


Evaluation of the course							
Course objectives were clearly stated.	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	0%	28,6% 71,4%	Strongly agree	n=7 mw=1,29 md=1 s=0,49
Course requirements and criteria for grading were clearly explained.	Strongly disagree	5 0% 5	4	3 0% 3	2 1 50% 50% 2 1	Strongly agree	n=6 mw=1,5 md=1,5 s=0,55
The course was well structured.	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	0%		Strongly agree	n=7 mw=1,43 md=1 s=0,53
The choice of topics was explained by the instructor.	Strongly disagree	 0%	4 0%	3 0% 3	2 1	Strongly agree	n=5 mw=1,4 md=1 s=0,55
The choice appeared well-founded to me.	Strongly disagree		 0% 4	 		Strongly agree	n=5 mw=1,4 md=1 s=0,55
Dissemination of subject matter was appropriately placed over the semester.	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	0%	2 1	Strongly agree	n=6 mw=1,17 md=1 s=0,41
Course content was presented in a comprehensible manner.	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	0%		Strongly agree	n=5 mw=1,4 md=1 s=0,55
Instructor illustrated subject matter with examples from the business world and from current research.	Strongly disagree		0%	0%	50% 50% 2 1	Strongly agree	n=4 mw=1,5 md=1,5 s=0,58
Where appropriate instructor drew parallels to business- related disciplines.	Strongly disagree	0%	0%		20% 80%	Strongly agree	n=5 mw=1,2 md=1 s=0,45
Instructor incorporated audio-visual media effectively (e. g., blackboard, overhead projector, video, beamer).	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	 0% 	50% 50% 2 1	Strongly agree	n=4 mw=1,5 md=1,5 s=0,58
Visual materials were easy to read and follow.	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	0%	40% 60%	Strongly agree	n=5 mw=1,4 md=1 s=0,55
Instructor provided the opportunity for questions regarding content.	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	0%	16,7% 83,3%	Strongly agree	n=6 mw=1,17 md=1 s=0,41

Answers given by the instructor were helpful in clarifying uncertainties.	Strongly disagree	% 0% 4 3	42,9% 57,1% 2 1	Strongly agree	n=7 mw=1,43 md=1 s=0,53
Instructor encouraged active participation throughout the course.	Strongly disagree	4 3	20% 0%	Strongly agree	n=5 mw=3,4 md=4 s=0,89
The course offered ample time for discussion of important aspects of course content.	Strongly disagree	% 0% 4 3	42,9% 57,1% 2 1	Strongly agree	n=7 mw=1,43 md=1 s=0,53
Recommended reading materials (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were useful in facilitating understanding of course content.	Strongly disagree	% 0% 4 3	25% 75%	Strongly agree	n=4 mw=1,25 md=1 s=0,5
Recommended reading materials (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were readily available.	Strongly disagree	% 0% 4 3	25% 75%	Strongly agree	n=4 mw=1,25 md=1 s=0,5
Contributions of instructor	Strongly disagree	% 0% 4 3	33,3% 66,7%	Strongly agree	n=6 mw=1,33 md=1 s=0,52
Papers and reports of other participants	Strongly disagree	% <u>16,7%</u>	2 1	Strongly agree	n=6 mw=2,17 md=1,5 s=1,6
Preparation of own paper	Strongly disagree	% 0% 4 3	33,3% 66,7%	Strongly agree	n=6 mw=1,33 md=1 s=0,52
Discussions in the course	Strongly disagree	% 16,7% 4 3	33,3% 50%	Strongly agree	n=6 mw=1,67 md=1,5 s=0,82
Teamwork	Strongly disagree	× 50%	2 1	Strongly agree	n=2 mw=2,5 md=2,5 s=0,71
Individual work	Strongly disagree	<u>%</u> 0% 4 3	25% 75%	Strongly agree	n=4 mw=1,25 md=1 s=0,5
Special session (e.g., guest speaker)	Strongly disagree	% 0% 4 3	2 1	Strongly agree	n=2 mw=3,5 md=3,5 s=2,12
Reading of listed literature	Strongly disagree	% 0% 0% 4 3	50% 50% 2 1	Strongly agree	n=2 mw=1,5 md=1,5 s=0,71



Overall, I am satisfied with the course.	Strongly disagree	0% 5	0%	12,5%	37,5%	50%	Strongly agree	n=8 mw=1,63 md=1,5 s=0,74
Evaluation of teaching and learning environment								
Prior knowledge and experience helped me master the course content.	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	0%	42,9%	57,1%	Strongly agree	n=7 mw=1,43 md=1 s=0,53
		5		3	2	1		
Technical equipment (overhead projector, beamer, blackboard, microphone, etc.) was always ready for use and functioned properly).	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	0%	37,5%	62,5%	Strongly agree	n=8 mw=1,38 md=1 s=0,52
		5	4	3	2	1		
Size of the room was adequate for the course.	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	0%	37,5%	62,5%	Strongly agree	n=8 mw=1,38 md=1 s=0,52
		5	4	3	2	1		
The room setup (seating, tables, lighting, ventilation, etc.) was satisfactory.	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	 0% 3	42,9%	57,1%	Strongly agree	n=7 mw=1,43 md=1 s=0,53

Profillinie

Teilbereich:

Fakultät für Betriebswirtschaftslehre

Name der/des Lehrenden:

