Evaluationsstelle der Fakultät für BWL

Masterarbeitsseminar LS Maug | Seminar - Herr Professor Maug, Ph.D. (1820a|FS2014)
Erfasste Fragebögen = 8

Auswertungsteil der geschlossenen Fragen

Legende

Fragetext



n=Anzahl
mw=Mittelwert
md=Median
s=Std.-Abw.
E.=Enthaltung

Personal Data

Course of Study

n=3

BWL	66.7%
BWL i.Q.	0%
VWL	0%
Wifo	0%
Wi.-Päd.	0%
Philologie/BaKuWi/MaKuWi	33.3%
Untern.-Jur.	0%
Other	0%

Targeted degree:

n=7

Bachelor	0%
Master	100%
Diplom	0%
Magister	0%
PhD	0%
Other	0%

Semester (only for your actual course of study):

n=5

4.	80%
5.	20%

I attended the course regularly:

n=7

Yes	100%
No	0%

I am an exchange student:

n=6

Yes	0%
No	100%

Evaluation of the course

Course objectives were clearly stated.	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	16,7%	33,3%	50%	Strongly agree
		5	4	3	2	1	
Course requirements and criteria for grading were clearly explained.	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	28,6%	42,9%	28,6%	Strongly agree
		5	4	3	2	1	
The course was well structured.	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	0%	57,1%	42,9%	Strongly agree
		5	4	3	2	1	
The choice of topics was explained by the instructor.	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	0%	50%	50%	Strongly agree
		5	4	3	2	1	
The choice appeared well-founded to me.	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	0%	57,1%	42,9%	Strongly agree
		5	4	3	2	1	
Dissemination of subject matter was appropriately placed over the semester.	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	0%	66,7%	33,3%	Strongly agree
		5	4	3	2	1	
Course content was presented in a comprehensible manner.	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	20%	40%	40%	Strongly agree
		5	4	3	2	1	
Instructor illustrated subject matter with examples from the business world and from current research.	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	0%	40%	60%	Strongly agree
		5	4	3	2	1	
Where appropriate instructor drew parallels to business-related disciplines.	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	0%	66,7%	33,3%	Strongly agree
		5	4	3	2	1	
Instructor incorporated audio-visual media effectively (e. g., blackboard, overhead projector, video, beamer).	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	0%	50%	50%	Strongly agree
		5	4	3	2	1	
Visual materials were easy to read and follow.	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	0%	66,7%	33,3%	Strongly agree
		5	4	3	2	1	

Instructor provided the opportunity for questions regarding content.	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	0%	12,5%	87,5%	Strongly agree
		5	4	3	2	1	

Answers given by the instructor were helpful in clarifying uncertainties.



n=7
mw=1,43
md=1
s=0,53

Instructor encouraged active participation throughout the course.



n=7
mw=1,71
md=2
s=0,76

The course offered ample time for discussion of important aspects of course content.



n=8
mw=1,5
md=1,5
s=0,53

Recommended reading materials (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were useful in facilitating understanding of course content.



n=6
mw=1,83
md=2
s=0,75

Recommended reading materials (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were readily available.



n=5
mw=1,6
md=2
s=0,55

Contributions of instructor



n=6
mw=1,17
md=1
s=0,41

Papers and reports of other participants



n=5
mw=2,4
md=2
s=1,52

Preparation of own paper



n=6
mw=1,5
md=1,5
s=0,55

Discussions in the course



n=8
mw=1,63
md=2
s=0,52

Teamwork



n=4
mw=1,75
md=1,5
s=0,96

Individual work



n=5
mw=1,6
md=2
s=0,55

Special session (e.g., guest speaker)



