

Professor Ernst Maug, Ph.D.


Masterarbeitsseminar LS Maug | Blockseminar - Assistenten des Lehrstuhls (Co-Lecturers) (9092a|FS2019)
Erfasste Fragebögen = 12



Auswertungsteil der geschlossenen Fragen

Legende


Fragestext




n=Anzahl
mw=Mittelwert
md=Median
s=Std.-Abw.
E.=Enthaltung

1. Course


1.1) The course contents were very well structured.




1.2) The course contents were illustrated very well by using appropriate examples.




1.3) The instructor motivated me.




1.4) The instructor encouraged the open and creative participation of students.




1.5) The instructor demonstrated a high level of commitment in this course.



1.6) In relation to the number of ECTS credits I receive, the average workload for this course is ...
(One ECTS credit corresponds to an average workload of approx. 30 hours.)




1.7) I had sufficient previous knowledge to follow the course.




2. Teacher

2.1) Instructor's explanations were clear.




2.2) Students were encouraged to form an opinion on the content of the course.






3. Learning Outcome




4. Overall


4.1) Overall, I am very satisfied with the instructor.



4.2) Overall, I am very satisfied with the course.



4.3) I learned a lot in this course.



Profillinie

Teilbereich: Fakultät für Betriebswirtschaftslehre

Name der/des Lehrenden: Professor Ernst Maug, Ph.D.

Titel der Lehrveranstaltung: Masterarbeitsseminar LS Maug | Blockseminar - Assistenten des Lehrstuhls (Co-Lecturers)
(Name der Umfrage)

Verwendete Werte in der Profillinie: Mittelwert

1. Course

1.1) The course contents were very well structured.	I completely agree		I do not agree at all	n=11	mw=1,7	md=2,0	s=0,5
1.2) The course contents were illustrated very well by using appropriate examples.	I completely agree		I do not agree at all	n=11	mw=1,8	md=2,0	s=0,6
1.3) The instructor motivated me.	I completely agree		I do not agree at all	n=10	mw=1,9	md=2,0	s=0,7
1.4) The instructor encouraged the open and creative participation of students.	I completely agree		I do not agree at all	n=9	mw=1,6	md=2,0	s=0,5
1.5) The instructor demonstrated a high level of commitment in this course.	I completely agree		I do not agree at all	n=11	mw=1,6	md=1,0	s=0,8
1.6) In relation to the number of ECTS credits I receive, the average workload for this course is ...	very high		very low	n=11	mw=2,3	md=2,0	s=0,5
1.7) I had sufficient previous knowledge to follow the course.	I completely agree		I do not agree at all	n=11	mw=2,1	md=2,0	s=0,8

2. Teacher

2.1) Instructor's explanations were clear.	I completely agree		I do not agree at all	n=11	mw=1,6	md=2,0	s=0,5
2.2) Students were encouraged to form an opinion on the content of the course.	I completely agree		I do not agree at all	n=11	mw=1,5	md=1,0	s=0,5
2.3) Proposed objectives agreed with those actually taught so I knew where course was going.	I completely agree		I do not agree at all	n=11	mw=1,5	md=2,0	s=0,5
2.4) The instructor was well-prepared with regard to the content of the course.	I completely agree		I do not agree at all	n=11	mw=1,3	md=1,0	s=0,5
2.5) The material distributed (literature, slides, etc.) helped me acquire the knowledge.	I completely agree		I do not agree at all	n=8	mw=2,1	md=2,0	s=0,4
2.6) The instructor created a link between theory and practice.	I completely agree		I do not agree at all	n=11	mw=1,8	md=2,0	s=0,6
2.7) The instructor conveyed why the content is valuable and useful.	I completely agree		I do not agree at all	n=10	mw=1,4	md=1,0	s=0,5
2.8) The instructor was polite with the students.	I completely agree		I do not agree at all	n=11	mw=1,2	md=1,0	s=0,4
2.9) The instructor was cooperative and open-minded.	I completely agree		I do not agree at all	n=11	mw=1,3	md=1,0	s=0,5
2.10) Evaluation criteria were transparent.	I completely agree		I do not agree at all	n=11	mw=2,0	md=2,0	s=0,8

3. Learning Outcome

3.1) I improved my methodological competence thanks to this course.	I completely agree		I do not agree at all	n=11	mw=1,5	md=2,0	s=0,5
3.2) I improved my professional competence thanks to this course.	I completely agree		I do not agree at all	n=11	mw=1,5	md=1,0	s=0,5

3.3) I improved my presentation skills thanks to this course.	I completely agree		I do not agree at all	n=11	mw=2,5	md=2,0	s=1,0
3.4) My interest in the subject has increased as a consequence of this course.	I completely agree		I do not agree at all	n=11	mw=2,0	md=2,0	s=0,8

4. Overall

4.1) Overall, I am very satisfied with the instructor.	I completely agree		I do not agree at all	n=11	mw=1,5	md=2,0	s=0,5
4.2) Overall, I am very satisfied with the course.	I completely agree		I do not agree at all	n=11	mw=1,7	md=2,0	s=0,6
4.3) I learned a lot in this course.	I completely agree		I do not agree at all	n=11	mw=1,5	md=1,0	s=0,5

Auswertungsteil der offenen Fragen

5. Open Questions

5.1) What did you particularly like about this course?

- Engagement of Prof. Maug
- We had presentations which were interactive and very helpful
- valuable feedback of Prof. Maug and supervisor
- very helpful feedback.
personal discussion about problem occurring

5.2) What should be improved?

- Grading (expectation and reputation are harsh)
- Mandatory presence for single student with empirical topic for all case study presentations does not make sense, 'be there is no value added
- More recent cases and clearer guidance on which time [...] into and data can come from w.r.t. deal announcement.
- Temperature in room was very hot