Evaluationsstelle der Fakultät für BWL Titel der Lehrveranstaltung: Abschlussarbeiten - Masterarbeitsseminar | Blockseminar - Herr Professor Ernst Maug, Ph.D. (Name der Umfrage)

Verwendete Werte in der Profillinie: Mittelwert

Evaluation of the course

Course objectives were clearly stated.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=7 mw=1,29 md=1,00 s=0,49
Course requirements and criteria for grading were clearly explained.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=6 mw=1,50 md=1,50 s=0,55
The course was well structured.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=7 mw=1,43 md=1,00 s=0,53
The choice of topics was explained by the instructor.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=5 mw=1,40 md=1,00 s=0,55
The choice appeared well-founded to me.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=5 mw=1,40 md=1,00 s=0,55
Dissemination of subject matter was appropriately placed over the semester.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=6 mw=1,17 md=1,00 s=0,41
Course content was presented in a comprehensible manner.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=5 mw=1,40 md=1,00 s=0,55
Instructor illustrated subject matter with examples from the business world and from current research.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=4 mw=1,50 md=1,50 s=0,58
Where appropriate instructor drew parallels to business-related disciplines.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=5 mw=1,20 md=1,00 s=0,45
Instructor incorporated audio-visual media effectively (e.g., blackboard, overhead projector, video, beamer).	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=4 mw=1,50 md=1,50 s=0,58
Visual materials were easy to read and follow.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=5 mw=1,40 md=1,00 s=0,55

Instructor provided the opportunity for questions regarding content.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=6	mw=1,17 md=1,00 s=0,41
Answers given by the instructor were helpful in clarifying uncertainties.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=7	mw=1,43 md=1,00 s=0,53
Instructor encouraged active participation throughout the course.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=5	mw=3,40 md=4,00 s=0,89
The course offered ample time for discussion of important aspects of course content.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=7	mw=1,43 md=1,00 s=0,53
Recommended reading materials (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were useful in facilitating understanding of course content.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=4	mw=1,25 md=1,00 s=0,50
Recommended reading materials (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were readily available.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=4	mw=1,25 md=1,00 s=0,50
Contributions of instructor	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=6	mw=1,33 md=1,00 s=0,52
Papers and reports of other participants	Strongly disagree	+	Strongly agree	n=6	mw=2,17 md=1,50 s=1,60
Preparation of own paper	Strongly disagree	$+$ \rightarrow	Strongly agree	n=6	mw=1,33 md=1,00 s=0,52
Discussions in the course	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=6	mw=1,67 md=1,50 s=0,82
Teamwork	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=2	mw=2,50 md=2,50 s=0,71

Individual work	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=4	mw=1,25 md=1,00 s=0,50
Special session (e.g., guest speaker)	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=2	mw=3,50 md=3,50 s=2,12
Reading of listed literature	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=2	mw=1,50 md=1,50 s=0,71
Revision of lecture notes	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=2	mw=1,50 md=1,50 s=0,71
Visit to instructor during office hour	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=3	mw=2,00 md=2,00 s=1,00
Instructor's manner of speaking was clear and audible.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=5	mw=1,20 md=1,00 s=0,45
Instructor spoke at an appropriate speed.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=5	mw=1,20 md=1,00 s=0,45

Instructor's lecturing style sustained my attention.	Strongly	Strongly agree	n=6	mw=2,00 md=2,00 s=1,10
Instructor was open to subject-oriented issues.	Strongly	Strongly agree	n=5	mw=1,60 md=2,00 s=0,55
Instructor was open and friendly towards students.	Strongly	Strongly agree	n=6	mw=1,83 md=2,00 s=0,75
Instructor responded to students' ideas and opinions in a constructive manner.	Strongly	Strongly agree	n=6	mw=1,33 md=1,00 s=0,52
Instructor included questions, exercises, etc. in the course which enabled students to assess their progress.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=5	mw=1,60 md=1,00 s=0,89
Instructor was able to arouse my interest in the subject.	Strongly	Strongly agree	n=5	mw=1,40 md=1,00 s=0,55
The majority of the students was well prepared.	Strongly	Strongly agree	n=6	mw=1,33 md=1,00 s=0,52
The majority of students actively participated in the course.	Strongly	Strongly agree	n=6	mw=3,17 md=3,00 s=1,17

Evaluation of general satisfaction with the course

Overall, I am satisfied with the course.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=8	mw=1,63 md=1,50 s=0,74
Evaluation of teaching and learning en	nvironment				
Prior knowledge and experience helped me master the course content.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=7	mw=1,43 md=1,00 s=0,53
Technical equipment (overhead projector, beamer, blackboard, microphone, etc.) was always ready for use and functioned properly).	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=8	mw=1,38 md=1,00 s=0,52
Size of the room was adequate for the course.	Strongly	┝╴╋	Strongly agree	n=8	mw=1,38 md=1,00 s=0,52
The room setup (seating, tables, lighting, ventilation, etc.) was satisfactory.	Strongly		Strongly agree	n=7	mw=1,43 md=1,00 s=0,53

Auswertungsteil der offenen Fragen

Auto-Gruppe

What did you especially like about the course?

■ 2 presentations requires you to look at your progress from outside perspective

What should/could be improved?

- Could be possible to split the seminar more into two parts (day 1 and day 2) Day 1: only cases and only students with case attend Day 2: empirical, only students doing empirical attend
- I think a seminar that is not graded and where you basically sit for two days does not help a lot because the presentations and issues of other students don't help much with my own thesis. Individual feedback in a conversation would help much more and be much quicker.