Evaluationsstelle der Fakultät für BWL

TAX 630 International Business Taxation | Vorlesung - Herr Professor Dr. Christoph Spengel (640a|FS2014)
Erfasste Fragebögen = 17

Auswertungsteil der geschlossenen Fragen

Legende

Fragetext



n=Anzahl
mw=Mittelwert
md=Median
s=Std.-Abw.
E.=Enthaltung

Personal Data

Course of Study

n=14

BWL	78.6%
BWL i.Q.	0%
VWL	0%
Wifo	0%
Wi.-Päd.	0%
Philologie/BaKuWi/MaKuWi	0%
Untern.-Jur.	21.4%
Other	0%

Targeted degree:

n=16

Bachelor	0%
Master	87.5%
Diplom	6.3%
Magister	6.3%
PhD	0%
Other	0%

Semester (only for your actual course of study):

n=15

2.	86.7%
4.	6.7%
>12.	6.7%

I attended the course regularly:

n=15

Yes	100%
No	0%

I am an exchange student:

n=14

Yes	7.1%
No	92.9%

Evaluation of the course

Course objectives were clearly stated.	Strongly disagree	0%	6,7%	0%	33,3%	60%	Strongly agree	n=15 mw=1,53 md=1 s=0,83
		5	4	3	2	1		
Course requirements and criteria for grading were clearly explained.	Strongly disagree	6,3%	6,3%	6,3%	37,5%	43,8%	Strongly agree	n=16 mw=1,94 md=2 s=1,18
		5	4	3	2	1		
The course was well structured.	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	0%	35,3%	64,7%	Strongly agree	n=17 mw=1,35 md=1 s=0,49
		5	4	3	2	1		
The choice of topics was explained by the instructor.	Strongly disagree	0%	5,9%	17,6%	17,6%	58,8%	Strongly agree	n=17 mw=1,71 md=1 s=0,99
		5	4	3	2	1		
The choice appeared well-founded to me.	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	13,3%	26,7%	60%	Strongly agree	n=15 mw=1,53 md=1 s=0,74
		5	4	3	2	1		
Dissemination of subject matter was appropriately placed over the semester.	Strongly disagree	0%	6,3%	18,8%	31,3%	43,8%	Strongly agree	n=16 mw=1,88 md=2 s=0,96
		5	4	3	2	1		
Course content was presented in a comprehensible manner.	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	11,8%	29,4%	58,8%	Strongly agree	n=17 mw=1,53 md=1 s=0,72
		5	4	3	2	1		
Instructor illustrated subject matter with examples from the business world and from current research.	Strongly disagree	0%	5,9%	0%	23,5%	70,6%	Strongly agree	n=17 mw=1,41 md=1 s=0,8
		5	4	3	2	1		
Where appropriate instructor drew parallels to business-related disciplines.	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	0%	43,8%	56,3%	Strongly agree	n=16 mw=1,44 md=1 s=0,51
		5	4	3	2	1		
Instructor incorporated audio-visual media effectively (e. g., blackboard, overhead projector, video, beamer).	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	11,8%	29,4%	58,8%	Strongly agree	n=17 mw=1,53 md=1 s=0,72
		5	4	3	2	1		
Visual materials were easy to read and follow.	Strongly disagree	6,3%	0%	0%	31,3%	62,5%	Strongly agree	n=16 mw=1,56 md=1 s=1,03
		5	4	3	2	1		

Instructor provided the opportunity for questions regarding content.	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	5,9%	17,6%	76,5%	Strongly agree	n=17 mw=1,29 md=1 s=0,59
		5	4	3	2	1		

Answers given by the instructor were helpful in clarifying uncertainties.	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	0%	35,3%	64,7%	Strongly agree	n=17 mw=1,35 md=1 s=0,49
		5	4	3	2	1		
Recommended reading materials (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were useful in facilitating understanding of course content.	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	11,8%	17,6%	70,6%	Strongly agree	n=17 mw=1,41 md=1 s=0,71
		5	4	3	2	1		
Recommended reading materials (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were readily available.	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	0%	12,5%	87,5%	Strongly agree	n=16 mw=1,13 md=1 s=0,34
		5	4	3	2	1		
Instructor's manner of speaking was clear and audible.	Strongly disagree	0%	6,3%	12,5%	18,8%	62,5%	Strongly agree	n=16 mw=1,63 md=1 s=0,96
		5	4	3	2	1		
Instructor spoke at an appropriate speed.	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	14,3%	14,3%	71,4%	Strongly agree	n=14 mw=1,43 md=1 s=0,76
		5	4	3	2	1		
Instructor's lecturing style sustained my attention.	Strongly disagree	5,9%	0%	5,9%	35,3%	52,9%	Strongly agree	n=17 mw=1,71 md=1 s=1,05
		5	4	3	2	1		
Instructor was open to subject-oriented issues.	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	17,6%	5,9%	76,5%	Strongly agree	n=17 mw=1,41 md=1 s=0,8
		5	4	3	2	1		
Instructor was open and friendly towards students.	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	0%	11,8%	88,2%	Strongly agree	n=17 mw=1,12 md=1 s=0,33
		5	4	3	2	1		
Instructor was able to arouse my interest in the subject.	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	6,7%	33,3%	60%	Strongly agree	n=15 mw=1,47 md=1 s=0,64
		5	4	3	2	1		

