Evaluationsstelle der Fakultät für BWL

FIN 740 Corporate Finance Seminar | Seminar - Herr Professor Ernst Maug, Ph.D.; Herr Jan Siewert; Herr Martin Lenz; Herr Pascal Bush (1051a|FS2014)
Erfasste Fragebögen = 13

Auswertungsteil der geschlossenen Fragen

Legende

Fragetext



n=Anzahl
mw=Mittelwert
md=Median
s=Std.-Abw.
E.=Enthaltung

Personal Data

Course of Study

n=12

BWL	100%
BWL i.Q.	0%
VWL	0%
Wifo	0%
Wi.-Päd.	0%
Philologie/BaKuWi/MaKuWi	0%
Untern.-Jur.	0%
Other	0%

Targeted degree:

n=12

Bachelor	0%
Master	100%
Diplom	0%
Magister	0%
PhD	0%
Other	0%

Semester (only for your actual course of study):

n=12

2.	41.7%
4.	50%
5.	8.3%

I attended the course regularly:

n=11

Yes	100%
No	0%

I am an exchange student:

n=11

Yes	9.1%
No	90.9%

Evaluation of the course

Course objectives were clearly stated.	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	0%	25%	75%	Strongly agree
		5	4	3	2	1	

n=12
mw=1,25
md=1
s=0,45

Course requirements and criteria for grading were clearly explained.	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	0%	41,7%	58,3%	Strongly agree
		5	4	3	2	1	

n=12
mw=1,42
md=1
s=0,51

The course was well structured.	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	8,3%	8,3%	83,3%	Strongly agree
		5	4	3	2	1	

n=12
mw=1,25
md=1
s=0,62

The choice of topics was explained by the instructor.	Strongly disagree	8,3%	0%	8,3%	50%	33,3%	Strongly agree
		5	4	3	2	1	

n=12
mw=2
md=2
s=1,13

The choice appeared well-founded to me.	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	0%	58,3%	41,7%	Strongly agree
		5	4	3	2	1	

n=12
mw=1,58
md=2
s=0,51

Dissemination of subject matter was appropriately placed over the semester.	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	10%	20%	70%	Strongly agree
		5	4	3	2	1	

n=10
mw=1,4
md=1
s=0,7

Course content was presented in a comprehensible manner.	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	20%	30%	50%	Strongly agree
		5	4	3	2	1	

n=10
mw=1,7
md=1,5
s=0,82

Instructor illustrated subject matter with examples from the business world and from current research.	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	0%	18,2%	81,8%	Strongly agree
		5	4	3	2	1	

n=11
mw=1,18
md=1
s=0,4

Where appropriate instructor drew parallels to business-related disciplines.	Strongly disagree	0%	9,1%	0%	18,2%	72,7%	Strongly agree
		5	4	3	2	1	

n=11
mw=1,45
md=1
s=0,93

Instructor incorporated audio-visual media effectively (e. g., blackboard, overhead projector, video, beamer).	Strongly disagree	10%	0%	0%	30%	60%	Strongly agree
		5	4	3	2	1	

n=10
mw=1,7
md=1
s=1,25

Visual materials were easy to read and follow.	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	20%	20%	60%	Strongly agree
		5	4	3	2	1	

n=10
mw=1,6
md=1
s=0,84

Instructor provided the opportunity for questions regarding content.	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	Strongly agree
		5	4	3	2	1	

n=10
mw=1
md=1
s=0

Answers given by the instructor were helpful in clarifying uncertainties.



n=11
mw=1,18
md=1
s=0,4

Instructor encouraged active participation throughout the course.



n=12
mw=1,08
md=1
s=0,29

The course offered ample time for discussion of important aspects of course content.



n=11
mw=1,18
md=1
s=0,4

Recommended reading materials (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were useful in facilitating understanding of course content.



n=9
mw=1,78
md=1
s=1,09

Recommended reading materials (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were readily available.



