Professor Ernst Maug, Ph.D.

FIN 401 Corporate Finance & Risk Management | Lecture - Tue, B5 (5387a|HS2016)
Erfasste Fragebögen = 42

UNIVERSITY OF MANNHEIM

Auswertungsteil der geschlossenen Fragen

Legende

Fragetext



n=Anzahl
mw=Mittelwert
md=Median
s=Std.-Abw.
E.=Enthaltung

Personal Data

Course of Study

n=41

BWL	95.1%
BWL i.Q.	2.4%
VWL	0%
Wifo	2.4%
Wi.-Päd.	0%
Philologie/BaKuWi/MaKuWi	0%
Untern.-Jur.	0%
Other	0%

Targeted degree:

n=41

Bachelor	97.6%
Master	2.4%
Diplom	0%
Magister	0%
PhD	0%
Other	0%

Semester (only for your actual course of study):

n=41

1.	4.9%
2.	2.4%
3.	78%
4.	2.4%
5.	4.9%
7.	4.9%
8.	2.4%

I attended the course regularly:

n=39

Yes	100%
No	0%

I am an exchange student:

n=39

Yes	20.5%
No	79.5%

Evaluation of the course

Course objectives were clearly stated.	Strongly disagree	2,4%	7,3%	24,4%	41,5%	24,4%	Strongly agree	n=41 mw=2,22 md=2 s=0,99
		5	4	3	2	1		
Course requirements and criteria for grading were clearly explained.	Strongly disagree	4,9%	24,4%	29,3%	26,8%	14,6%	Strongly agree	n=41 mw=2,78 md=3 s=1,13
		5	4	3	2	1		
The course was well structured.	Strongly disagree	2,4%	4,9%	22%	31,7%	39%	Strongly agree	n=41 mw=2 md=2 s=1,02
		5	4	3	2	1		
The choice of topics was explained by the instructor.	Strongly disagree	7,3%	7,3%	24,4%	41,5%	19,5%	Strongly agree	n=41 mw=2,41 md=2 s=1,12
		5	4	3	2	1		
The choice appeared well-founded to me.	Strongly disagree	2,5%	7,5%	25%	52,5%	12,5%	Strongly agree	n=40 mw=2,35 md=2 s=0,89
		5	4	3	2	1		
Dissemination of subject matter was appropriately placed over the semester.	Strongly disagree	2,4%	4,8%	21,4%	42,9%	28,6%	Strongly agree	n=42 mw=2,1 md=2 s=0,96
		5	4	3	2	1		
Course content was presented in a comprehensible manner.	Strongly disagree	4,8%	14,3%	16,7%	42,9%	21,4%	Strongly agree	n=42 mw=2,38 md=2 s=1,13
		5	4	3	2	1		
Instructor illustrated subject matter with examples from the business world and from current research.	Strongly disagree	2,4%	11,9%	19%	35,7%	31%	Strongly agree	n=42 mw=2,19 md=2 s=1,09
		5	4	3	2	1		
Where appropriate instructor drew parallels to business-related disciplines.	Strongly disagree	2,4%	11,9%	28,6%	31%	26,2%	Strongly agree	n=42 mw=2,33 md=2 s=1,07
		5	4	3	2	1		
Instructor incorporated audio-visual media effectively (e. g., blackboard, overhead projector, video, beamer).	Strongly disagree	2,4%	4,8%	23,8%	38,1%	31%	Strongly agree	n=42 mw=2,1 md=2 s=0,98
		5	4	3	2	1		
Visual materials were easy to read and follow.	Strongly disagree	2,4%	9,5%	19%	35,7%	33,3%	Strongly agree	n=42 mw=2,12 md=2 s=1,06
		5	4	3	2	1		

Instructor provided the opportunity for questions regarding content.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=41 mw=1,68 md=1 s=1,08
Answers given by the instructor were helpful in clarifying uncertainties.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=42 mw=2 md=2 s=0,96
Recommended reading materials (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were useful in facilitating understanding of course content.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=42 mw=2,14 md=2 s=1,14
Recommended reading materials (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were readily available.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=42 mw=2,1 md=2 s=1,23
Instructor's manner of speaking was clear and audible.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=42 mw=2,55 md=2 s=1,38
Instructor spoke at an appropriate speed.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=41 mw=2,02 md=2 s=1,17
Instructor's lecturing style sustained my attention.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=42 mw=2,48 md=2 s=1,25
Instructor was open to subject-oriented issues.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=41 mw=1,88 md=2 s=0,93
Instructor was open and friendly towards students.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=41 mw=1,71 md=1 s=0,98
Instructor was able to arouse my interest in the subject.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=41 mw=2,56 md=2 s=1,32

