Median

Quantil

Professor Ernst Maug, Ph.D.





Auswertungsteil der geschlossenen Fragen

Mittelwert

Relative Häufigkeiten der Antworten Std.-Abw.


Legende


n=Anzahl mw=Mittelwert md=Median s=Std.-Abw. E.=Enthaltung 0% 0% 50% Fragetext Rechter Pol Linker Pol Skala Histogramm **Personal Data** Course of Study n=8 BWL 100% BWL i.Q. 0% VWL 0% Wifo 0% Wi.-Päd. 0% Philologie/BaKuWi/MaKuWi 0% Untern.-Jur. 0% Other 0% Targeted degree: n=8 Bachelor 0% 87.5% Master Diplom 0% 12.5% Magister 0% Other 0% Semester (only for your actual course of study): n=8 62.5% 37.5% I attended the course regularly: 100% 0% No I am an exchange student: n=7 0%

No

100%


Evaluation of the course Course objectives were clearly stated. n=8 mw=1,5 md=1 s=0,76 Strongly disagree Strongly agree 14.3% 14.3% 28.6% 42.9% Course requirements and criteria for grading were Strongly disagree Strongly agree mw=2 md=2 s=1,15 clearly explained. 12,5% 37,5% n=8 mw=1,63 md=1,5 s=0,74 The course was well structured. Strongly disagree Strongly agree 0% 0% 0% 42.9% 57,1% The choice of topics was explained by the instructor. Strongly disagree Strongly agree mw=1,43 md=1 s=0,53 14,3% 28,6% The choice appeared well-founded to me. n=7 mw=1,57 Strongly disagree Strongly agree md=1 s=0,79 n=8 mw=1,5 md=1 s=0,93 Dissemination of subject matter was appropriately Strongly disagree Strongly agree placed over the semester. 28.6% n=7 mw=1,71 md=1 Course content was presented in a comprehensible Strongly disagree Strongly agree manner. s=0,95 42,9% Instructor illustrated subject matter with examples from Strongly disagree Strongly agree mw=1,71 md=2 s=0,76 the business world and from current research. 5 42.9% Where appropriate instructor drew parallels to businessn=7 mw=1,71 md=2 s=0,76 Strongly disagree Strongly agree related disciplines. n=6 mw=1,5 md=1 s=0,84 Instructor incorporated audio-visual media effectively (e. Strongly disagree Strongly agree g., blackboard, overhead projector, video, beamer). n=6 mw=1,67 md=1 s=1,03 Visual materials were easy to read and follow. Strongly disagree Strongly agree 0% 0% 12.5% 87.5% Instructor provided the opportunity for questions Strongly disagree Strongly agree mw=1,13 md=1 s=0,35 regarding content.






Evaluation of general satisfaction with the course

Overall, I am satisfied with the course.







Profillinie

Teilbereich: Fakultät für Betriebswirtschaftslehre Name der/des Lehrenden: Professor Ernst Maug, Ph.D.


Titel der Lehrveranstaltung: Masterarbeitsseminar LS Maug | Master-Seminar - Assistenten des Lehrstuhls (Co-Lecturers) (6599a)


(Name der Umfrage) FS2017)


Verwendete Werte in der Profillinie: Mittelwert

Evaluation of the course

Course objectives were clearly stated. Strongly Strongly agree mw=1.50 md=1.00 s=0.76 n=8 disagree Course requirements and criteria for grading were Strongly disagree Strongly agree mw=2,00 md=2,00 s=1,15 clearly explained. The course was well structured. Strongly disagree Strongly agree mw=1.63 md=1.50 s=0.74 n=8 The choice of topics was explained by the Strongly disagree Strongly agree mw=1,43 md=1,00 s=0,53 The choice appeared well-founded to me. Strongly Strongly agree n=7 mw=1,57 md=1,00 s=0,79 disagree Dissemination of subject matter was appropriately Strongly Strongly agree n=8 mw=1,50 md=1,00 s=0,93 placed over the semester. disagree Course content was presented in a comprehensible Strongly Strongly agree n=7 mw=1,71 md=1,00 s=0,95 disagree Instructor illustrated subject matter with examples from the business world and from current research. Strongly Strongly agree mw=1,71 md=2,00 s=0,76 n=7 disagree Where appropriate instructor drew parallels to Strongly Strongly agree n=7 mw=1,71 md=2,00 s=0,76 business-related disciplines. disagree Instructor incorporated audio-visual media effectively (e.g., blackboard, overhead projector, Strongly disagree Strongly agree mw=1.50 md=1.00 s=0.84 n=6 Visual materials were easy to read and follow. Strongly Strongly agree mw=1,67 md=1,00 s=1,03 disagree







Evaluation of general satisfaction with the course



Evaluation of teaching and learning environment

Prior knowledge and experience helped me master the course content.

Technical equipment (overhead projector, beamer, blackboard, microphone, etc.) was always ready for use and functioned properly).

Size of the room was adequate for the course.

The room setup (seating, tables, lighting, ventilation, etc.) was satisfactory.

