Professor Dr. Christoph Spengel


TAX 630 International Business Taxation (6004a|FS2017) Erfasste Fragebögen = 10



Auswertungsteil der geschlossenen Fragen

Legende Relative Häufigkeiten der Antworten Std.-Abw. Mittelwert Quantil Median n=Anzahl mw=Mittelwert md=Median s=Std.-Abw. E.=Enthaltung 0% 0% Fragetext Rechter Pol Linker Pol Skala Histogramm **Personal Data** Course of Study n=9 BWL 88.9% BWL i.Q. 0% VWL 0% Wifo 0% Wi.-Päd. 0% Philologie/BaKuWi/MaKuWi 0% Untern.-Jur. 11.1% 0% Other Targeted degree: n=10 Bachelor 0% Master 100% 0% Diplom Magister 0% 0% Other 0% Semester (only for your actual course of study): n=10 60% 10% 30% I attended the course regularly: n=9 66.7% Yes 33.3% I am an exchange student: 25% 75%

Evaluation of the course 0% 55,6% Course objectives were clearly stated. n=9 mw=1,56 md=2 s=0,53 Strongly disagree Strongly agree 5 0% 0% 0% 50% 50% Course requirements and criteria for grading were n=8 Strongly disagree Strongly agree mw=1,5 md=1,5 clearly explained. s=0.530% 0% 0% 55,6% n=9 mw=1,44 md=1 s=0,53 The course was well structured. Strongly disagree Strongly agree 5 0% 0% 11.1% 33.3% 55.6% The choice of topics was explained by the instructor. n=9 Strongly disagree Strongly agree mw=1,56 md=1 s=0,73 0% 0% 0% n=9 mw=1,44 md=1 s=0,53 The choice appeared well-founded to me. Strongly disagree Strongly agree 11,1% 44,4% n=9 mw=1,67 md=2 s=0,71 Dissemination of subject matter was appropriately Strongly disagree Strongly agree placed over the semester. 5 0% 0% 0% 44.4% 55.6% Course content was presented in a comprehensible n=9 Strongly disagree Strongly agree mw=1,44 md=1 manner. s=0.53 10% 90% Instructor illustrated subject matter with examples from n=10 Strongly disagree Strongly agree mw=1,1 md=1 s=0,32 the business world and from current research. 0% 0% 11.1% 22.2% 66.7% Where appropriate instructor drew parallels to businessn=9 Strongly disagree Strongly agree mw=1,44 md=1 s=0,73 related disciplines. 5 10% 0% 10% Instructor incorporated audio-visual media effectively (e. n=10 Strongly disagree Strongly agree mw=2 md=2 s=1,25 g., blackboard, overhead projector, video, beamer). 5 .3 0% 0% 55,6% Visual materials were easy to read and follow. n=9 mw=1,56 md=2 s=0,53 Strongly disagree Strongly agree 5 0% 0% 0% 11.1% 88.9% Instructor provided the opportunity for questions Strongly disagree Strongly agree mw=1,11 md=1 s=0,33 regarding content. 5





Profillinie

Teilbereich: Fakultät für Betriebswirtschaftslehre

Name der/des Lehrenden: Titel der Lehrveranstaltung:

Professor Dr. Christoph Spengel TAX 630 International Business Taxation

(Name der Umfrage)

Verwendete Werte in der Profillinie: Mittelwert

Evaluation of the course

Course objectives were clearly stated.

Course requirements and criteria for grading were clearly explained

The course was well structured.

The choice of topics was explained by the instructor.

The choice appeared well-founded to me.

Dissemination of subject matter was appropriately placed over the semester.


Course content was presented in a comprehensible


Instructor illustrated subject matter with examples from the business world and from current research.

Where appropriate instructor drew parallels to business-related disciplines.

Instructor incorporated audio-visual media effectively (e.g., blackboard, overhead projector, video, beamer)

Visual materials were easy to read and follow.





Instructor provided the opportunity for questions regarding content.

Answers given by the instructor were helpful in clarifying uncertainties.

Recommended reading materials (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were useful in facilitating understanding of course content.

Recommended reading materials (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were readily available.

Instructor's manner of speaking was clear and


Instructor spoke at an appropriate speed.

Instructor's lecturing style sustained my attention.

Instructor was open to subject-oriented issues.

Instructor was open and friendly towards students.

Instructor was able to arouse my interest in the subject



n=9 mw=1,22 md=1,00 s=0,67 n=10 mw=1.40 md=1.00 s=0.52 mw=1,10 md=1,00 s=0,32

mw=1.11 md=1.00 s=0.33

mw=1,50 md=1,50 s=0,53

n=10

n=9

n=9 mw=1,33 md=1,00 s=0,71

mw=1,90 md=2,00 s=0,99


n=8 mw=1,38 md=1,00 s=0,52

mw=1,00 md=1,00 s=0,00

mw=1,33 md=1,00 s=0,71

15.03.2017 EvaSys Auswertung Seite 5

Evaluation of general satisfaction with the course






Evaluation of teaching and learning environment

Prior knowledge and experience helped me master the course content.

Technical equipment (overhead projector, beamer, blackboard, microphone, etc.) was always ready for use and functioned properly).

Size of the room was adequate for the course.

The room setup (seating, tables, lighting, ventilation, etc.) was satisfactory.



n=10 mw=1,60 md=1,00 s=0,84 n=9 mw=1,33 md=1,00 s=0,71

n=10 mw=1,00 md=1,00 s=0,00

n=10 mw=1,30 md=1,00 s=0,48