Median

Quantil

Evaluationsstelle der Fakultät für BWL

Masterarbeitsseminar LS Maug | Master-Seminar - Herr Professor Ernst Maug, Ph.D. (2410a|HS2014)
Erfasste Fragebögen = 5



Auswertungsteil der geschlossenen Fragen


Mittelwert

Relative Häufigkeiten der Antworten

Legende

0% n=Anzahl mw=Mittelwert md=Median s=Std.-Abw. E.=Enthaltung Fragetext Linker Pol Rechter Pol Skala Histogramm **Personal Data** Course of Study n=5 BWL 80% BWL i.Q. 20% VWL 0% Wifo 0% Wi.-Päd. 0% Philologie/BaKuWi/MaKuWi 0% Untern.-Jur. 0% Targeted degree: n=5 0% Bachelor Master 100% Diplom 0% Magister 0% PhD 0% 0% Semester (only for your actual course of study): n=5 40% 60% I attended the course regularly: n=5 Yes 100% 0% No I am an exchange student: n=5 0% 100% No

Evaluation of the course 40% Course objectives were clearly stated. n=5 mw=2 md=2 s=1 Strongly disagree Strongly agree 0% 0% 25% 50% 25% Course requirements and criteria for grading were n=4 mw=2 md=2 Strongly disagree Strongly agree clearly explained. s=0.82 0% 0% 0% 60% n=5 mw=1,6 md=2 s=0,55 The course was well structured. Strongly disagree Strongly agree 5 0% 0% 25% 50% 25% The choice of topics was explained by the instructor. n=4 Strongly disagree Strongly agree mw=2 md=2 s=0,82 0% 0% 0% n=4 mw=1,75 md=2 s=0,5 The choice appeared well-founded to me. Strongly disagree Strongly agree 0% 80% n=5 mw=1,2 md=1 s=0,45 Dissemination of subject matter was appropriately Strongly disagree Strongly agree placed over the semester. 5 33.3% 0% 0% 33.3% 33.3% Course content was presented in a comprehensible n=3 Strongly disagree Strongly agree mw=2 md=2 manner. 33,3% 33,3% 33,3% n=3 mw=2 md=2 Instructor illustrated subject matter with examples from Strongly disagree Strongly agree the business world and from current research. 0% 0% 33.3% 0% 66.7% Where appropriate instructor drew parallels to businessn=3 mw=1,67 md=1 s=1,15 Strongly disagree Strongly agree related disciplines. 5 66,7% 33,3% n=3 mw=1,67 md=2 s=0,58 Instructor incorporated audio-visual media effectively (e. Strongly disagree Strongly agree g., blackboard, overhead projector, video, beamer). -5 0% 0% Visual materials were easy to read and follow. n=4 mw=1,75 md=2 s=0,5 Strongly disagree Strongly agree 5 0% 0% 0% 20% 80% Instructor provided the opportunity for questions n=5 mw=1,2 md=1 s=0,45 Strongly disagree Strongly agree regarding content. 5





Evaluation of teaching and learning environment Prior knowledge and experience helped me master the course content. n=4 mw=2,5 md=2,5 s=1,29 Strongly disagree Strongly agree 60% 0% 0% 20% Technical equipment (overhead projector, beamer, blackboard, microphone, etc.) was always ready for use n=5 mw=1,6 md=1 s=0,89 Strongly disagree Strongly agree and functioned properly). 0% 0% 20% n=5 mw=1,2 md=1 s=0,45 Size of the room was adequate for the course. Strongly disagree Strongly agree The room setup (seating, tables, lighting, ventilation, etc.) was satisfactory. 0% 0% 0% 20% 80% n=5 mw=1,2 md=1 s=0,45 Strongly disagree Strongly agree

Strongly agree

mw=1,75 md=2,00 s=0,50

Profillinie

Teilbereich: Fakultät für Betriebswirtschaftslehre

Name der/des Lehrenden: Evaluationsstelle der Fakultät für BWL


Titel der Lehrveranstaltung: (Name der Umfrage)


Masterarbeitsseminar LS Maug | Master-Seminar - Herr Professor Ernst Maug, Ph.D.


Verwendete Werte in der Profillinie: Mittelwert

Evaluation of the course

Strongly disagree Course objectives were clearly stated. Strongly agree mw=2.00 md=2.00 s=1.00 Course requirements and criteria for grading were Strongly disagree Strongly agree mw=2,00 md=2,00 s=0,82 clearly explained Strongly disagree The course was well structured. Strongly agree mw=1.60 md=2.00 s=0.55 n=5 Strongly disagree The choice of topics was explained by the Strongly agree mw=2,00 md=2,00 s=0,82 instructor. The choice appeared well-founded to me. Strongly Strongly agree n=4 mw=1.75 md=2.00 s=0.50 disagree Dissemination of subject matter was appropriately placed over the semester. Strongly disagree Strongly agree n=5 mw=1,20 md=1,00 s=0,45 Strongly disagree Course content was presented in a comprehensible Strongly agree n=3 mw=2.00 md=2.00 s=1.00 Instructor illustrated subject matter with examples from the business world and from current research. Strongly disagree Strongly agree mw=2,00 md=2,00 s=1,00 n=3 Where appropriate instructor drew parallels to Strongly Strongly agree mw=1.67 md=1.00 s=1.15 business-related disciplines. disagree Instructor incorporated audio-visual media effectively (e.g., blackboard, overhead projector, Strongly disagree Strongly agree mw=1.67 md=2.00 s=0.58 n=3 video, beamer) Strongly disagree Visual materials were easy to read and follow.








Evaluation of general satisfaction with the course



Evaluation of teaching and learning environment



(*) Hinweis: Wenn die Anzahl der Antworten auf eine Frage zu gering ist, wird für die Frage keine Auswertung angezeigt.

Auswertungsteil der offenen Fragen

Auto-Gruppe

What did you especially like about the course?

■ Open discussion about new material. The constructive feedback helps to work on the thesis.