Evaluation of general satisfaction with the course

Overall, I am satisfied with the course.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=42 mw=2,24 md=2 s=1,14

Evaluation of teaching and learning environment

Prior knowledge and experience helped me master the course content.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=41 mw=2,39 md=3 s=1,12

Technical equipment (overhead projector, beamer, blackboard, microphone, etc.) was always ready for use and functioned properly).



n=41
mw=1,9
md=2
s=1,16

Size of the room was adequate for the course.



n=39
mw=1,56
md=1
s=1,12

The room setup (seating, tables, lighting, ventilation, etc.) was satisfactory.



n=40
mw=1,9
md=1,5
s=1,28

Profillinie

Teilbereich: Fakultät für Betriebswirtschaftslehre
Name der/des Lehrenden: Professor Ernst Maug, Ph.D.
Titel der Lehrveranstaltung: (Name der Umfrage) FIN 401 Corporate Finance & Risk Management | Lecture - Tue, B5

Verwendete Werte in der Profillinie: Mittelwert

Evaluation of the course



Course objectives were clearly stated.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=41	mw=2,22 md=2,00 s=0,99
Course requirements and criteria for grading were clearly explained.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=41	mw=2,78 md=3,00 s=1,13
The course was well structured.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=41	mw=2,00 md=2,00 s=1,02
The choice of topics was explained by the instructor.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=41	mw=2,41 md=2,00 s=1,12
The choice appeared well-founded to me.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=40	mw=2,35 md=2,00 s=0,89
Dissemination of subject matter was appropriately placed over the semester.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=42	mw=2,10 md=2,00 s=0,96
Course content was presented in a comprehensible manner.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=42	mw=2,38 md=2,00 s=1,13
Instructor illustrated subject matter with examples from the business world and from current research.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=42	mw=2,19 md=2,00 s=1,09
Where appropriate instructor drew parallels to business-related disciplines.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=42	mw=2,33 md=2,00 s=1,07
Instructor incorporated audio-visual media effectively (e.g., blackboard, overhead projector, video, beamer).	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=42	mw=2,10 md=2,00 s=0,98
Visual materials were easy to read and follow.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=42	mw=2,12 md=2,00 s=1,06



Instructor provided the opportunity for questions regarding content.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=41	mw=1,68 md=1,00 s=1,08
Answers given by the instructor were helpful in clarifying uncertainties.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=42	mw=2,00 md=2,00 s=0,96
Recommended reading materials (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were useful in facilitating understanding of course content.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=42	mw=2,14 md=2,00 s=1,14
Recommended reading materials (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were readily available.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=42	mw=2,10 md=2,00 s=1,23
Instructor's manner of speaking was clear and audible.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=42	mw=2,55 md=2,00 s=1,38
Instructor spoke at an appropriate speed.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=41	mw=2,02 md=2,00 s=1,17
Instructor's lecturing style sustained my attention.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=42	mw=2,48 md=2,00 s=1,25
Instructor was open to subject-oriented issues.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=41	mw=1,88 md=2,00 s=0,93
Instructor was open and friendly towards students.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=41	mw=1,71 md=1,00 s=0,98
Instructor was able to arouse my interest in the subject.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=41	mw=2,56 md=2,00 s=1,32

Evaluation of general satisfaction with the course

Overall, I am satisfied with the course.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=42 mw=2,24 md=2,00 s=1,14

Evaluation of teaching and learning environment

Prior knowledge and experience helped me master the course content.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=41 mw=2,39 md=3,00 s=1,12
Technical equipment (overhead projector, beamer, blackboard, microphone, etc.) was always ready for use and functioned properly).	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=41 mw=1,90 md=2,00 s=1,16
Size of the room was adequate for the course.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=39 mw=1,56 md=1,00 s=1,12
The room setup (seating, tables, lighting, ventilation, etc.) was satisfactory.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=40 mw=1,90 md=1,50 s=1,28

Auswertungsteil der offenen Fragen

Auto-Gruppe

What did you especially like about the course?

Explanations were really clear and there was a plenty of opportunities to ask questions.

I found it very helpful that there were several lecture dates

Von einem der kompetentesten Professoren gut und verständlich veranschaulicht. Großes Lob!

What should/could be improved?

Too difficult.

The slides are completely ~~wrong~~ inappropriate for learning only at home.

The Instructor should use a microphone

UPLOAD EXERCISE EARLIER

Please use a microphone in the future! It was difficult to understand the speaking even in front rows.