n=6
mw=3
md=2,5
s=1,26

Reading of listed literature



n=7
mw=2,29
md=2
s=1,38

Revision of lecture notes	Strongly disagree	0% 0% 16,7% 50% 33,3%	Strongly agree	n=6 mw=1,83 md=2 s=0,75
Visit to instructor during office hour	Strongly disagree	0% 16,7% 16,7% 66,7% 0%	Strongly agree	n=6 mw=2,5 md=2 s=0,84
Instructor's manner of speaking was clear and audible.	Strongly disagree	0% 0% 14,3% 57,1% 28,6%	Strongly agree	n=7 mw=1,86 md=2 s=0,69
Instructor spoke at an appropriate speed.	Strongly disagree	0% 0% 0% 60% 40%	Strongly agree	n=5 mw=1,6 md=2 s=0,55
Instructor's lecturing style sustained my attention.	Strongly disagree	0% 0% 14,3% 57,1% 28,6%	Strongly agree	n=7 mw=1,86 md=2 s=0,69
Instructor was open to subject-oriented issues.	Strongly disagree	0% 0% 12,5% 37,5% 50%	Strongly agree	n=8 mw=1,63 md=1,5 s=0,74
Instructor was open and friendly towards students.	Strongly disagree	0% 0% 12,5% 25% 62,5%	Strongly agree	n=8 mw=1,5 md=1 s=0,76
Instructor responded to students' ideas and opinions in a constructive manner.	Strongly disagree	0% 0% 0% 50% 50%	Strongly agree	n=8 mw=1,5 md=1,5 s=0,53
Instructor included questions, exercises, etc. in the course which enabled students to assess their progress.	Strongly disagree	0% 0% 25% 75% 0%	Strongly agree	n=4 mw=2,25 md=2 s=0,5
Instructor was able to arouse my interest in the subject.	Strongly disagree	0% 0% 0% 66,7% 33,3%	Strongly agree	n=6 mw=1,67 md=2 s=0,52
The majority of the students was well prepared.	Strongly disagree	0% 0% 12,5% 50% 37,5%	Strongly agree	n=8 mw=1,75 md=2 s=0,71
The majority of students actively participated in the course.	Strongly disagree	0% 0% 0% 28,6% 71,4%	Strongly agree	n=7 mw=1,29 md=1 s=0,49

Evaluation of general satisfaction with the course

Overall, I am satisfied with the course.



n=7
mw=1,57
md=2
s=0,53

Evaluation of teaching and learning environment

Prior knowledge and experience helped me master the course content.



n=7
mw=1,71
md=2
s=0,49

Technical equipment (overhead projector, beamer, blackboard, microphone, etc.) was always ready for use and functioned properly).



n=5
mw=2,2
md=2
s=0,45

Size of the room was adequate for the course.



n=6
mw=1,5
md=1
s=0,84

The room setup (seating, tables, lighting, ventilation, etc.) was satisfactory.



n=7
mw=1,71
md=2
s=0,76

Profillinie

Teilbereich: Fakultät für Betriebswirtschaftslehre
Name der/des Lehrenden: Evaluationsstelle der Fakultät für BWL
Titel der Lehrveranstaltung: (Name der Umfrage) Masterarbeitsseminar LS Maug | Seminar - Herr Professor Maug, Ph.D.

Verwendete Werte in der Profillinie: Mittelwert

Evaluation of the course



Course objectives were clearly stated.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=6	mw=1,67 md=1,50 s=0,82
Course requirements and criteria for grading were clearly explained.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=7	mw=2,00 md=2,00 s=0,82
The course was well structured.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=7	mw=1,57 md=2,00 s=0,53
The choice of topics was explained by the instructor.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=6	mw=1,50 md=1,50 s=0,55
The choice appeared well-founded to me.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=7	mw=1,57 md=2,00 s=0,53
Dissemination of subject matter was appropriately placed over the semester.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=6	mw=1,67 md=2,00 s=0,52
Course content was presented in a comprehensible manner.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=5	mw=1,80 md=2,00 s=0,84
Instructor illustrated subject matter with examples from the business world and from current research.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=5	mw=1,40 md=1,00 s=0,55
Where appropriate instructor drew parallels to business-related disciplines.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=6	mw=1,67 md=2,00 s=0,52
Instructor incorporated audio-visual media effectively (e.g., blackboard, overhead projector, video, beamer).	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=6	mw=1,50 md=1,50 s=0,55
Visual materials were easy to read and follow.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=6	mw=1,67 md=2,00 s=0,52



