
Quantil

## PORTAL Fakultät für Betriebswirtschaftslehre





### Auswertungsteil der geschlossenen Fragen

Mittelwert

Median

Relative Häufigkeiten der Antworten Std.-Abw.

Legende

Fragetext	Linker Pol 25% 0%  Skala	3 2 1 Histogramm	Rechter Pol	n=Anzahl mw=Mittelwert md=Median s=StdAbw. E.=Enthaltung
1 Dereand data				
1. Personal data				
1.1) Course of Study:				
	BWL (Business Administration)		100%	n=119
BV	VL i.Q. (with intercultural qualification)		0%	
	VWL (Economics)		0%	
	Wifo (Information Systems)		0%	
	WiPäd. (Business Education)		0%	
	Language or cultural studies		0%	
	School of Law		0%	
	Other		0%	
1.2) Targeted degree:				
	Bachelor ()		1.7%	n=118
	Master		97.5%	
	Diploma		0%	
	Magister <b>[</b>		0.8%	
	PhD or other doctoral program		0%	
	Other		0%	
1.3) Semester (only for your actual course of study):				
	1.		67.8%	n=121
	2. 🕽		1.7%	
	3.		24.8%	
	4. 🛚		0.8%	
	5. 📗		0.8%	
	7. 🔾		2.5%	
	8. 🕽		1.7%	
1.4) I attended the course regularly:				
<del>- g,</del> -	Yes		100%	n=108
	No No		0%	

PORTAL Fakultät für Betriebswirtschaftslehre, FIN 540 Corporate Finance - Vorlesung - Dr. Christoph Schneider <sup>1.5)</sup> I am an exchange student: n=103 Yes 4.9% 95.1% 2. Evaluation of the course 53.8% <sup>2.1)</sup> Course objectives were clearly stated. n=119 mw=2.1 md=2 s=0.8 Strongly disagree Strongly agree 4 1% 6.6% 24% 35.5% Course requirements and criteria for grading were n=121 mw=2.2 md=2 s=1.1 Strongly disagree Strongly agree clearly explained. 7.6% n=119 mw=2.3 md=2 s=1.1 The course was well structured. Strongly disagree Strongly agree 16.4% 32% 37.7% The choice of topics was explained by the n=122 mw=2.6 md=2.5 s=1 Strongly disagree Strongly agree instructor. 0.8% 6.7% 31.9% 43.7% 16.8% The choice appeared well-founded to me. n=119 Strongly disagree Strongly agree mw=2.3 md=2 s=0.9 2.5% 4.2% 21% Dissemination of subject matter was appropriately n=119 mw=2.1 md=2 s=0.9 Strongly disagree Strongly agree placed over the semester. 6.7% 10% Course content was presented in a **comprehensible manner**. n=120 mw=2.4 md=2 s=1.1 Strongly disagree Strongly agree 19.8% 1.7% 9.9% Instructor illustrated subject matter with examples Strongly disagree Strongly agree mw=2.1 md=2 from the business world and from current research. 0.8% 9.9% 45.5% Where appropriate instructor drew **parallels to business-related disciplines**. Strongly disagree Strongly agree mw=2.3 md=2 s=0.9 0.8% 1.7% 22.7% 52.9% 21.8% <sup>2.10)</sup> Instructor incorporated audio-visual media n=119 Strongly disagree Strongly agree mw=2.1 md=2 s=0.8 effectively (e.g., blackboard, overhead projector, video, beamer). <sup>2.11)</sup> Visual materials were easy to read and follow. n=119 mw=2.3 md=2 Strongly disagree Strongly agree

<sup>2.12)</sup> Instructor provided the opportunity for **questions** regarding content.

Strongly disagree

0%

1.6%


9%


41%

48.4%

Strongly agree

n=122 mw=1.6 md=2 s=0.7





# **Profillinie**


Teilbereich: Fakultät für Betriebswirtschaftslehre

Name der/des Lehrenden: PORTAL Fakultät für Betriebswirtschaftslehre

Titel der Lehrveranstaltung: FIN 540 Corporate Finance - Vorlesung - Dr. Christoph Schneider

(Name der Umfrage)

#### 2. Evaluation of the course



#### 3. Evaluation of general satisfaction with the course

3.1) Overall, I am satisfied with the course.



## 4. Evaluation of teaching and learning environment

- 4.1) **Prior knowledge** and **experience** helped me master the course content.
- 4.2) Technical equipment (overhead projector, beamer, blackboard, microphone, etc.) was always ready for use and functioned properly).
- $^{4.3)}$  Size of the room was adequate for the course.
- 4.4) The **room setup** (seating, tables, lighting, ventilation, etc.) was satisfactory.

