


Evaluationsstelle der Fakultät für BWL  
 Masterarbeiten | Masterseminar - Professor Ernst Maug, Ph.D. (724a)  
 Erfasste Fragebögen = 7



Auswertungsteil der geschlossenen Fragen


Legende

Fragestext




1. Personal data


1.1) Course of Study



1.2) Targeted degree



1.3) Semester (only for your actual course of study):



1.4) I attended the course regularly:




1.5) I am an exchange student:




2. Evaluation of the course

2.1)	<b>Course objectives</b> were clearly stated.	<p>Strongly disagree   5   4   3   2   1   Strongly agree</p>	<p>n=6 mw=1.17 md=1 s=0.41</p>
2.2)	<b>Course requirements</b> and criteria for grading were clearly explained.	<p>Strongly disagree   5   4   3   2   1   Strongly agree</p>	<p>n=6 mw=1.5 md=1.5 s=0.55</p>
2.3)	The course was <b>well structured</b> .	<p>Strongly disagree   5   4   3   2   1   Strongly agree</p>	<p>n=6 mw=1.17 md=1 s=0.41</p>
2.4)	The <b>choice of topics</b> was explained by the instructor.	<p>Strongly disagree   5   4   3   2   1   Strongly agree</p>	<p>n=5 mw=1.2 md=1 s=0.45</p>
2.5)	The choice appeared <b>well-founded</b> to me.	<p>Strongly disagree   5   4   3   2   1   Strongly agree</p>	<p>n=5 mw=1.4 md=1 s=0.55</p>
2.6)	Dissemination of <b>subject matter</b> was appropriately placed over the semester.	<p>Strongly disagree   5   4   3   2   1   Strongly agree</p>	<p>n=5 mw=1.2 md=1 s=0.45</p>
2.7)	Course content was presented in a <b>comprehensible manner</b> .	<p>Strongly disagree   5   4   3   2   1   Strongly agree</p>	<p>n=5 mw=1 md=1 s=0</p>
2.8)	Instructor illustrated subject matter with <b>examples</b> from the <b>business world</b> and from <b>current research</b> .	<p>Strongly disagree   5   4   3   2   1   Strongly agree</p>	<p>n=5 mw=1.2 md=1 s=0.45</p>
2.9)	Where appropriate instructor drew <b>parallels to business-related disciplines</b> .	<p>Strongly disagree   5   4   3   2   1   Strongly agree</p>	<p>n=5 mw=1.2 md=1 s=0.45</p>
2.10)	Instructor incorporated <b>audio-visual media</b> effectively (e.g., blackboard, overhead projector, video, beamer).	<p>Strongly disagree   5   4   3   2   1   Strongly agree</p>	<p>n=4 mw=1.25 md=1 s=0.5</p>
2.11)	<b>Visual materials</b> were easy to read and follow.	<p>Strongly disagree   5   4   3   2   1   Strongly agree</p>	<p>n=4 mw=1.25 md=1 s=0.5</p>
2.12)	Instructor provided the opportunity for <b>questions</b> regarding content.	<p>Strongly disagree   5   4   3   2   1   Strongly agree</p>	<p>n=7 mw=1.14 md=1 s=0.38</p>
2.13)	<b>Answers</b> given by the instructor were helpful in clarifying uncertainties.	<p>Strongly disagree   5   4   3   2   1   Strongly agree</p>	<p>n=7 mw=1.14 md=1 s=0.38</p>

2.14)	Instructor <b>encouraged active participation</b> throughout the course.		Strongly agree	n=6 mw=1.33 md=1 s=0.82
2.15)	The course offered ample time for <b>discussion of important aspects</b> of course content.		Strongly agree	n=7 mw=1.43 md=1 s=0.79
The following components of the course helped me <b>understand the contents of the lecture:</b> (if no statement possible, please leave blank)				
2.16)	Contributions of instructor		Strongly agree	n=7 mw=1.14 md=1 s=0.38
2.17)	Papers and reports of other participants		Strongly agree	n=6 mw=1.5 md=1 s=0.84
2.18)	Preparation of own paper		Strongly agree	n=7 mw=1 md=1 s=0
2.19)	Discussions in the course		Strongly agree	n=7 mw=1.29 md=1 s=0.49
2.20)	Teamwork		Strongly agree	n=3 mw=1.67 md=1 s=1.15
2.21)	Individual work		Strongly agree	n=6 mw=1.17 md=1 s=0.41
2.22)	Special session (e.g., guest speaker)		Strongly agree	n=3 mw=1.67 md=1 s=1.15
2.23)	Reading of listed literature		Strongly agree	n=4 mw=1.25 md=1 s=0.5
2.24)	Revision of lecture notes		Strongly agree	n=5 mw=1.2 md=1 s=0.45
2.25)	Visit to instructor during office hour		Strongly agree	n=3 mw=1 md=1 s=0
2.26)	Recommended reading <b>materials</b> (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were <b>useful</b> in facilitating understanding of course content.		Strongly agree	n=3 mw=1 md=1 s=0




### 3. Evaluation of general satisfaction with the course




### 4. Evaluation of teaching and learning environment


4.1) **Prior knowledge** and **experience** helped me master the course content.




4.2) **Technical equipment** (overhead projector, beamer, blackboard, microphone, etc.) was always ready for use and functioned properly).



4.3) **Size of the room** was adequate for the course.



4.4) The **room setup** (seating, tables, lighting, ventilation, etc.) was satisfactory.




5. Please respond the following questions


Thank you for your time, effort, and cooperation!

# Profillinie


Teilbereich: Fakultät für Betriebswirtschaftslehre  
 Name der/des Lehrenden: Evaluationsstelle der Fakultät für BWL  
 Titel der Lehrveranstaltung: Masterarbeiten | Masterseminar - Professor Ernst Maug, Ph.D.  
 (Name der Umfrage)

## 2. Evaluation of the course






### 3. Evaluation of general satisfaction with the course



### 4. Evaluation of teaching and learning environment



Auswertungsteil der offenen Fragen

5. Please respond the following questions

<sup>5.1)</sup> What did you especially like about the course?

- The meetings were at the night time in order to help me with my thesis!