Dr. Christoph Schneider

FIN 640 Corporate Finance II | Lecture (4747a|FS2016) Erfasste Fragebögen = 38



Auswertungsteil der geschlossenen Fragen

Mittelwert

Median

Quantil


Std.-Abw.

Relative Häufigkeiten der Antworten

Legende

n=Anzahl mw=Mittelwert md=Median s=Std.-Abw. E.=Enthaltung 0% 0% Fragetext Rechter Pol Linker Pol Skala Histogramm **Personal Data** Course of Study n=38 BWL 94.7% BWL i.Q. 2.6% VWL 0% Wifo 0% Wi.-Päd. 0% Philologie/BaKuWi/MaKuWi 0% Untern.-Jur. 0% Other | 2.6% Targeted degree: n=37 Bachelor 0% 100% Master Diplom 0% Magister 0% PhD 0% 0% Other Semester (only for your actual course of study): n=37 83.8% 16.2% I attended the course regularly: n=36 97.2% Yes No 🗍 2.8% I am an exchange student: n=36 5.6% Yes 94.4% No

Evaluation of the course Course objectives were clearly stated. n=38 mw=1,76 md=2 s=0,63 Strongly disagree Strongly agree 5 0% 7.9% 10.5% 36.8% n=38 mw=1,82 md=2 Course requirements and criteria for grading were Strongly disagree Strongly agree clearly explained. s=0.93 0% 0% 39,5% 7,9% 52,6% n=38 mw=1,55 md=1 s=0,65 The course was well structured. Strongly disagree Strongly agree 0% 7.9% 10.5% 42.1% 39.5% The choice of topics was explained by the instructor. n=38 Strongly disagree Strongly agree mw=1,87 md=2 s=0,91 0% 0% 10,5% n=38 mw=1,66 md=2 s=0,67 The choice appeared well-founded to me. Strongly disagree Strongly agree n=38 mw=1,55 md=1,5 s=0,6 Dissemination of subject matter was appropriately Strongly disagree Strongly agree placed over the semester. 5 65.8% 0% 0% 0% 34.2% Course content was presented in a comprehensible n=38 Strongly disagree Strongly agree mw=1,34 md=1 manner. s=0.48 78,4% 21,6% n=37 mw=1,22 md=1 Instructor illustrated subject matter with examples from Strongly disagree Strongly agree the business world and from current research. s=0,42 0% 2 6% 10.5% 28.9% 57,9% Where appropriate instructor drew parallels to businessn=38 Strongly disagree Strongly agree mw=1,58 md=1 s=0,79 related disciplines. 5 2,6% 42,1% 55,3% n=38 mw=1,5 md=1 s=0,65 Instructor incorporated audio-visual media effectively (e. Strongly disagree Strongly agree g., blackboard, overhead projector, video, beamer). -5 0% 36,8% Visual materials were easy to read and follow. n=38 mw=1,53 md=1 s=0,65 Strongly disagree Strongly agree 5 3 0% 0% Instructor provided the opportunity for questions n=38 Strongly disagree Strongly agree mw=1,18 md=1 s=0,46 regarding content. 5





Profillinie

Teilbereich: Fakultät für Betriebswirtschaftslehre

Name der/des Lehrenden:

Dr. Christoph Schneider

Titel der Lehrveranstaltung: (Name der Umfrage)

FIN 640 Corporate Finance II | Lecture

Verwendete Werte in der Profillinie: Mittelwert

Evaluation of the course

Course objectives were clearly stated.

Course requirements and criteria for grading were clearly explained.

The course was well structured.

The choice of topics was explained by the instructor.

The choice appeared well-founded to me.

Dissemination of subject matter was appropriately placed over the semester.


Course content was presented in a comprehensible

Instructor illustrated subject matter with examples from the business world and from current research.

Where appropriate instructor drew parallels to business-related disciplines.

Instructor incorporated audio-visual media effectively (e.g., blackboard, overhead projector, video, beamer).

