Professor Ernst Maug, Ph.D.

FIN 401 Corporate Finance & Risk Management | Lecture - Di, B4 - Professor Ernst Maug, Ph.D. (7495a| HS2018)
Erfasste Fragebögen = 54

Auswertungsteil der geschlossenen Fragen

Legende

Fragetext



Personal Data

Course of Study

n=49

BWL	93.9%
BWL i.Q.	2%
VWL	2%
Wifo	0%
Wi.-Päd.	0%
Philologie/BaKuWi/MaKuWi	0%
Untern.-Jur.	0%
Other	2%

Targeted degree:

n=50

Bachelor	96%
Master	2%
Diplom	0%
Magister	2%
PhD	0%
Other	0%

Semester (only for your actual course of study):

n=49

1.	8.2%
3.	81.6%
5.	10.2%

I attended the course regularly:

n=47

Yes	93.6%
No	6.4%

I am an exchange student:

n=47

Yes	21.3%
No	78.7%

Evaluation of the course

Course objectives were clearly stated.	Strongly disagree	0%	4,2%	8,3%	60,4%	27,1%	Strongly agree
		5	4	3	2	1	n=48 mw=1,9 md=2 s=0,72
Course requirements and criteria for grading were clearly explained.	Strongly disagree	4,1%	14,3%	30,6%	42,9%	8,2%	Strongly agree
		5	4	3	2	1	n=49 mw=2,63 md=2 s=0,97
The course was well structured.	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	12,5%	56,3%	31,3%	Strongly agree
		5	4	3	2	1	n=48 mw=1,81 md=2 s=0,64
The choice of topics was explained by the instructor.	Strongly disagree	0%	4,1%	28,6%	38,8%	28,6%	Strongly agree
		5	4	3	2	1	n=49 mw=2,08 md=2 s=0,86
The choice appeared well-founded to me.	Strongly disagree	0%	6,1%	16,3%	49%	28,6%	Strongly agree
		5	4	3	2	1	n=49 mw=2 md=2 s=0,84
Dissemination of subject matter was appropriately placed over the semester.	Strongly disagree	0%	4,1%	16,3%	46,9%	32,7%	Strongly agree
		5	4	3	2	1	n=49 mw=1,92 md=2 s=0,81
Course content was presented in a comprehensible manner.	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	17%	53,2%	29,8%	Strongly agree
		5	4	3	2	1	n=47 mw=1,87 md=2 s=0,68
Instructor illustrated subject matter with examples from the business world and from current research.	Strongly disagree	0%	2,1%	21,3%	42,6%	34%	Strongly agree
		5	4	3	2	1	n=47 mw=1,91 md=2 s=0,8
Where appropriate instructor drew parallels to business-related disciplines.	Strongly disagree	0%	4,3%	29,8%	40,4%	25,5%	Strongly agree
		5	4	3	2	1	n=47 mw=2,13 md=2 s=0,85
Instructor incorporated audio-visual media effectively (e. g., blackboard, overhead projector, video, beamer).	Strongly disagree	0%	6,5%	17,4%	43,5%	32,6%	Strongly agree
		5	4	3	2	1	n=46 mw=1,98 md=2 s=0,88
Visual materials were easy to read and follow.	Strongly disagree	0%	6,3%	14,6%	50%	29,2%	Strongly agree
		5	4	3	2	1	n=48 mw=1,98 md=2 s=0,84

Instructor provided the opportunity for questions regarding content.	Strongly disagree	2,1%	0%	10,6%	31,9%	55,3%	Strongly agree
		5	4	3	2	1	n=47 mw=1,62 md=1 s=0,85

Answers given by the instructor were helpful in clarifying uncertainties.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=50 mw=1,84 md=2 s=0,77
Recommended reading materials (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were useful in facilitating understanding of course content.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=46 mw=1,93 md=2 s=0,98
Recommended reading materials (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were readily available.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=48 mw=1,83 md=2 s=0,93
Instructor's manner of speaking was clear and audible.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=51 mw=2,39 md=2 s=1,15
Instructor spoke at an appropriate speed.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=49 mw=1,65 md=2 s=0,83
Instructor's lecturing style sustained my attention.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=48 mw=2,27 md=2 s=1,01
Instructor was open to subject-oriented issues.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=46 mw=1,8 md=2 s=0,83
Instructor was open and friendly towards students.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=50 mw=1,7 md=2 s=0,76
Instructor was able to arouse my interest in the subject.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=47 mw=2,09 md=2 s=1,04

Evaluation of general satisfaction with the course

Overall, I am satisfied with the course.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=46 mw=1,96 md=2 s=0,73

Evaluation of teaching and learning environment

Prior knowledge and experience helped me master the course content.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=48 mw=2,02 md=2 s=0,89
Technical equipment (overhead projector, beamer, blackboard, microphone, etc.) was always ready for use and functioned properly).	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=47 mw=1,72 md=2 s=0,8

Size of the room was adequate for the course.



n=47
mw=2,17
md=2
s=1,27

The room setup (seating, tables, lighting, ventilation, etc.) was satisfactory.



n=46
mw=2,54
md=2
s=1,36

Profillinie

Teilbereich: Fakultät für Betriebswirtschaftslehre
Name der/des Lehrenden: Professor Ernst Maug, Ph.D.
Titel der Lehrveranstaltung: (Name der Umfrage) FIN 401 Corporate Finance & Risk Management | Lecture - Di, B4 - Professor Ernst Maug, Ph.D.

