


Evaluationsstelle der Fakultät für BWL
 Masterarbeiten | Diplomandenseminar - Professor Ernst Maug, Ph.D. (143a|HWS2012)
 Erfasste Fragebögen = 10



Auswertungsteil der geschlossenen Fragen


Legende

Frage**text**




Personal Data

Course of Study



Targeted degree:



Semester (only for your actual course of study):



I attended the course regularly:




I am an exchange student:




Evaluation of the course


Course objectives were clearly stated.




Course requirements and criteria for grading were clearly explained.




The course was well structured.




The choice of topics was explained by the instructor.




The choice appeared well-founded to me.




Dissemination of subject matter was appropriately placed over the semester.




Course content was presented in a comprehensible manner.




Instructor illustrated subject matter with examples from the business world and from current research.




Where appropriate instructor drew parallels to business-related disciplines.




Instructor incorporated audio-visual media effectively (e.g., blackboard, overhead projector, video, beamer).




Visual materials were easy to read and follow.




Instructor provided the opportunity for questions regarding content.




Answers given by the instructor were helpful in clarifying uncertainties.




Instructor encouraged active participation throughout the course.




The course offered ample time for discussion of important aspects of course content.




Recommended reading materials (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were useful in facilitating understanding of course content.




Recommended reading materials (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were readily available.




Contributions of instructor




Papers and reports of other participants




Preparation of own paper




Discussions in the course




Teamwork




Individual work




Special session (e.g., guest speaker)




Reading of listed literature




Revision of lecture notes




Visit to instructor during office hour




Instructor's manner of speaking was clear and audible.




Instructor spoke at an appropriate speed.




Instructor's lecturing style sustained my attention.




Instructor was open to subject-oriented issues.




Instructor was open and friendly towards students.




Instructor responded to students' ideas and opinions in a constructive manner.




Instructor included questions, exercises, etc. in the course which enabled students to assess their progress.




Instructor was able to arouse my interest in the subject.



The majority of the students was well prepared.




The majority of students actively participated in the course.




Evaluation of general satisfaction with the course

Overall, I am satisfied with the course.




Evaluation of teaching and learning environment


Prior knowledge and experience helped me master the course content.




Technical equipment (overhead projector, beamer, blackboard, microphone, etc.) was always ready for use and functioned properly).



Size of the room was adequate for the course.



The room setup (seating, tables, lighting, ventilation, etc.) was satisfactory.





Profillinie

Teilbereich: Fakultät für Betriebswirtschaftslehre
 Name der/des Lehrenden: Evaluationsstelle der Fakultät für BWL
 Titel der Lehrveranstaltung: Masterarbeiten | Diplomandenseminar - Professor Ernst Maug, Ph.D.
 (Name der Umfrage)

Verwendete Werte in der Profillinie: Mittelwert

Evaluation of the course



Individual work	Strongly disagree									Strongly agree	n=6	mw=1,17	md=1,00	s=0,41
Special session (e.g., guest speaker)	Strongly disagree									Strongly agree	n=5	mw=1,80	md=1,00	s=1,79
Reading of listed literature	Strongly disagree									Strongly agree	n=6	mw=1,17	md=1,00	s=0,41
Revision of lecture notes	Strongly disagree									Strongly agree	n=5	mw=1,40	md=1,00	s=0,89
Visit to instructor during office hour	Strongly disagree									Strongly agree	n=5	mw=1,80	md=1,00	s=1,79
Instructor's manner of speaking was clear and audible.	Strongly disagree									Strongly agree	n=7	mw=1,57	md=2,00	s=0,53
Instructor spoke at an appropriate speed.	Strongly disagree									Strongly agree	n=8	mw=1,38	md=1,00	s=0,52

Evaluation of general satisfaction with the course

Instructor's lecturing style sustained my attention.	Strongly disagree									Strongly agree	n=8	mw=1,63	md=1,50	s=0,74
Instructor was open to subject-oriented issues.	Strongly disagree									Strongly agree	n=8	mw=1,50	md=1,50	s=0,53
Instructor was open and friendly towards students.	Strongly disagree									Strongly agree	n=7	mw=1,29	md=1,00	s=0,49
Instructor responded to students' ideas and opinions in a constructive manner.	Strongly disagree									Strongly agree	n=8	mw=1,13	md=1,00	s=0,35
Instructor included questions, exercises, etc. in the course which enabled students to assess their interest in the subject.	Strongly disagree									Strongly agree	n=7	mw=1,29	md=1,00	s=0,49
The majority of the students was well prepared.	Strongly disagree									Strongly agree	n=7	mw=1,14	md=1,00	s=0,38
The majority of students actively participated in the course.	Strongly disagree									Strongly agree	n=9	mw=1,89	md=1,00	s=1,76

Evaluation of teaching and learning environment

Overall, I am satisfied with the course.	Strongly disagree									Strongly agree	n=9	mw=1,56	md=1,00	s=0,73
--	-------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	----------------	-----	---------	---------	--------

Evaluation of teaching and learning environment

Prior knowledge and experience helped me master the course content.	Strongly disagree									Strongly agree	n=9	mw=1,67	md=2,00	s=0,71
Technical equipment (overhead projector, beamer, blackboard, microphone, etc.) was always	Strongly disagree									Strongly agree	n=8	mw=1,38	md=1,00	s=0,74
Size of the room was adequate for the course.	Strongly disagree									Strongly agree	n=9	mw=1,11	md=1,00	s=0,33
The room setup (seating, tables, lighting, ventilation, etc.) was satisfactory.	Strongly disagree									Strongly agree	n=9	mw=1,11	md=1,00	s=0,33

Auswertungsteil der offenen Fragen

Auto-Gruppe

What should/could be improved?

- Difficult to listen to 10 presentations with full concentration on a single day -> Maybe make 2 separate groups/sessions (half a day each):
 - empirical studies
 - case studies