

**CENTER FOR DOCTORAL STUDIES IN BUSINESS**

University of Mannheim  
 L9, 7 Room 407  
 68161 Mannheim  
 cdsb@uni-mannheim.de  
 http://cdsb.uni-mannheim.de

Academic Director:  
 Prof. Dr. Dirk Simons  
 Phone: +49-621-181-1663  
 Fax: +49-621-181-1665

Center Managers:  
 Agathe Klosterhalfen  
 Phone: +49-621-181-2033  
 Fax: +49-621-181-2042

## Evaluation Statistics

Course-Id: 485  
 Course-Name: FIN803 Corporate Finance  
 Course-Field: /cdsb  
 Term: FSS 2012  
 Lecturer(s): Maug  
 Number of participants: 6  
 complete/not complete: 6/0

### 1.1.1. The course was well structured

	strongly agree	agree	neither agree nor disagree	disagree	strongly disagree	no opinion
absolut:	4	2	0	0	0	0
relativ:	66.67 %	33.33 %	0 %	0 %	0 %	0 %

### 1.1.2. The choice of topics was well explained by the instructor

	strongly agree	agree	neither agree nor disagree	disagree	strongly disagree	no opinion
absolut:	4	2	0	0	0	0
relativ:	66.67 %	33.33 %	0 %	0 %	0 %	0 %

### 1.1.3. Recommended reading materials were useful in facilitating understanding of course content

	strongly agree	agree	neither agree nor disagree	disagree	strongly disagree	no opinion
absolut:	3	2	1	0	0	0
relativ:	50 %	33.33 %	16.67 %	0 %	0 %	0 %

#### 1.1.4. Instructor was well prepared

	strongly agree	agree	neither agree nor disagree	disagree	strongly disagree	no opinion
absolut:	5	1	0	0	0	0
relativ:	83.33 %	16.67 %	0 %	0 %	0 %	0 %

#### 1.1.5. Instructor provided the opportunity for discussions and questions

	strongly agree	agree	neither agree nor disagree	disagree	strongly disagree	no opinion
absolut:	6	0	0	0	0	0
relativ:	100 %	0 %	0 %	0 %	0 %	0 %

#### 1.1.6. Answers given by the instructor were helpful in clarifying uncertainties

	strongly agree	agree	neither agree nor disagree	disagree	strongly disagree	no opinion
absolut:	6	0	0	0	0	0
relativ:	100 %	0 %	0 %	0 %	0 %	0 %

#### 1.1.7. Instructor's manner of speaking was clear and audible

	strongly agree	agree	neither agree nor disagree	disagree	strongly disagree	no opinion
absolut:	5	1	0	0	0	0
relativ:	83.33 %	16.67 %	0 %	0 %	0 %	0 %

#### 1.1.8. Course details were announced in time

	strongly agree	agree	neither agree nor disagree	disagree	strongly disagree	no opinion
absolut:	3	2	0	1	0	0
relativ:	50 %	33.33 %	0 %	16.67 %	0 %	0 %

#### 1.1.9. The exercise sessions were very useful (if applicable)

	strongly agree	agree	neither agree nor disagree	disagree	strongly disagree	no opinion
absolut:	1	0	0	0	0	5
relativ:	16.67 %	0 %	0 %	0 %	0 %	83.33 %

**1.1.10. How much have you learned in this course compared to the other courses in this semester (on average)?**

	much more	more	same	less	much less	no opinion
absolut:	1	2	3	0	0	0
relativ:	16.67 %	33.33 %	50 %	0 %	0 %	0 %

**1.1.11. How much effort did you put into the preparation and wrap-up of the weekly course sessions?**

	no effort	up to 1 hour	more than 1 to 2 hours	more than 2 to 4 hours	more than 4 hours	no opinion
absolut:	0	0	0	1	5	0
relativ:	0 %	0 %	0 %	16.67 %	83.33 %	0 %

**1.1.12. The course content was difficult**

	strongly agree	agree	neither agree nor disagree	disagree	strongly disagree	no opinion
absolut:	0	3	2	0	0	1
relativ:	0 %	50 %	33.33 %	0 %	0 %	16.67 %

**1.1.13. The teaching was good**

	strongly agree	agree	neither agree nor disagree	disagree	strongly disagree	no opinion
absolut:	3	3	0	0	0	0
relativ:	50 %	50 %	0 %	0 %	0 %	0 %

**1.1.14. Overall, I am satisfied with the course**

	strongly agree	agree	neither agree nor disagree	disagree	strongly disagree	no opinion
absolut:	4	2	0	0	0	0
relativ:	66.67 %	33.33 %	0 %	0 %	0 %	0 %

**1.1.15. What grade do you expect in this course?**

	1	2	3	4	5	no opinion
absolut:	2	2	0	0	0	2
relativ:	33.33 %	33.33 %	0 %	0 %	0 %	33.33 %

### **1.1.16. What did you especially like about the course?**

- presentations of students - slides of prof. maug were very helpfull - exam type (good idea).

gave a good overview over different aspects and methods in this field

- Preparation of own paper presentation was good way to understand the topics on a deeper level. - The referee report (final exam) was a very good stimulation towards reading papers with a more critical eye.

---

### **1.1.17. What could/should be improved?**

half-day sessions would be better

- In order to incentivize students to better understand the models presented, a few mandatory exercises would be nice. (The course competes with other courses, for which there was mandatory, graded homework.)

---