Verwendete Werte in der Profillinie: Mittelwert

Evaluation of the course



Course objectives were clearly stated.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=48	mw=1,90	md=2,00	s=0,72
Course requirements and criteria for grading were clearly explained.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=49	mw=2,63	md=2,00	s=0,97
The course was well structured.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=48	mw=1,81	md=2,00	s=0,64
The choice of topics was explained by the instructor.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=49	mw=2,08	md=2,00	s=0,86
The choice appeared well-founded to me.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=49	mw=2,00	md=2,00	s=0,84
Dissemination of subject matter was appropriately placed over the semester.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=49	mw=1,92	md=2,00	s=0,81
Course content was presented in a comprehensible manner.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=47	mw=1,87	md=2,00	s=0,68
Instructor illustrated subject matter with examples from the business world and from current research.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=47	mw=1,91	md=2,00	s=0,80
Where appropriate instructor drew parallels to business-related disciplines.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=47	mw=2,13	md=2,00	s=0,85
Instructor incorporated audio-visual media effectively (e.g., blackboard, overhead projector, video, beamer).	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=46	mw=1,98	md=2,00	s=0,88
Visual materials were easy to read and follow.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=48	mw=1,98	md=2,00	s=0,84



Instructor provided the opportunity for questions regarding content.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=47	mw=1,62	md=1,00	s=0,85
Answers given by the instructor were helpful in clarifying uncertainties.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=50	mw=1,84	md=2,00	s=0,77
Recommended reading materials (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were useful in facilitating understanding of course content.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=46	mw=1,93	md=2,00	s=0,98
Recommended reading materials (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were readily available.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=48	mw=1,83	md=2,00	s=0,93
Instructor's manner of speaking was clear and audible.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=51	mw=2,39	md=2,00	s=1,15
Instructor spoke at an appropriate speed.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=49	mw=1,65	md=2,00	s=0,83
Instructor's lecturing style sustained my attention.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=48	mw=2,27	md=2,00	s=1,01
Instructor was open to subject-oriented issues.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=46	mw=1,80	md=2,00	s=0,83
Instructor was open and friendly towards students.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=50	mw=1,70	md=2,00	s=0,76
Instructor was able to arouse my interest in the subject.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=47	mw=2,09	md=2,00	s=1,04

Evaluation of general satisfaction with the course

Overall, I am satisfied with the course.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=46	mw=1,96 md=2,00	s=0,73

Evaluation of teaching and learning environment

Prior knowledge and experience helped me master the course content.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=48	mw=2,02 md=2,00	s=0,89
Technical equipment (overhead projector, beamer, blackboard, microphone, etc.) was always ready for use and functioned properly).	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=47	mw=1,72 md=2,00	s=0,80
Size of the room was adequate for the course.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=47	mw=2,17 md=2,00	s=1,27
The room setup (seating, tables, lighting, ventilation, etc.) was satisfactory.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=46	mw=2,54 md=2,00	s=1,36

Auswertungsteil der offenen Fragen

Auto-Gruppe

What did you especially like about the course?

thank you for the great + understandable lessons!

Good instructors

The spanish guy

applied examples in the lecture

I particularly liked the teaching method of the main professor, but I found the regular change of lecturers a little bit distracting.

the the professor explains quite [illegible] and [illegible] my interest

Professor Maug taught in an appropriate manner with clear explanations.

What should/could be improved?

Raum lüften

It would be great if the professor could use a microphone!

More exercise sessions would be great!

Improve the health of Mr. Maug

lectures are too theoretical
→ it's not possible to answer the exercise sheets with information given in the lecture

→ focus more on calculations in lecture, at least the formulas

To my mind, more foundation on theories could be useful before getting to the practical examples. In addition, it is important to emphasize on the key concepts as finance is not just computations.

Please provide more (optional) exercises to us.

~~[illegible]~~
It would be better if he use microphone

Weniger Graphiken!!!

Would appreciate if we are given a notice in advanced should there be a substitute lecturer.
Also, the speed of exercise is too fast.

Please ~~[illegible]~~ use microphone.
The content of the ~~[illegible]~~ exercise & lecture are ~~[illegible]~~ no too much related.


Different room. Need electricity for my laptop
Bad air + too small