Evaluation of general satisfaction with the course

Overall, I am satisfied with the course.	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	0%	47,1%	52,9%	Strongly agree	n=17 mw=1,47 md=1 s=0,51
		5	4	3	2	1		

Evaluation of teaching and learning environment

Prior knowledge and experience helped me master the course content.	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	11,8%	29,4%	58,8%	Strongly agree	n=17 mw=1,53 md=1 s=0,72
		5	4	3	2	1		
Technical equipment (overhead projector, beamer, blackboard, microphone, etc.) was always ready for use and functioned properly).	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	0%	17,6%	82,4%	Strongly agree	n=17 mw=1,18 md=1 s=0,39
		5	4	3	2	1		

Size of the room was adequate for the course.



n=17
mw=1,06
md=1
s=0,24

The room setup (seating, tables, lighting, ventilation, etc.) was satisfactory.



n=16
mw=1,06
md=1
s=0,25

Profillinie

Teilbereich: Fakultät für Betriebswirtschaftslehre
Name der/des Lehrenden: Evaluationsstelle der Fakultät für BWL
Titel der Lehrveranstaltung: (Name der Umfrage) TAX 630 International Business Taxation | Vorlesung - Herr Professor Dr. Christoph Spengel

Verwendete Werte in der Profillinie: Mittelwert

Evaluation of the course



Course objectives were clearly stated.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=15	mw=1,53 md=1,00 s=0,83
Course requirements and criteria for grading were clearly explained.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=16	mw=1,94 md=2,00 s=1,18
The course was well structured.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=17	mw=1,35 md=1,00 s=0,49
The choice of topics was explained by the instructor.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=17	mw=1,71 md=1,00 s=0,99
The choice appeared well-founded to me.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=15	mw=1,53 md=1,00 s=0,74
Dissemination of subject matter was appropriately placed over the semester.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=16	mw=1,88 md=2,00 s=0,96
Course content was presented in a comprehensible manner.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=17	mw=1,53 md=1,00 s=0,72
Instructor illustrated subject matter with examples from the business world and from current research.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=17	mw=1,41 md=1,00 s=0,80
Where appropriate instructor drew parallels to business-related disciplines.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=16	mw=1,44 md=1,00 s=0,51
Instructor incorporated audio-visual media effectively (e.g., blackboard, overhead projector, video, beamer).	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=17	mw=1,53 md=1,00 s=0,72
Visual materials were easy to read and follow.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=16	mw=1,56 md=1,00 s=1,03



Instructor provided the opportunity for questions regarding content.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=17	mw=1,29 md=1,00 s=0,59
Answers given by the instructor were helpful in clarifying uncertainties.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=17	mw=1,35 md=1,00 s=0,49
Recommended reading materials (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were useful in facilitating understanding of course content.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=17	mw=1,41 md=1,00 s=0,71
Recommended reading materials (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were readily available.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=16	mw=1,13 md=1,00 s=0,34
Instructor's manner of speaking was clear and audible.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=16	mw=1,63 md=1,00 s=0,96
Instructor spoke at an appropriate speed.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=14	mw=1,43 md=1,00 s=0,76
Instructor's lecturing style sustained my attention.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=17	mw=1,71 md=1,00 s=1,05
Instructor was open to subject-oriented issues.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=17	mw=1,41 md=1,00 s=0,80
Instructor was open and friendly towards students.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=17	mw=1,12 md=1,00 s=0,33
Instructor was able to arouse my interest in the subject.	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	n=15	mw=1,47 md=1,00 s=0,64

Evaluation of general satisfaction with the course

Overall, I am satisfied with the course.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=17 mw=1,47 md=1,00 s=0,51

Evaluation of teaching and learning environment

Prior knowledge and experience helped me master the course content.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=17 mw=1,53 md=1,00 s=0,72
Technical equipment (overhead projector, beamer, blackboard, microphone, etc.) was always ready for use and functioned properly).	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=17 mw=1,18 md=1,00 s=0,39
Size of the room was adequate for the course.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=17 mw=1,06 md=1,00 s=0,24
The room setup (seating, tables, lighting, ventilation, etc.) was satisfactory.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=16 mw=1,06 md=1,00 s=0,25