Dr. Marc Gabarro

FIN 640 Corporate Finance II | Fallseminar, Di, B4 (8337a|FS2018)
Erfasste Fragebögen = 13

Auswertungsteil der geschlossenen Fragen

Legende

Fragetext



n=Anzahl
mw=Mittelwert
md=Median
s=Std.-Abw.
E.=Enthaltung

Personal Data

Course of Study

n=13

BWL	100%
BWL i.Q.	0%
VWL	0%
Wifo	0%
Wi.-Päd.	0%
Philologie/BaKuWi/MaKuWi	0%
Untern.-Jur.	0%
Other	0%

Targeted degree:

n=13

Bachelor	0%
Master	100%
Diplom	0%
Magister	0%
PhD	0%
Other	0%

Semester (only for your actual course of study):

n=13

2.	84.6%
3.	7.7%
4.	7.7%

I attended the course regularly:

n=12

Yes	100%
No	0%

I am an exchange student:

n=12

Yes	0%
No	100%

Evaluation of the course

Course objectives were clearly stated.	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	15,4%	30,8%	53,8%	Strongly agree	n=13 mw=1,62 md=1 s=0,77
Course requirements and criteria for grading were clearly explained.	Strongly disagree	0%	7,7%	30,8%	23,1%	38,5%	Strongly agree	n=13 mw=2,08 md=2 s=1,04
The course was well structured.	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	23,1%	23,1%	53,8%	Strongly agree	n=13 mw=1,69 md=1 s=0,85
The choice of topics was explained by the instructor.	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	23,1%	30,8%	46,2%	Strongly agree	n=13 mw=1,77 md=2 s=0,83
The choice appeared well-founded to me.	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	23,1%	15,4%	61,5%	Strongly agree	n=13 mw=1,62 md=1 s=0,87
Dissemination of subject matter was appropriately placed over the semester.	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	23,1%	30,8%	46,2%	Strongly agree	n=13 mw=1,77 md=2 s=0,83
Course content was presented in a comprehensible manner.	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	38,5%	38,5%	23,1%	Strongly agree	n=13 mw=2,15 md=2 s=0,8
Instructor illustrated subject matter with examples from the business world and from current research.	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	15,4%	7,7%	76,9%	Strongly agree	n=13 mw=1,38 md=1 s=0,77
Where appropriate instructor drew parallels to business-related disciplines.	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	0%	30,8%	69,2%	Strongly agree	n=13 mw=1,31 md=1 s=0,48
Instructor incorporated audio-visual media effectively (e. g., blackboard, overhead projector, video, beamer).	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	7,7%	30,8%	61,5%	Strongly agree	n=13 mw=1,46 md=1 s=0,66
Visual materials were easy to read and follow.	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	38,5%	23,1%	38,5%	Strongly agree	n=13 mw=2 md=2 s=0,91
Instructor provided the opportunity for questions regarding content.	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	7,7%	15,4%	76,9%	Strongly agree	n=13 mw=1,31 md=1 s=0,63

Answers given by the instructor were helpful in clarifying uncertainties.	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	30,8%	38,5%	30,8%	Strongly agree	n=13 mw=2 md=2 s=0,82
Instructor encouraged active participation throughout the course.	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	0%	15,4%	84,6%	Strongly agree	n=13 mw=1,15 md=1 s=0,38
The course offered ample time for discussion of important aspects of course content.	Strongly disagree	0%	15,4%	15,4%	15,4%	53,8%	Strongly agree	n=13 mw=1,92 md=1 s=1,19
Recommended reading materials (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were useful in facilitating understanding of course content.	Strongly disagree	0%	7,7%	38,5%	15,4%	38,5%	Strongly agree	n=13 mw=2,15 md=2 s=1,07
Recommended reading materials (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were readily available.	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	36,4%	27,3%	36,4%	Strongly agree	n=11 mw=2 md=2 s=0,89
Contributions of instructor	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	15,4%	38,5%	46,2%	Strongly agree	n=13 mw=1,69 md=2 s=0,75
Papers and reports of other participants	Strongly disagree	15,4%	15,4%	38,5%	7,7%	23,1%	Strongly agree	n=13 mw=2,92 md=3 s=1,38
Preparation of own paper	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	7,7%	30,8%	61,5%	Strongly agree	n=13 mw=1,46 md=1 s=0,66
Discussions in the course	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	15,4%	23,1%	61,5%	Strongly agree	n=13 mw=1,54 md=1 s=0,78
Teamwork	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	7,7%	7,7%	84,6%	Strongly agree	n=13 mw=1,23 md=1 s=0,6
Individual work	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	7,7%	46,2%	46,2%	Strongly agree	n=13 mw=1,62 md=2 s=0,65
Special session (e.g., guest speaker)	Strongly disagree	0%	15,4%	23,1%	30,8%	30,8%	Strongly agree	n=13 mw=2,23 md=2 s=1,09
Reading of listed literature	Strongly disagree	8,3%	8,3%	33,3%	33,3%	16,7%	Strongly agree	n=12 mw=2,58 md=2,5 s=1,16

