


**PORTAL Fakultät für Betriebswirtschaftslehre**  
 FIN 540 Fallstudiengruppe Corporate Finance - Do, 15:30-18:00 - Professor Ernst Maug, Ph.D. (534842)  
 Erfasste Fragebögen = 47



Auswertungsteil der geschlossenen Fragen


**Legende**

Frage**text**




1. Personal data


1.1) Course of Study:



1.2) Targeted degree:



1.3) Semester (only for your actual course of study):



1.4) I attended the course regularly:




1.5) I am an exchange student:




2. Evaluation of the course


2.1) **Course objectives** were clearly stated.




2.2) **Course requirements** and criteria for grading were clearly explained.




2.3) The course was **well structured**.




2.4) The **choice of topics** was explained by the instructor.




2.5) The choice appeared **well-founded** to me.




2.6) Dissemination of **subject matter** was appropriately placed over the semester.




2.7) Course content was presented in a **comprehensible manner**.




2.8) Instructor illustrated subject matter with **examples** from the **business world** and from **current research**.




2.9) Where appropriate instructor drew **parallels to business-related disciplines**.




2.10) Instructor incorporated **audio-visual media** effectively (e.g., blackboard, overhead projector, video, beamer).




2.11) **Visual materials** were easy to read and follow.




2.12) Instructor provided the opportunity for **questions** regarding content.




2.13) **Answers** given by the instructor were helpful in clarifying uncertainties.




2.14) Instructor **encouraged active participation** throughout the course.




2.15) The course offered ample time for **discussion of important aspects** of course content.




2.16) Contributions of instructor




2.17) Papers and reports of other participants




2.18) Preparation of own paper




2.19) Discussions in the course




2.20) Teamwork




2.21) Individual work




2.22) Special session (e.g., guest speaker)




2.23) Reading of listed literature



2.24) Revision of lecture notes



2.25) Visit to instructor during office hour




|       |  |                                      |                |                                 |
|-------|--|--------------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|
| 2.26) | Recommended reading <b>materials</b> (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were <b>useful</b> in facilitating understanding of course content. | <p>4.4% 11.1% 13.3% 53.3% 17.8%</p>  | Strongly agree | n=45<br>mw=2.3<br>md=2<br>s=1   |
| 2.27) | Recommended reading <b>materials</b> (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were readily <b>available</b> .                                     | <p>11.9% 11.9% 23.8% 38.1% 14.3%</p> | Strongly agree | n=42<br>mw=2.7<br>md=2<br>s=1.2 |
| 2.28) | Instructor's <b>manner of speaking</b> was clear and audible.  | <p>0% 0% 8.7% 47.8% 43.5%</p>        | Strongly agree | n=46<br>mw=1.7<br>md=2<br>s=0.6 |
| 2.29) | Instructor spoke at an appropriate <b>speed</b> .  | <p>0% 0% 4.4% 44.4% 51.1%</p>        | Strongly agree | n=45<br>mw=1.5<br>md=1<br>s=0.6 |
| 2.30) | Instructor's <b>lecturing style</b> sustained my attention.  | <p>8.9% 0% 11.1% 37.8% 42.2%</p>     | Strongly agree | n=45<br>mw=2<br>md=2<br>s=1.2   |
| 2.31) | Instructor was <b>open to subject-oriented issues</b> .  | <p>4.4% 0% 8.9% 44.4% 42.2%</p>      | Strongly agree | n=45<br>mw=1.8<br>md=2<br>s=0.9 |
| 2.32) | Instructor was <b>open and friendly</b> towards students.  | <p>2.2% 2.2% 13.3% 42.2% 40%</p>     | Strongly agree | n=45<br>mw=1.8<br>md=2<br>s=0.9 |
| 2.33) | Instructor responded to <b>students' ideas and opinions</b> in a constructive manner.  | <p>0% 11.1% 17.8% 40% 31.1%</p>      | Strongly agree | n=45<br>mw=2.1<br>md=2<br>s=1   |
| 2.34) | Instructor included questions, exercises, etc. in the course which enabled students to <b>assess their progress</b> .                      | <p>4.8% 4.8% 21.4% 42.9% 26.2%</p>   | Strongly agree | n=42<br>mw=2.2<br>md=2<br>s=1   |
| 2.35) | Instructor was able to <b>arouse my interest</b> in the subject.   | <p>2.2% 8.7% 21.7% 39.1% 28.3%</p>   | Strongly agree | n=46<br>mw=2.2<br>md=2<br>s=1   |
| 2.36) | The majority of the <b>students</b> was well <b>prepared</b> .   | <p>0% 0% 2.3% 52.3% 45.5%</p>        | Strongly agree | n=44<br>mw=1.6<br>md=2<br>s=0.5 |
| 2.37) | The majority of <b>students actively participated</b> in the course.   | <p>0% 0% 8.9% 44.4% 46.7%</p>        | Strongly agree | n=45<br>mw=1.6<br>md=2<br>s=0.6 |


3. Evaluation of the coordination between this course and the lecture

|      |  |                                    |                |                                 |
|------|--|------------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|
| 3.1) | This course was well-coordinated with the lecture with regard the <b>content</b> . | <p>6.7% 6.7% 17.8% 42.2% 26.7%</p> | Strongly agree | n=45<br>mw=2.2<br>md=2<br>s=1.1 |
|------|--|------------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|


3.2) This course and the lecture were **well synchronized**.




3.3) This course **resolved points** which were not understood clear in the lecture.



3.4) **Assignments** given in this course **could be completed** using the material presented in the lecture.




3.5) The **assignments facilitated my understanding** of the lecture.




4. Evaluation of general satisfaction with the course

4.1) **Overall**, I am **satisfied** with the course.




5. Evaluation of teaching and learning environment


5.1) Prior knowledge and experience helped me master the course **content**.




5.2) **Technical equipment** (overhead projector, beamer, blackboard, microphone, etc.) was always ready for use and functioned properly).



5.3) **Size of the room** was adequate for the course.



5.4) The **room setup** (seating, tables, lighting, ventilation, etc.) was satisfactory.




# Profillinie


Teilbereich: Fakultät für Betriebswirtschaftslehre

Name der/des Lehrenden: PORTAL Fakultät für Betriebswirtschaftslehre


Titel der Lehrveranstaltung: FIN 540 Fallstudiengruppe Corporate Finance - Do, 15:30-18:00 - Professor Ernst Maug, Ph.D.  
(Name der Umfrage)

## 2. Evaluation of the course






### 3. Evaluation of the coordination between this course and the lecture



### 4. Evaluation of general satisfaction with the course



### 5. Evaluation of teaching and learning environment

