

Evaluationsstelle der Fakultät für BWL


FIN 803 PhD Kurs Corporate Finance | Doktorandenkurs - Herr Professor Ernst Maug, Ph.D. (3777a|FS2015)
Erfasste Fragebögen = 6



Auswertungsteil der geschlossenen Fragen

Legende


Frage-
text




n=Anzahl
mw=Mittelwert
md=Median
s=Std.-Abw.
E.=Enthaltung

Personal Data


Course of Study



Targeted degree:



Semester (only for your actual course of study):



I attended the course regularly:




I am an exchange student:




Evaluation of the course

Course objectives were clearly stated.		<p>Strongly agree</p> <p>n=6 mw=1,17 md=1 s=0,41</p>
Course requirements and criteria for grading were clearly explained.		<p>Strongly agree</p> <p>n=6 mw=1,33 md=1 s=0,52</p>
The course was well structured.		<p>Strongly agree</p> <p>n=6 mw=1 md=1 s=0</p>
The choice of topics was explained by the instructor.		<p>Strongly agree</p> <p>n=6 mw=1,17 md=1 s=0,41</p>
The choice appeared well-founded to me.		<p>Strongly agree</p> <p>n=6 mw=1,17 md=1 s=0,41</p>
Dissemination of subject matter was appropriately placed over the semester.		<p>Strongly agree</p> <p>n=6 mw=1,33 md=1 s=0,52</p>
Course content was presented in a comprehensible manner.		<p>Strongly agree</p> <p>n=6 mw=1 md=1 s=0</p>
Instructor illustrated subject matter with examples from the business world and from current research.		<p>Strongly agree</p> <p>n=6 mw=1,5 md=1 s=0,84</p>
Where appropriate instructor drew parallels to business-related disciplines.		<p>Strongly agree</p> <p>n=6 mw=2,17 md=2,5 s=0,98</p>
Instructor incorporated audio-visual media effectively (e.g., blackboard, overhead projector, video, beamer).		<p>Strongly agree</p> <p>n=6 mw=1,67 md=2 s=0,52</p>
Visual materials were easy to read and follow.		<p>Strongly agree</p> <p>n=6 mw=1,5 md=1,5 s=0,55</p>
Instructor provided the opportunity for questions regarding content.		<p>Strongly agree</p> <p>n=6 mw=1 md=1 s=0</p>


Answers given by the instructor were helpful in clarifying uncertainties.




Instructor encouraged active participation throughout the course.




The course offered ample time for discussion of important aspects of course content.




Recommended reading materials (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were useful in facilitating understanding of course content.




Recommended reading materials (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were readily available.




Contributions of instructor




Papers and reports of other participants




Preparation of own paper




Discussions in the course




Teamwork




Individual work




Special session (e.g., guest speaker)




Reading of listed literature




Revision of lecture notes




Visit to instructor during office hour




Instructor's manner of speaking was clear and audible.




Instructor spoke at an appropriate speed.




Instructor's lecturing style sustained my attention.




Instructor was open to subject-oriented issues.




Instructor was open and friendly towards students.




Instructor responded to students' ideas and opinions in a constructive manner.




Instructor included questions, exercises, etc. in the course which enabled students to assess their progress.




Instructor was able to arouse my interest in the subject.



The majority of the students was well prepared.




The majority of students actively participated in the course.




Evaluation of general satisfaction with the course

Overall, I am satisfied with the course.




Evaluation of teaching and learning environment


Prior knowledge and experience helped me master the course content.




Technical equipment (overhead projector, beamer, blackboard, microphone, etc.) was always ready for use and functioned properly).



Size of the room was adequate for the course.



The room setup (seating, tables, lighting, ventilation, etc.) was satisfactory.





Profillinie

Teilbereich: Fakultät für Betriebswirtschaftslehre
 Name der/des Lehrenden: Evaluationsstelle der Fakultät für BWL
 Titel der Lehrveranstaltung: FIN 803 PhD Kurs Corporate Finance | Doktorandenkurs - Herr Professor Ernst Maug, Ph.D.
 (Name der Umfrage)


Verwendete Werte in der Profillinie: Mittelwert

Evaluation of the course






Evaluation of general satisfaction with the course



Evaluation of teaching and learning environment



Evaluation of teaching and learning environment



Auswertungsteil der offenen Fragen

Auto-Gruppe

What did you especially like about the course?

- It's good to not have full-day sessions
Prof. Maug presented the material in a very clear way!
- Well-structured choice of topics
Ample reference to relevant literatur
Contents deeply discussed
Include presentation from students

What should/could be improved?

- Maybe it would be better to present once alone and once together with another student (groups of 2 people) to save some time which could be useful for covering more material