Revision of lecture notes	Strongly disagree	0% 7,7% 38,5% 23,1% 30,8%	Strongly agree	n=13 mw=2,23 md=2 s=1,01
Visit to instructor during office hour	Strongly disagree	0% 8,3% 41,7% 33,3% 16,7%	Strongly agree	n=12 mw=2,42 md=2,5 s=0,9
Instructor's manner of speaking was clear and audible.	Strongly disagree	0% 7,7% 7,7% 30,8% 53,8%	Strongly agree	n=13 mw=1,69 md=1 s=0,95
Instructor spoke at an appropriate speed.	Strongly disagree	0% 7,7% 7,7% 30,8% 53,8%	Strongly agree	n=13 mw=1,69 md=1 s=0,95
Instructor's lecturing style sustained my attention.	Strongly disagree	0% 7,7% 0% 53,8% 38,5%	Strongly agree	n=13 mw=1,77 md=2 s=0,83
Instructor was open to subject-oriented issues.	Strongly disagree	0% 0% 7,7% 15,4% 76,9%	Strongly agree	n=13 mw=1,31 md=1 s=0,63
Instructor was open and friendly towards students.	Strongly disagree	0% 0% 7,7% 15,4% 76,9%	Strongly agree	n=13 mw=1,31 md=1 s=0,63
Instructor responded to students' ideas and opinions in a constructive manner.	Strongly disagree	0% 0% 7,7% 30,8% 61,5%	Strongly agree	n=13 mw=1,46 md=1 s=0,66
Instructor included questions, exercises, etc. in the course which enabled students to assess their progress.	Strongly disagree	0% 7,7% 30,8% 15,4% 46,2%	Strongly agree	n=13 mw=2 md=2 s=1,08
Instructor was able to arouse my interest in the subject.	Strongly disagree	0% 0% 7,7% 38,5% 53,8%	Strongly agree	n=13 mw=1,54 md=1 s=0,66
The majority of the students was well prepared.	Strongly disagree	0% 0% 0% 23,1% 76,9%	Strongly agree	n=13 mw=1,23 md=1 s=0,44
The majority of students actively participated in the course.	Strongly disagree	0% 0% 0% 25% 75%	Strongly agree	n=12 mw=1,25 md=1 s=0,45

Evaluation of the coordination between this course and the lecture

This course was well-coordinated with the lecture with regard the content.



n=13
mw=2,08
md=2
s=0,95

This course and the lecture were well synchronized.



n=13
mw=2
md=2
s=1

This course resolved points which were not understood clear in the lecture.



n=12
mw=2,08
md=2
s=0,9

Assignments given in this course could be completed using the material presented in the lecture.



n=12
mw=2,58
md=2,5
s=1,44

The assignments facilitated my understanding of the lecture.



n=12
mw=1,92
md=2
s=0,79

Evaluation of general satisfaction with the course

Overall, I am satisfied with the course.



n=12
mw=2
md=2
s=0,74

Evaluation of teaching and learning environment

Prior knowledge and experience helped me master the course content.



n=12
mw=1,92
md=2
s=0,9

Technical equipment (overhead projector, beamer, blackboard, microphone, etc.) was always ready for use and functioned properly).



n=11
mw=1,45
md=1
s=0,52

Size of the room was adequate for the course.



n=12
mw=1,42
md=1
s=0,67

The room setup (seating, tables, lighting, ventilation, etc.) was satisfactory.



n=11
mw=1,45
md=1
s=0,69

Profillinie

Teilbereich: Fakultät für Betriebswirtschaftslehre
Name der/des Lehrenden: Dr. Marc Gabarro
Titel der Lehrveranstaltung: (Name der Umfrage) FIN 640 Corporate Finance II | Fallseminar, Di, B4

Verwendete Werte in der Profillinie: Mittelwert

Evaluation of the course



Course objectives were clearly stated.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=13	mw=1,62	md=1,00	s=0,77
Course requirements and criteria for grading were clearly explained.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=13	mw=2,08	md=2,00	s=1,04
The course was well structured.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=13	mw=1,69	md=1,00	s=0,85
The choice of topics was explained by the instructor.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=13	mw=1,77	md=2,00	s=0,83
The choice appeared well-founded to me.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=13	mw=1,62	md=1,00	s=0,87
Dissemination of subject matter was appropriately placed over the semester.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=13	mw=1,77	md=2,00	s=0,83
Course content was presented in a comprehensible manner.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=13	mw=2,15	md=2,00	s=0,80
Instructor illustrated subject matter with examples from the business world and from current research.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=13	mw=1,38	md=1,00	s=0,77
Where appropriate instructor drew parallels to business-related disciplines.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=13	mw=1,31	md=1,00	s=0,48
Instructor incorporated audio-visual media effectively (e.g., blackboard, overhead projector, video, beamer).	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=13	mw=1,46	md=1,00	s=0,66
Visual materials were easy to read and follow.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=13	mw=2,00	md=2,00	s=0,91



