk Management | Lecture - Tue, B4

Verwendete Werte in der Profillinie: Mittelwert

Evaluation of the course



Course objectives were clearly stated.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=117	mw=1,85	md=2,00	s=0,84
Course requirements and criteria for grading were clearly explained.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=115	mw=2,24	md=2,00	s=1,03
The course was well structured.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=116	mw=1,88	md=2,00	s=0,91
The choice of topics was explained by the instructor.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=116	mw=2,11	md=2,00	s=0,91
The choice appeared well-founded to me.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=120	mw=2,03	md=2,00	s=0,97
Dissemination of subject matter was appropriately placed over the semester.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=113	mw=1,88	md=2,00	s=0,86
Course content was presented in a comprehensible manner.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=117	mw=2,06	md=2,00	s=0,90
Instructor illustrated subject matter with examples from the business world and from current research.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=116	mw=1,91	md=2,00	s=0,91
Where appropriate instructor drew parallels to business-related disciplines.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=118	mw=2,08	md=2,00	s=0,90
Instructor incorporated audio-visual media effectively (e.g., blackboard, overhead projector, video, beamer).	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=116	mw=1,97	md=2,00	s=1,01
Visual materials were easy to read and follow.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=115	mw=1,77	md=2,00	s=0,94



Instructor provided the opportunity for questions regarding content.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=119	mw=1,46	md=1,00	s=0,75
Answers given by the instructor were helpful in clarifying uncertainties.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=115	mw=1,72	md=2,00	s=0,77
Recommended reading materials (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were useful in facilitating understanding of course content.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=120	mw=1,86	md=2,00	s=0,96
Recommended reading materials (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were readily available.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=116	mw=1,88	md=2,00	s=1,01
Instructor's manner of speaking was clear and audible.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=115	mw=1,85	md=2,00	s=1,03
Instructor spoke at an appropriate speed.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=119	mw=1,71	md=1,00	s=0,90
Instructor's lecturing style sustained my attention.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=118	mw=2,06	md=2,00	s=0,99
Instructor was open to subject-oriented issues.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=113	mw=1,81	md=2,00	s=0,84
Instructor was open and friendly towards students.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=115	mw=1,67	md=2,00	s=0,80
Instructor was able to arouse my interest in the subject.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=114	mw=2,07	md=2,00	s=1,02

Evaluation of general satisfaction with the course

Overall, I am satisfied with the course.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=109 mw=1,91 md=2,00 s=0,86

Evaluation of teaching and learning environment

Prior knowledge and experience helped me master the course content.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=110 mw=2,04 md=2,00 s=0,89
Technical equipment (overhead projector, beamer, blackboard, microphone, etc.) was always ready for use and functioned properly).	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=111 mw=1,73 md=2,00 s=0,91
Size of the room was adequate for the course.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=110 mw=1,93 md=2,00 s=1,03
The room setup (seating, tables, lighting, ventilation, etc.) was satisfactory.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=111 mw=1,84 md=2,00 s=1,06

Auswertungsteil der offenen Fragen

Auto-Gruppe

What did you especially like about the course?

The professor ~~is~~ is amazing! Teaches in a funny way, and is really able to keep the students going!	the teacher
the two ~~lecture~~ instructors ~~were very~~ seemed very competent	Content Experienced professors
Lecture not in A3. Divided in 3 slots in a good room	please provide exams from previous years
Prof. Ernst Maug, PhD	· interesting
Practicality and applicability of course content	The structure

Good explanations	The topics and range of teaching. Possibilities for clarifying uncertainties

What should/could be improved?

maybe also add add the insights on the slide	Close the windows
not starting with WACC	sometimes pace of lecture was too fast.
More practice problems or examples of application of theories	→ Mehr Beispiele die nicht aus dem ~~Kurs~~ Buch sind → Zu viel Gerede

more spacious seats, these are very uncomfortable	Exercises not always reflecting the lecture material.
It would be great if you could upload the material a few days earlier.	kein Treffer vom Prof Ernst Maug mit Dekanat
• use a microphone! • exercises should deal with the topics discussed in class (but better than finance 1)	-NIL- Thank you
Make it more interesting	• ~~xxxx~~ please state clearly where numbers are just given by the example and where they are calculated! difficult to follow / understand the examples if not!
There was still no info given regarding the midterm exam 1 week prior to it.	Book should not be relevant for the exam → should only support in learning
Philipp Cirnel shouldn't be allowed to come to the lectures	