
# Evaluationsstelle der Fakultät für BWL





# Auswertungsteil der geschlossenen Fragen

Mittelwert

Median


Quantil

Relative Häufigkeiten der Antworten

Legende

# 0% n=Anzahl mw=Mittelwert md=Median s=Std.-Abw. E.=Enthaltung Fragetext Linker Pol Rechter Pol Skala Histogramm **Personal Data** Course of Study n=7 BWL 71.4% BWL i.Q. 0% VWL 28.6% 0% Wi.-Päd. 0% Philologie/BaKuWi/MaKuWi 0% Untern.-Jur. 0% Targeted degree: n=7 0% Bachelor Master 14.3% Diplom 0% Magister 0% PhD 85.7% Other 0% Semester (only for your actual course of study): n=7 57.1% 14.3% 14.3% 14.3% I attended the course regularly: n=7 Yes 100% 0% I am an exchange student: 0% 100%


#### Evaluation of the course 0% Course objectives were clearly stated. n=7 mw=1,29 md=1 s=0,49 Strongly disagree Strongly agree 5 0% 0% 0% 14.3% 85.7% Course requirements and criteria for grading were n=7 Strongly disagree Strongly agree mw=1,14 md=1 clearly explained. s=0.38 0% 0% 0% 14,3% 85,7% n=7 mw=1,14 md=1 s=0,38 The course was well structured. Strongly disagree Strongly agree 5 0% 0% 0% 28.6% 71.4% The choice of topics was explained by the instructor. n=7 Strongly disagree Strongly agree mw=1,29 md=1 s=0,49 0% 0% 0% 42,9% 57,1% n=7 mw=1,43 md=1 s=0,53 The choice appeared well-founded to me. Strongly disagree Strongly agree 14,3% Dissemination of subject matter was appropriately n=7 Strongly disagree Strongly agree placed over the semester. 5 0% 0% 0% 14.3% 85.7% Course content was presented in a comprehensible Strongly disagree Strongly agree mw=1,14 md=1 manner. s=0.38 5 0% 14,3% 14,3% n=7 mw=1,43 md=1 s=0,79 Instructor illustrated subject matter with examples from Strongly disagree Strongly agree the business world and from current research. 0% 0% 40% 0% 60% Where appropriate instructor drew parallels to businessn=5 mw=1,8 md=1 s=1,1 Strongly disagree Strongly agree related disciplines. 5 85,7% n=7 mw=1,14 md=1 s=0,38 Instructor incorporated audio-visual media effectively (e. Strongly disagree Strongly agree g., blackboard, overhead projector, video, beamer). -5 0% 0% 85,7% Visual materials were easy to read and follow. n=7 mw=1,14 md=1 s=0,38 Strongly disagree Strongly agree 5 3 0% 0% 0% 0% 100% Instructor provided the opportunity for questions Strongly disagree Strongly agree mw=1 md=1 s=0 regarding content. 5 3





# Evaluation of general satisfaction with the course


Overall, I am satisfied with the course.



n=6 mw=1,33 md=1 s=0,52

> n=5 mw=1,2 md=1 s=0,45

# Evaluation of teaching and learning environment



The room setup (seating, tables, lighting, ventilation, etc.) was satisfactory.



# **Profillinie**

Teilbereich: Fakultät für Betriebswirtschaftslehre


Name der/des Lehrenden: Evaluationsstelle der Fakultät für BWL


Titel der Lehrveranstaltung: FIN 803 PhD Kurs Corporate Finance | Doktorandenseminar - Herr Professor Ernst Maug, Ph.D. (Name der Umfrage)


Verwendete Werte in der Profillinie: Mittelwert

### Evaluation of the course

Course objectives were clearly stated. Strongly Strongly agree mw=1.29 md=1.00 s=0.49 disagree Strongly disagree Course requirements and criteria for grading were Strongly agree mw=1,14 md=1,00 s=0,38 clearly explained Strongly disagree The course was well structured. Strongly agree mw=1.14 md=1.00 s=0.38 n=7 Strongly disagree The choice of topics was explained by the Strongly agree mw=1.29 md=1.00 s=0.49 instructor The choice appeared well-founded to me. Strongly Strongly agree mw=1.43 md=1.00 s=0.53 disagree Dissemination of subject matter was appropriately placed over the semester. Strongly disagree Strongly agree mw=2,00 md=2,00 s=1,15 n=7 Strongly disagree Course content was presented in a comprehensible Strongly agree n=7 mw=1.14 md=1.00 s=0.38 Instructor illustrated subject matter with examples from the business world and from current research. Strongly disagree Strongly agree mw=1,43 md=1,00 s=0,79 n=7 Where appropriate instructor drew parallels to Strongly Strongly agree mw=1.80 md=1.00 s=1.10 business-related disciplines. disagree Instructor incorporated audio-visual media effectively (e.g., blackboard, overhead projector, Strongly disagree Strongly agree mw=1.14 md=1.00 s=0.38 n=7 video, beamer) Strongly disagree Visual materials were easy to read and follow. Strongly agree mw=1,14 md=1,00 s=0,38








# Evaluation of general satisfaction with the course



#### Evaluation of teaching and learning environment



# Auswertungsteil der offenen Fragen

# Auto-Gruppe

What did you especially like about the course?

- Covered frontier and new topics in varied areas; helped to understand more models that would be used in CF research; helped to give intuition on generating reserach topics.
- Good mixture of recent and classical papers. Lecturer gave very clear and easy to follow presentations, and drew real world related parallel when ever possible. Lecturer provides very good intution and focuses on presenting major insights of models instead of deriving each result.
- I really apprecaited the comments to the presentations and also the discussions during class. I don't feel like this discredits my efforts, rather the other way around.
- Overview of current research. Active discussions with students. Preparation and presentation of own paper.

# What should/could be improved?

- 6 half-day blocks. Set a countdown timer for student presentations. A bit more background on "classics", but not presentations of them. More presentations to chose from, so that maybe not all have to be presented if no one wants a certain paper.
- Having 6 half day seminars would be preferable. I'd prefer covering the discussion on structural estimation and reduced from regresions because it's important especially in Corp Finance.
- Hope we can have more sessions.