n=9
mw=1,89
md=1
s=1,36

Contributions of instructor



n=12
mw=1,17
md=1
s=0,39

Papers and reports of other participants



n=11
mw=1,73
md=1
s=1,01

Preparation of own paper



n=12
mw=1,08
md=1
s=0,29

Discussions in the course



n=10
mw=1,2
md=1
s=0,42

Teamwork



n=6
mw=1,67
md=1,5
s=0,82

Individual work



n=10
mw=1,3
md=1
s=0,48

Special session (e.g., guest speaker)



n=4
mw=2,5
md=2
s=1,91

Reading of listed literature



n=11
mw=1,64
md=1
s=1,21

Revision of lecture notes



n=8
mw=2
md=2
s=1,31

Visit to instructor during office hour



n=4
mw=2,5
md=2
s=1,91

Instructor's manner of speaking was clear and audible.



n=9
mw=1,11
md=1
s=0,33

Instructor spoke at an appropriate speed.



n=10
mw=1,1
md=1
s=0,32

Instructor's lecturing style sustained my attention.



n=10
mw=1,2
md=1
s=0,42

Instructor was open to subject-oriented issues.



n=11
mw=1,09
md=1
s=0,3

Instructor was open and friendly towards students.



n=11
mw=1,09
md=1
s=0,3

Instructor responded to students' ideas and opinions in a constructive manner.



n=12
mw=1,17
md=1
s=0,39

Instructor included questions, exercises, etc. in the course which enabled students to assess their progress.



n=10
mw=1,5
md=1
s=1,27

Instructor was able to arouse my interest in the subject.



n=9
mw=1,67
md=1
s=1,32

The majority of the students was well prepared.



n=9
mw=1,22
md=1
s=0,44

The majority of students actively participated in the course.



n=11
mw=1,18
md=1
s=0,4

Evaluation of general satisfaction with the course

Overall, I am satisfied with the course.



n=11
mw=1,64
md=1
s=1,03

Evaluation of teaching and learning environment

Prior knowledge and experience helped me master the course content.



n=12
mw=1,92
md=2
s=0,51

Technical equipment (overhead projector, beamer, blackboard, microphone, etc.) was always ready for use and functioned properly).



n=12
mw=1,75
md=2
s=0,62

Size of the room was adequate for the course.



n=12
mw=1,17
md=1
s=0,39

The room setup (seating, tables, lighting, ventilation, etc.) was satisfactory.



n=12
mw=1,5
md=1
s=1,17

Profillinie

Teilbereich: Fakultät für Betriebswirtschaftslehre
Name der/des Lehrenden: Evaluationsstelle der Fakultät für BWL
Titel der Lehrveranstaltung: (Name der Umfrage) FIN 740 Corporate Finance Seminar | Seminar - Herr Professor Ernst Maug, Ph.D.; Herr Jan Siewert; Herr Martin Lenz; Herr Pascal Bush

Verwendete Werte in der Profillinie: Mittelwert

Evaluation of the course



Course objectives were clearly stated.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=12	mw=1,25	md=1,00	s=0,45
Course requirements and criteria for grading were clearly explained.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=12	mw=1,42	md=1,00	s=0,51
The course was well structured.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=12	mw=1,25	md=1,00	s=0,62
The choice of topics was explained by the instructor.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=12	mw=2,00	md=2,00	s=1,13
The choice appeared well-founded to me.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=12	mw=1,58	md=2,00	s=0,51
Dissemination of subject matter was appropriately placed over the semester.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=10	mw=1,40	md=1,00	s=0,70
Course content was presented in a comprehensible manner.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=10	mw=1,70	md=1,50	s=0,82
Instructor illustrated subject matter with examples from the business world and from current research.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=11	mw=1,18	md=1,00	s=0,40
Where appropriate instructor drew parallels to business-related disciplines.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=11	mw=1,45	md=1,00	s=0,93
Instructor incorporated audio-visual media effectively (e.g., blackboard, overhead projector, video, beamer).	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=10	mw=1,70	md=1,00	s=1,25
Visual materials were easy to read and follow.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=10	mw=1,60	md=1,00	s=0,84



