
0%

Professor Ernst Maug, Ph.D.







Auswertungsteil der geschlossenen Fragen


Relative Häufigkeiten der Antworten Std.-Abw.

Legende

Fragetext	Linker Pol 25% 0	% 50% 0% 25%	Rechter Pol	n=Anzahl mw=Mittelwert md=Median
				s=StdAbw. E.=Enthaltung
	Skala 5	4 3 2 1 Histogramm		
Personal Data				
Course of Study				
,	BWL		97.7	% n=43
	BWL i.Q.	0	2.3%	
	VWL		0%	
	Wifo		0%	
	WiPäd.		0%	
	Philologie/BaKuWi/MaKuWi		0%	
	UnternJur.		0%	
	Other		0%	
Targeted degree:				
	Bachelor		1009	% n=40
	Master		0%	
	Diplom		0%	
	Magister		0%	
	PhD		0%	
	Other		0%	
Semester (only for your actual course of study):		<u> </u>		, n=43
	2.	U	2.3%	0
	3. 5.		9.3%	
	7.	<u> </u>	2.3%	
	,	U	2.07	•
I attended the course regularly:				
. attorised the course regularly.	Yes		92.5	% n=40
	No		7.5%	
			1.0,	•
I am an exchange student:				
	Yes		10%	n=40
	No		90%	
			30%	







Profillinie

Teilbereich: Fakultät für Betriebswirtschaftslehre

Name der/des Lehrenden:

Professor Ernst Maug, Ph.D.

Titel der Lehrveranstaltung: (Name der Umfrage)

FIN 401 Corporate Finance & Risk Management | Lecture - Di, B1 - Professor Ernst Maug, Ph.D.

Verwendete Werte in der Profillinie: Mittelwert

Evaluation of the course

Course objectives were clearly stated.

Course requirements and criteria for grading were clearly explained.

The course was well structured.

The choice of topics was explained by the instructor.

The choice appeared well-founded to me.

Dissemination of subject matter was appropriately placed over the semester.


Course content was presented in a comprehensible


Instructor illustrated subject matter with examples from the business world and from current research.

Where appropriate instructor drew parallels to business-related disciplines.

Instructor incorporated audio-visual media effectively (e.g., blackboard, overhead projector, video, beamer).

Visual materials were easy to read and follow.





Answers given by the instructor were helpful in clarifying uncertainties.

Recommended reading materials (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were useful in facilitating understanding of course content.

Recommended reading materials (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were readily available.

Instructor's manner of speaking was clear and audible.


Instructor spoke at an appropriate speed

Instructor's lecturing style sustained my attention.

Instructor was open to subject-oriented issues.


Instructor was open and friendly towards students.

Instructor was able to arouse my interest in the subject.



Evaluation of general satisfaction with the course

Overall, I am satisfied with the course.




Evaluation of teaching and learning environment

Prior knowledge and experience helped me master the course content.

Technical equipment (overhead projector, beamer, blackboard, microphone, etc.) was always ready for use and functioned properly).

Size of the room was adequate for the course.

The room setup (seating, tables, lighting, ventilation, etc.) was satisfactory.



Auswertungsteil der offenen Fragen

Auto-Gruppe

What did you especially like about the course?

'I'E Professors

The choice of topics
and a management of the contract of the contra
The state of the s

What should/could be improved?

 5	(ii	gL	6	C	α	لمر	d	 k	u		V	v	8	ν	ι.	١.	e	0	ſ	_	 C	4	۲	d	a	Y	r	'n	·	7	r	-															
																																			,						.,						
												. ,								- 4															,						- 5 4						
																																						٠,٠									
					٠																															 			 ٠.			 					
				- 4.											٠.							. 4																									
																					,																										