Median

Quantil

Professor Ernst Maug, Ph.D.

FIN 740 Corporate Finance Seminar | Blockseminar - Co-Lecturers Martin Lenz, Pascal Busch (4790a|FS2016) Erfasste Fragebögen = 10 UNIVERSITY OF MANNHEIM

Auswertungsteil der geschlossenen Fragen

Mittelwert


Std.-Abw


Relative Häufigkeiten der Antworten

Legende

n=Anzahl mw=Mittelwert md=Median s=Std.-Abw. E.=Enthaltung 0% 0% Fragetext Rechter Pol Linker Pol Skala Histogramm **Personal Data** Course of Study n=9 100% BWL BWL i.Q. 0% VWL 0% Wifo 0% Wi.-Päd. 0% Philologie/BaKuWi/MaKuWi 0% Untern.-Jur. 0% Other 0% Targeted degree: n=10 Bachelor 0% 100% Master Diplom 0% Magister 0% PhD 0% Other 0% Semester (only for your actual course of study): n=10 20% 80% I attended the course regularly: 100% Yes No I am an exchange student: n=10 0% Yes 100% No


Evaluation of the course Course objectives were clearly stated. n=10 mw=1,6 md=1 s=1,07 Strongly disagree Strongly agree 5 3 0% 20% 30% 20% Course requirements and criteria for grading were n=10 Strongly disagree Strongly agree mw=2,5 md=2,5 clearly explained. s=1,08 0% 0% 22,2% n=9 mw=1,22 md=1 s=0,44 The course was well structured. Strongly disagree Strongly agree 5 0% 0% 0% 40% 60% The choice of topics was explained by the instructor. n=10 Strongly disagree Strongly agree mw=1,4 md=1 s=0,52 0% 11,1% 11,1% n=9 mw=1,56 md=1 s=1,13 The choice appeared well-founded to me. Strongly disagree Strongly agree 37,5% 62,5% n=8 mw=1,38 md=1 s=0,52 Dissemination of subject matter was appropriately Strongly disagree Strongly agree placed over the semester. 5 62.5% 0% 0% 0% 37.5% Course content was presented in a comprehensible n=8 Strongly disagree Strongly agree mw=1,38 md=1 manner. s=0.52 37,5% 12,5% 50% n=8 mw=1,63 md=1,5 s=0,74 Instructor illustrated subject matter with examples from Strongly disagree Strongly agree the business world and from current research. 0% 0% 25% 37,5% 37.5% Where appropriate instructor drew parallels to businessn=8 Strongly disagree Strongly agree mw=1,88 md=2 s=0,83 related disciplines. 5 100% Instructor incorporated audio-visual media effectively (e. n=8 Strongly disagree Strongly agree mw=1 md=1 s=0 g., blackboard, overhead projector, video, beamer). -5 0% 25% Visual materials were easy to read and follow. n=8 mw=1,5 md=1 s=0,76 Strongly disagree Strongly agree 5 3 0% 0% 10% 90% Instructor provided the opportunity for questions Strongly disagree Strongly agree mw=1,2 md=1 s=0,63 regarding content. 5 3






Evaluation of general satisfaction with the course

Overall, I am satisfied with the course.





n=10 mw=1,6 md=1,5 s=0,7

mw=1.60 md=1.00 s=1.07

mw=2,50 md=2,50 s=1,08

mw=1.22 md=1.00 s=0.44

mw=1.40 md=1.00 s=0.52

mw=1.56 md=1.00 s=1.13

mw=1,38 md=1,00 s=0,52

mw=1.38 md=1.00 s=0.52

mw=1,63 md=1,50 s=0,74

mw=1.88 md=2.00 s=0.83

mw=1.00 md=1.00 s=0.00

mw=1,50 md=1,00 s=0,76

Profillinie

Teilbereich: Fakultät für Betriebswirtschaftslehre

Name der/des Lehrenden:

Professor Ernst Maug, Ph.D.

Titel der Lehrveranstaltung: (Name der Umfrage)

FIN 740 Corporate Finance Seminar | Blockseminar - Co-Lecturers Martin Lenz, Pascal Busch

Verwendete Werte in der Profillinie: Mittelwert

Evaluation of the course

Course objectives were clearly stated.

Course requirements and criteria for grading were clearly explained.

The course was well structured.

The choice of topics was explained by the instructor.

The choice appeared well-founded to me.

Dissemination of subject matter was appropriately placed over the semester.


Course content was presented in a comprehensible manner.


Instructor illustrated subject matter with examples from the business world and from current research.


Where appropriate instructor drew parallels to business-related disciplines.


Instructor incorporated audio-visual media effectively (e.g., blackboard, overhead projector, video, beamer).

Visual materials were easy to read and follow.










Evaluation of general satisfaction with the course



Evaluation of teaching and learning environment



Auswertungsteil der offenen Fragen

Auto-Gruppe

What should/could be improved?

- Grading requirements and preparation focus for the final presentation should be stated more clearly.
- Maybe leaving more room for students' discussion would be better.
- Still don't understand why we need the seminar thesis. Include a case stata based in the econometrics course and go straight to Master thesis.