Instructor provided the opportunity for questions regarding content.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=10	mw=1,00	md=1,00	s=0,00
Answers given by the instructor were helpful in clarifying uncertainties.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=11	mw=1,18	md=1,00	s=0,40
Instructor encouraged active participation throughout the course.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=12	mw=1,08	md=1,00	s=0,29
The course offered ample time for discussion of important aspects of course content.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=11	mw=1,18	md=1,00	s=0,40
Recommended reading materials (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were useful in facilitating understanding of course content.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=9	mw=1,78	md=1,00	s=1,09
Recommended reading materials (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were readily available.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=9	mw=1,89	md=1,00	s=1,36
Contributions of instructor	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=12	mw=1,17	md=1,00	s=0,39
Papers and reports of other participants	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=11	mw=1,73	md=1,00	s=1,01
Preparation of own paper	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=12	mw=1,08	md=1,00	s=0,29
Discussions in the course	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=10	mw=1,20	md=1,00	s=0,42
Teamwork	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=6	mw=1,67	md=1,50	s=0,82

Individual work	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=10	mw=1,30 md=1,00 s=0,48
Special session (e.g., guest speaker)	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=4	mw=2,50 md=2,00 s=1,91
Reading of listed literature	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=11	mw=1,64 md=1,00 s=1,21
Revision of lecture notes	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=8	mw=2,00 md=2,00 s=1,31
Visit to instructor during office hour	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=4	mw=2,50 md=2,00 s=1,91
Instructor's manner of speaking was clear and audible.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=9	mw=1,11 md=1,00 s=0,33
Instructor spoke at an appropriate speed.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=10	mw=1,10 md=1,00 s=0,32

Instructor's lecturing style sustained my attention.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=10	mw=1,20 md=1,00 s=0,42
Instructor was open to subject-oriented issues.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=11	mw=1,09 md=1,00 s=0,30
Instructor was open and friendly towards students.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=11	mw=1,09 md=1,00 s=0,30
Instructor responded to students' ideas and opinions in a constructive manner.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=12	mw=1,17 md=1,00 s=0,39
Instructor included questions, exercises, etc. in the course which enabled students to assess their progress.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=10	mw=1,50 md=1,00 s=1,27
Instructor was able to arouse my interest in the subject.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=9	mw=1,67 md=1,00 s=1,32
The majority of the students was well prepared.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=9	mw=1,22 md=1,00 s=0,44
The majority of students actively participated in the course.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=11	mw=1,18 md=1,00 s=0,40

Evaluation of general satisfaction with the course

Overall, I am satisfied with the course.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=11	mw=1,64 md=1,00 s=1,03

Evaluation of teaching and learning environment

Prior knowledge and experience helped me master the course content.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=12	mw=1,92 md=2,00 s=0,51
Technical equipment (overhead projector, beamer, blackboard, microphone, etc.) was always ready for use and functioned properly).	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=12	mw=1,75 md=2,00 s=0,62
Size of the room was adequate for the course.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=12	mw=1,17 md=1,00 s=0,39
The room setup (seating, tables, lighting, ventilation, etc.) was satisfactory.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=12	mw=1,50 md=1,00 s=1,17

Auswertungsteil der offenen Fragen

Auto-Gruppe

What did you especially like about the course?

- Learn effect
  Topics
- Overlap in topics allowed better discussions because one could see more issues in other participants topics
- The discussions within the group including the professor
- The instructor clearly explained new complex concepts with examples and a great step-by-step approach.
- Very interesting overview over a broad range of topics and methodologies
  Tour on methodology

What should/could be improved?

- Isn't class participation a bit overweighted in overall mark?
- More Stata support, especially at the beginning
  More hints regarding databases at the beginning (what data to extract etc.)
- Reduce the time per presentation to 30 min. In the end you just go home with the main statements not the details
- Stata should be available for free
  It would be nice if the computer would be working fine and not should down
- The instructor overteaches with his aspirations
  Replicating "non-sense" is not useful
  Workload way too much compared to other chairs
  3 day presentation is too much
  Presentations should be limited to 30 min as to take away something from presentation
- The submitted seminar papers should have been available for the participants of the seminar
- With consensus of the students it would be helpful to receive the seminar paper and presentations of the other participants.
- Would have liked to see other participants papers to prepare for seminar