Instructor provided the opportunity for questions regarding content.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=13	mw=1,31	md=1,00	s=0,63
Answers given by the instructor were helpful in clarifying uncertainties.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=13	mw=2,00	md=2,00	s=0,82
Instructor encouraged active participation throughout the course.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=13	mw=1,15	md=1,00	s=0,38
The course offered ample time for discussion of important aspects of course content.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=13	mw=1,92	md=1,00	s=1,19
Recommended reading materials (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were useful in facilitating understanding of course content.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=13	mw=2,15	md=2,00	s=1,07
Recommended reading materials (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were readily available.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=11	mw=2,00	md=2,00	s=0,89
Contributions of instructor	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=13	mw=1,69	md=2,00	s=0,75
Papers and reports of other participants	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=13	mw=2,92	md=3,00	s=1,38
Preparation of own paper	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=13	mw=1,46	md=1,00	s=0,66
Discussions in the course	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=13	mw=1,54	md=1,00	s=0,78
Teamwork	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=13	mw=1,23	md=1,00	s=0,60

Individual work	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=13	mw=1,62	md=2,00	s=0,65
Special session (e.g., guest speaker)	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=13	mw=2,23	md=2,00	s=1,09
Reading of listed literature	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=12	mw=2,58	md=2,50	s=1,16
Revision of lecture notes	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=13	mw=2,23	md=2,00	s=1,01
Visit to instructor during office hour	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=12	mw=2,42	md=2,50	s=0,90
Instructor's manner of speaking was clear and audible.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=13	mw=1,69	md=1,00	s=0,95
Instructor spoke at an appropriate speed.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=13	mw=1,69	md=1,00	s=0,95

Instructor's lecturing style sustained my attention.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=13	mw=1,77	md=2,00	s=0,83
Instructor was open to subject-oriented issues.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=13	mw=1,31	md=1,00	s=0,63
Instructor was open and friendly towards students.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=13	mw=1,31	md=1,00	s=0,63
Instructor responded to students' ideas and opinions in a constructive manner.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=13	mw=1,46	md=1,00	s=0,66
Instructor included questions, exercises, etc. in the course which enabled students to assess their progress.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=13	mw=2,00	md=2,00	s=1,08
Instructor was able to arouse my interest in the subject.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=13	mw=1,54	md=1,00	s=0,66
The majority of the students was well prepared.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=13	mw=1,23	md=1,00	s=0,44
The majority of students actively participated in the course.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=12	mw=1,25	md=1,00	s=0,45

Evaluation of the coordination between this course and the lecture

This course was well-coordinated with the lecture with regard the content.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=13	mw=2,08	md=2,00	s=0,95
This course and the lecture were well synchronized.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=13	mw=2,00	md=2,00	s=1,00

This course resolved points which were not understood clear in the lecture.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=12	mw=2,08	md=2,00	s=0,90
Assignments given in this course could be completed using the material presented in the lecture.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=12	mw=2,58	md=2,50	s=1,44
The assignments facilitated my understanding of the lecture.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=12	mw=1,92	md=2,00	s=0,79

Evaluation of general satisfaction with the course

Overall, I am satisfied with the course.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=12	mw=2,00	md=2,00	s=0,74

Evaluation of teaching and learning environment

Prior knowledge and experience helped me master the course content.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=12	mw=1,92 md=2,00 s=0,90
Technical equipment (overhead projector, beamer, blackboard, microphone, etc.) was always ready for use and functioned properly).	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=11	mw=1,45 md=1,00 s=0,52
Size of the room was adequate for the course.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=12	mw=1,42 md=1,00 s=0,67
The room setup (seating, tables, lighting, ventilation, etc.) was satisfactory.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=11	mw=1,45 md=1,00 s=0,69

Auswertungsteil der offenen Fragen

Auto-Gruppe

What did you especially like about the course?

- - Cases
  - Lecture and style
  - Guest lecture by Roche (totally interesting)
  - Overall, really good course!!
- - Interesting cases
  - Visit by Roche was really interesting in combination with the Roche case
- Active participation, dynamic lecture
- Practical, dynamical, cooperative teaching format
- Thorough discussion of cases. A lot of things at times are missed out.

What should/could be improved?

- - Invite companie from banking sector
  - More reading material for cases
- - Structure of slides
  - Lectures could be held more efficient
- I don't think everyone gets and equal chance of class participation. I think it is biased most of the times.
- Maybe more valuable discussion/ information about cases and similar examples or other empirical evidence
- More given feedback on our case submissions (more detail where we made mistakes and how the cases are graded)
- Talk about content in slides into details and explain more about basic points