Visual materials were easy to read and follow.



mw=1.76 md=2.00 s=0.63 n=38 mw=1,82 md=2,00 s=0,93 mw=1.55 md=1.00 s=0.65 n=38 mw=1,87 md=2,00 s=0,91 n=38 mw=1.66 md=2.00 s=0.67 n=38 mw=1,55 md=1,50 s=0,60 n=38 mw=1,34 md=1,00 s=0,48 mw=1,22 md=1,00 s=0,42 n=37 n=38 mw=1.58 md=1.00 s=0.79 mw=1.50 md=1.00 s=0.65 n=38

mw=1,53 md=1,00 s=0,65

Instructor provided the opportunity for questions regarding content.

Answers given by the instructor were helpful in clarifying uncertainties.

Recommended reading materials (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were useful in facilitating understanding of course content.

Recommended reading materials (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were readily available.

Instructor's manner of speaking was clear and audible.


Instructor spoke at an appropriate speed.

Instructor's lecturing style sustained my attention.

Instructor was open to subject-oriented issues.

Instructor was open and friendly towards students.

Instructor was able to arouse my interest in the subject.



 n=38
 mw=1,18 md=1,00 s=0,46

 n=38
 mw=1,39 md=1,00 s=0,50

 n=36
 mw=1,94 md=2,00 s=0,86

 n=37
 mw=1,62 md=1,00 s=0,72

 n=36
 mw=1,25 md=1,00 s=0,44

 n=38
 mw=1,26 md=1,00 s=0,50

 n=38
 mw=1,26 md=1,00 s=0,50


 n=38
 mw=1,21 md=1,00 s=0,41

mw=1,46 md=1,00 s=0,80

n=37

Evaluation of general satisfaction with the course

Overall, I am satisfied with the course.




Evaluation of teaching and learning environment

Prior knowledge and experience helped me master the course content.

Technical equipment (overhead projector, beamer, blackboard, microphone, etc.) was always ready for use and functioned properly).

Size of the room was adequate for the course.

The room setup (seating, tables, lighting, ventilation, etc.) was satisfactory.



Auswertungsteil der offenen Fragen

Auto-Gruppe

What did you especially like about the course?

Very interesting depics & materials on overall. Our instructor.	Dool of the weak vection Interesting topics
Provides comprehasive insight into M8A deals Deal 08 the week is nice	Comection L real live!
Examples of deals—Cases	Sould Sent to correct M&A - clack (cleal of the week) Poller Instructor
Deal of the yeak" Polley	Discussion of deal of the week
Deal of the week Poller Sch-eider	Surveys during leakure. Deal of the week

06.06.2016

o Discussion (lively) o Poller (inhaction)	"Deal of the week"
o Poller (inkachan)	combination of machine examples and academic research
c Deal of the weak o Force on Shill. Now he rund larny by heart	J
a trea on shell. Not ho such larms in heart	
engaging justinuctor & intresting could t	The instructor
engaging instructor & intresting content	The instructor
engaging instructor & intresting content	The instructor
engaging instructor & intresting content	The inchnicor
engaging instructor & intresting content	The instructor
engaging instructor & intresting content	The instructor
engaging instructor & intresting content	The instructor
engaging instructor & intresting content	The instructor
	The instructor

What should/could be improved?

There should be some sample problems to prepare
for an exam
Palianta to at almost
Participation grade not idevant

06.06.2016 EvaSys Auswertung Seite 8

* more quest spealers	- 'Practice Questions' for the exam
o guidance what to learn/how to prepare for exam.	
· plit betwee into two parts (3 hours too long to stay bound)	- speak for first sunshines - Split De Chave into him youts Policiel to Concentrate Usine
Sessions à 3 hrs. the large more practice enemptes	proce focus on practiciones' approach
Nothing comos to my mind.	The way the cases are graded, -> more corristing & transparane and grade needs to be more transparant
	Ond grack needs to be more transparant