Median

0%

Quantil

Rechter Pol

## Evaluationsstelle der Fakultät für BWL

Masterarbeiten | Diplomanden-Colloquium - Professor Ernst Maug, Ph.D. und Assistenten (362a|FS2013) Erfasste Fragebögen = 8



# Auswertungsteil der geschlossenen Fragen

Mittelwert

0%

Relative Häufigkeiten der Antworten


Linker Pol


Legende

Fragetext

# n=Anzahl mw=Mittelwert md=Median s=Std.-Abw. E.=Enthaltung Skala Histogramm **Personal Data** Course of Study n=8 BWL 100% BWL i.Q. 0% VWL 0% Wifo 0% Wi.-Päd. 0% Philologie/BaKuWi/MaKuWi 0% Untern.-Jur. 0% Targeted degree: n=8 0% Bachelor Master 100% Diplom 0% 0% Magister PhD 0% Other 0% Semester (only for your actual course of study): n=8 100% I attended the course regularly: n=8 87.5% 12.5% I am an exchange student: n=6 0% Yes 100%

#### Evaluation of the course Course objectives were clearly stated. n=8 mw=1,88 md=1,5 s=1,13 Strongly disagree Strongly agree 0% 14.3% 28.6% 42.9% Course requirements and criteria for grading were Strongly disagree Strongly agree mw=2,14 md=2 s=1,21 clearly explained. 0% 0% 50% 37,5% 12,5% n=8 mw=2,25 md=2 s=0,71 The course was well structured. Strongly disagree Strongly agree 16.7% 0% 33.3% 0% 50% The choice of topics was explained by the instructor. n=6 Strongly disagree Strongly agree mw=2,33 md=2 s=1,63 0% 16,7% 50% n=6 mw=2,5 md=3 s=1,22 The choice appeared well-founded to me. Strongly disagree Strongly agree 14,3% 28,6% 57,1% n=7 mw=1,57 md=1 s=0,79 Dissemination of subject matter was appropriately Strongly disagree Strongly agree placed over the semester. 5 0% 0% 28.6% 42.9% 28.6% Course content was presented in a comprehensible n=7 Strongly disagree Strongly agree mw=2 md=2 manner. s=0.82 20% n=5 mw=2,2 md=2 s=0,84 Instructor illustrated subject matter with examples Strongly disagree Strongly agree from the business world and from current research. 0% 0% 60% 0% 40% Where appropriate instructor drew parallels to n=5 mw=2,2 md=3 s=1,1 Strongly disagree Strongly agree business-related disciplines. 5 16,7% 50% n=6 mw=2,17 md=2 s=1,33 Instructor incorporated audio-visual media effectively Strongly disagree Strongly agree (e.g., blackboard, overhead projector, video, beamer). 0% 60% Visual materials were easy to read and follow. n=5 mw=2,4 md=3 s=0,89 Strongly disagree Strongly agree 0% 16.7% Instructor provided the opportunity for questions n=6 mw=1,5 md=1 s=1,22 Strongly disagree Strongly agree regarding content.






## Evaluation of general satisfaction with the course

0%

12,5%

Overall, I am satisfied with the course.



n=8 mw=2 md=2 s=0,93


> n=8 mw=1,63 md=1 s=1,19

# Prior knowledge and experience helped me master the course content. Strongly disagree 14,3% 0% 14,3% 14,3% 57,1% 57,1% 51,00% 12,5% 0% 12,5% 0% 12,5% 0% 75% 51,000 51,53 Technical equipment (overhead projector, beamer, 51,000 51,0

Strongly disagree

Technical equipment (overhead projector, beamer, blackboard, microphone, etc.) was always ready for use and functioned properly).


Evaluation of teaching and learning environment





0%

75%

Strongly agree







12,5%

# **Profillinie**

Teilbereich: Fakultät für Betriebswirtschaftslehre

Name der/des Lehrenden: Evaluationsstelle der Fakultät für BWL

Titel der Lehrveranstaltung: (Name der Umfrage)

Masterarbeiten | Diplomanden-Colloquium - Professor Ernst Maug, Ph.D. und Assistenten

Verwendete Werte in der Profillinie: Mittelwert

## Evaluation of the course

Course objectives were clearly stated.

Course requirements and criteria for grading were clearly explained.

The course was well structured.

The choice of topics was explained by the instructor.

The choice appeared well-founded to me.

Dissemination of subject matter was appropriately placed over the semester.


Course content was presented in a comprehensible


Instructor illustrated subject matter with examples from the business world and from current research.


Where appropriate instructor drew parallels to business-related disciplines.


Instructor incorporated audio-visual media effectively (e.g., blackboard, overhead projector, video, beamer).

Visual materials were easy to read and follow.









## Evaluation of general satisfaction with the course

Overall, I am satisfied with the course.

Strongly disagree

Strongly agree

n=8 mw=2,00md=2,00 s=0,93

### Evaluation of teaching and learning environment

Prior knowledge and experience helped me master Strongly the course content.

Strongly disagree

Technical equipment (overhead projector, beamer, blackboard, microphone, etc.) was always ready for use and functioned properly).

Size of the room was adequate for the course.

The room setup (seating, tables, lighting, ventilation, etc.) was satisfactory.



# Auswertungsteil der offenen Fragen

# Auto-Gruppe

What did you especially like about the course?

■ Choice of business oriented topics Open discussion style What should/could be improved?

- Do we get grades for the seminar? -> That was unclear.
- Very long, maybe do it with smaller groups working on adjacent topics It was to hot