Instructor provided the opportunity for questions regarding content.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=8	mw=1,13 md=1,00 s=0,35
Answers given by the instructor were helpful in clarifying uncertainties.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=7	mw=1,43 md=1,00 s=0,53
Instructor encouraged active participation throughout the course.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=7	mw=1,71 md=2,00 s=0,76
The course offered ample time for discussion of important aspects of course content.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=8	mw=1,50 md=1,50 s=0,53
Recommended reading materials (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were useful in facilitating understanding of course content.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=6	mw=1,83 md=2,00 s=0,75
Recommended reading materials (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were readily available.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=5	mw=1,60 md=2,00 s=0,55
Contributions of instructor	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=6	mw=1,17 md=1,00 s=0,41
Papers and reports of other participants	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=5	mw=2,40 md=2,00 s=1,52
Preparation of own paper	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=6	mw=1,50 md=1,50 s=0,55
Discussions in the course	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=8	mw=1,63 md=2,00 s=0,52
Teamwork	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=4	mw=1,75 md=1,50 s=0,96

Individual work	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=5	mw=1,60 md=2,00 s=0,55
Special session (e.g., guest speaker)	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=6	mw=3,00 md=2,50 s=1,26
Reading of listed literature	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=7	mw=2,29 md=2,00 s=1,38
Revision of lecture notes	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=6	mw=1,83 md=2,00 s=0,75
Visit to instructor during office hour	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=6	mw=2,50 md=2,00 s=0,84
Instructor's manner of speaking was clear and audible.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=7	mw=1,86 md=2,00 s=0,69
Instructor spoke at an appropriate speed.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=5	mw=1,60 md=2,00 s=0,55

Instructor's lecturing style sustained my attention.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=7	mw=1,86 md=2,00 s=0,69
Instructor was open to subject-oriented issues.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=8	mw=1,63 md=1,50 s=0,74
Instructor was open and friendly towards students.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=8	mw=1,50 md=1,00 s=0,76
Instructor responded to students' ideas and opinions in a constructive manner.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=8	mw=1,50 md=1,50 s=0,53
Instructor included questions, exercises, etc. in the course which enabled students to assess their progress.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=4	mw=2,25 md=2,00 s=0,50
Instructor was able to arouse my interest in the subject.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=6	mw=1,67 md=2,00 s=0,52
The majority of the students was well prepared.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=8	mw=1,75 md=2,00 s=0,71
The majority of students actively participated in the course.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=7	mw=1,29 md=1,00 s=0,49

Evaluation of general satisfaction with the course

Overall, I am satisfied with the course.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=7	mw=1,57 md=2,00 s=0,53

Evaluation of teaching and learning environment

Prior knowledge and experience helped me master the course content.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=7	mw=1,71 md=2,00 s=0,49
Technical equipment (overhead projector, beamer, blackboard, microphone, etc.) was always ready for use and functioned properly).	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=5	mw=2,20 md=2,00 s=0,45
Size of the room was adequate for the course.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=6	mw=1,50 md=1,00 s=0,84
The room setup (seating, tables, lighting, ventilation, etc.) was satisfactory.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=7	mw=1,71 md=2,00 s=0,76

Auswertungsteil der offenen Fragen

Auto-Gruppe

What did you especially like about the course?

- Seminars
  Input from Professor Maug

What should/could be improved?

- 3 instead of 2 sessions
- Seminar II maybe 2 weeks earlier
- Time (60 minutes) for presentations is a bit too short
  Second seminar should be a bit earlier