Median

Quantil

100%

Professor Ernst Maug, Ph.D.



Masterarbeitsseminar LS Maug | Master-Seminar - Assistenten des Lehrstuhls (Co-Lecturers) (5649a|HS2016) Erfasste Fragebögen = 6

Auswertungsteil der geschlossenen Fragen

Mittelwert


Std.-Abw

Relative Häufigkeiten der Antworten

Legende

n=Anzahl mw=Mittelwert md=Median s=Std.-Abw. E.=Enthaltung 0% 0% Fragetext Rechter Pol Linker Pol Skala Histogramm **Personal Data** Course of Study n=6 100% BWL BWL i.Q. 0% VWL 0% Wifo 0% Wi.-Päd. 0% Philologie/BaKuWi/MaKuWi 0% Untern.-Jur. 0% Other 0% Targeted degree: n=6 Bachelor 0% 100% Master Diplom 0% Magister 0% PhD 0% Other 0% Semester (only for your actual course of study): n=5 20% 20% 60% I attended the course regularly: n=5 100% No 0% I am an exchange student: n=5 0% Yes

Evaluation of the course Course objectives were clearly stated. n=6 mw=1,5 md=1,5 s=0,55 Strongly disagree Strongly agree 5 0% 16.7% 16.7% 50% Course requirements and criteria for grading were n=6 Strongly disagree Strongly agree mw=2 md=1,5 s=1,26 clearly explained. 0% 0% 50% 16,7% n=6 mw=1,67 md=1,5 s=0,82 The course was well structured. Strongly disagree Strongly agree 0% 0% 16.7% 16.7% 66.7% The choice of topics was explained by the instructor. n=6 Strongly disagree Strongly agree mw=1,5 md=1 s=0,84 0% 0% 0% 33,3% 66,7% n=6 mw=1,33 md=1 s=0,52 The choice appeared well-founded to me. Strongly disagree Strongly agree 33,3% n=6 mw=1,67 md=1,5 s=0,82 Dissemination of subject matter was appropriately Strongly disagree Strongly agree placed over the semester. 5 0% 0% 0% 60% Course content was presented in a comprehensible n=5 mw=1,6 md=2 Strongly disagree Strongly agree manner. s=0,55 50% 50% n=4 mw=1,5 md=1,5 s=0,58 Instructor illustrated subject matter with examples from Strongly disagree Strongly agree the business world and from current research. 0% 0% 0% 33.3% 66.7% Where appropriate instructor drew parallels to businessn=6 Strongly disagree Strongly agree mw=1,33 md=1 s=0,52 related disciplines. 5 60% n=5 mw=1,6 md=2 s=0,55 Instructor incorporated audio-visual media effectively (e. Strongly disagree Strongly agree g., blackboard, overhead projector, video, beamer). -5 0% 0% 50% Visual materials were easy to read and follow. n=4 mw=1,5 md=1,5 s=0,58 Strongly disagree Strongly agree 5 3 0% 0% 0% 66.7% Instructor provided the opportunity for questions n=6 mw=1,33 md=1 s=0,52 Strongly disagree Strongly agree regarding content. 5





Evaluation of teaching and learning environment Prior knowledge and experience helped me master the course content. n=6 mw=1,33 md=1 s=0,52 Strongly disagree Strongly agree 0% 0% 0% 83,3% 16,7% Technical equipment (overhead projector, beamer, blackboard, microphone, etc.) was always ready for use n=6 mw=1,83 md=2 s=0,41 Strongly disagree Strongly agree and functioned properly). 0% 0% 66,7% 33,3% n=6 mw=1,67 md=2 s=0,52 Size of the room was adequate for the course. Strongly disagree Strongly agree The room setup (seating, tables, lighting, ventilation, etc.) was satisfactory. 0% 0% 0% 66,7% 33,3% n=6 mw=1,67 md=2 s=0,52 Strongly disagree Strongly agree

Profillinie

Teilbereich: Fakultät für Betriebswirtschaftslehre

Name der/des Lehrenden:

Professor Ernst Maug, Ph.D.

Titel der Lehrveranstaltung: (Name der Umfrage)


Masterarbeitsseminar LS Maug | Master-Seminar - Assistenten des Lehrstuhls (Co-Lecturers) (5649a|


HS2016)


Verwendete Werte in der Profillinie: Mittelwert

Evaluation of the course

Course objectives were clearly stated. Strongly Strongly agree mw=1.50 md=1.50 s=0.55 disagree Course requirements and criteria for grading were Strongly disagree Strongly agree mw=2,00 md=1,50 s=1,26 clearly explained Strongly disagree The course was well structured. Strongly agree mw=1.67 md=1.50 s=0.82 n=6 Strongly disagree The choice of topics was explained by the Strongly agree mw=1.50 md=1.00 s=0.84 instructor. The choice appeared well-founded to me. Strongly Strongly agree n=6 mw=1.33 md=1.00 s=0.52 disagree Dissemination of subject matter was appropriately placed over the semester. Strongly disagree Strongly agree n=6 mw=1,67 md=1,50 s=0,82 Strongly disagree Course content was presented in a comprehensible Strongly agree n=5 mw=1.60 md=2.00 s=0.55 Instructor illustrated subject matter with examples from the business world and from current research. Strongly disagree Strongly agree mw=1,50 md=1,50 s=0,58 n=4 Where appropriate instructor drew parallels to Strongly Strongly agree mw=1.33 md=1.00 s=0.52 business-related disciplines. disagree Instructor incorporated audio-visual media effectively (e.g., blackboard, overhead projector, Strongly disagree Strongly agree mw=1.60 md=2.00 s=0.55 n=5 video, beamer) Strongly disagree Visual materials were easy to read and follow. Strongly agree mw=1,50 md=1,50 s=0,58








Evaluation of general satisfaction with the course



Evaluation of teaching and learning environment



(*) Hinweis: Wenn die Anzahl der Antworten auf eine Frage zu gering ist, wird für die Frage keine Auswertung angezeigt.

Auswertungsteil der offenen Fragen

Auto-Gruppe

What did you especially like about the course?

■ Expectations for the seminar should be better clarified. Standardization should be considered in content presentation

What should/could be improved?

- I think it would be useful if also the supervisor & other course participants would read the thesis to the degree it is complete before each seminar. So that they could comment on it.
- I would like 1-on-1 discussions more than a presentation