

Evaluation of the course				
Course objectives were clearly stated.	Strongly disagree	7,1% 0% 7,1% 57,1% 28,6% 5 4 3 2 1	Strongly agree	n=14 mw=2 md=2 s=1,04
Course requirements and criteria for grading were clearly explained.	Strongly disagree	7,1% 0% 14,3% 50% 28,6% 5 4 3 2 1	Strongly agree	n=14 mw=2,07 md=2 s=1,07
The course was well structured.	Strongly disagree	7,1% 0% 21,4% 42,9% 28,6%	Strongly agree	n=14 mw=2,14 md=2 s=1,1
The choice of topics was explained by the instructor.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=14 mw=1,86 md=2 s=0,77
The choice appeared well-founded to me.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=14 mw=1,79 md=1,5 s=0,97
Dissemination of subject matter was appropriately placed over the semester.	Strongly disagree	7,1% 7,1% 35,7% 28,6% 21,4% 5 4 3 2 1	Strongly agree	n=14 mw=2,5 md=2,5 s=1,16
Course content was presented in a comprehensible manner.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=14 mw=1,93 md=2 s=1
Instructor illustrated subject matter with examples from the business world and from current research.	Strongly disagree	7,1% 0% 0% 14,3% 78,6% 5 4 3 2 1	Strongly agree	n=14 mw=1,43 md=1 s=1,09
Where appropriate instructor drew parallels to business- related disciplines.	Strongly disagree	7,1% 0% 14,3% 42,9% 35,7% 5 4 3 2 1	Strongly agree	n=14 mw=2 md=2 s=1,11
Instructor incorporated audio-visual media effectively (e. g., blackboard, overhead projector, video, beamer).	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=14 mw=2,29 md=2 s=1,07
Visual materials were easy to read and follow.	Strongly disagree	0% 21,4% 7,1% 50% 21,4% 5 4 3 2 1	Strongly agree	n=14 mw=2,29 md=2 s=1,07
Instructor provided the opportunity for questions regarding content.	Strongly disagree	7,1% 0% 0% 28,6% 64,3% 5 4 3 2 1	Strongly agree	n=14 mw=1,57 md=1 s=1,09

Answers given by the instructor were helpful in clarifying uncertainties.	Strongly disagree	7,1%	0%	14,3%	21,4% 57,1%	Strongly agree	n=14 mw=1,79 md=1 s=1,19
Recommended reading materials (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were useful in facilitating understanding of course content.	Strongly disagree	0%	7,1%	14,3%	35,7% 42,99	% Strongly agree	n=14 mw=1,86 md=2 s=0,95
Recommended reading materials (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were readily available.	Strongly disagree	0%	7,1%	0%	42,9% 50%	Strongly agree	n=14 mw=1,64 md=1,5 s=0,84
Instructor's manner of speaking was clear and audible.	Strongly disagree	0%	7,7%	0%	2 1	Strongly agree	n=13 mw=1,77 md=2 s=0,83
Instructor spoke at an appropriate speed.	Strongly disagree	7,1%	7,1%	0% 	42,9% 42,9%	Strongly agree	n=14 mw=1,93 md=2 s=1,21
Instructor's lecturing style sustained my attention.	Strongly disagree	0%	7,1%	28,6%	28,6% 35,7%	% Strongly agree	n=14 mw=2,07 md=2 s=1
Instructor was open to subject-oriented issues.	Strongly disagree	7,1%	0%	7,1%	35,7% 50%	Strongly agree	n=14 mw=1,79 md=1,5 s=1,12
Instructor was open and friendly towards students.	Strongly disagree	7,7%	0%	0%	2 1	Strongly agree	n=13 mw=1,46 md=1 s=1,13
Instructor was able to arouse my interest in the subject.	Strongly disagree	7,1%	0%	21,4%	35,7% 35,7%	% Strongly agree	n=14 mw=2,07 md=2 s=1,14
Evaluation of general satisfaction with the course)						
Overall, I am satisfied with the course.	Strongly disagree	7,1%	0%	14,3%	50% 28,69 2 1	Strongly agree	n=14 mw=2,07 md=2 s=1,07
Evaluation of teaching and learning environment							
Prior knowledge and experience helped me master the course content.	Strongly disagree	14,3%	21,4%	7,1%	50% 7,1% 2 1	Strongly agree	n=14 mw=2,86 md=2 s=1,29
Technical equipment (overhead projector, beamer, blackboard, microphone, etc.) was always ready for use and functioned properly).	Strongly disagree	0%	7,1%	7,1%	35,7% 50%	Strongly agree	n=14 mw=1,71 md=1,5 s=0,91



Profillinie

Teilbereich:

Fakultät für Betriebswirtschaftslehre Name der/des Lehrenden: Professor Dr. Christoph Spengel Titel der Lehrveranstaltung: TAX 630 International Business Taxation | Lecture - Wed, B2 - Herr Prof. Dr. Christoph Spengel (Name der Umfrage)

Verwendete Werte in der Profillinie: Mittelwert

Evaluation of the course

	1 1		1		
Course objectives were clearly stated.	Strongly disagree	+ +	Strongly agree	n=14	mw=2,00 md=2,00 s=1,04
Course requirements and criteria for grading were clearly explained.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=14	mw=2,07 md=2,00 s=1,07
The course was well structured.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=14	mw=2,14 md=2,00 s=1,10
The choice of topics was explained by the instructor.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=14	mw=1,86 md=2,00 s=0,77
The choice appeared well-founded to me.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=14	mw=1,79 md=1,50 s=0,97
Dissemination of subject matter was appropriately placed over the semester.	Strongly disagree	+	Strongly agree	n=14	mw=2,50 md=2,50 s=1,16
Course content was presented in a comprehensible manner.	Strongly disagree	+	Strongly agree	n=14	mw=1,93 md=2,00 s=1,00
Instructor illustrated subject matter with examples from the business world and from current research.	Strongly disagree	+	Strongly agree	n=14	mw=1,43 md=1,00 s=1,09
Where appropriate instructor drew parallels to business-related disciplines.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=14	mw=2,00 md=2,00 s=1,11
Instructor incorporated audio-visual media effectively (e.g., blackboard, overhead projector, video, beamer).	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=14	mw=2,29 md=2,00 s=1,07
Visual materials were easy to read and follow.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=14	mw=2,29 md=2,00 s=1,07

Instructor provided the opportunity for questions regarding content.	Strongly		Strongly agree	n=14	mw=1,57 md=1,00 s=1,09
Answers given by the instructor were helpful in clarifying uncertainties.	Stronglydisagree		Strongly agree	n=14	mw=1,79 md=1,00 s=1,19
Recommended reading materials (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were useful in facilitating understanding of course content.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=14	mw=1,86 md=2,00 s=0,95
Recommended reading materials (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were readily available.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=14	mw=1,64 md=1,50 s=0,84
Instructor's manner of speaking was clear and audible.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=13	mw=1,77 md=2,00 s=0,83
Instructor spoke at an appropriate speed.	Strongly disagree	+	Strongly agree	n=14	mw=1,93 md=2,00 s=1,21
Instructor's lecturing style sustained my attention.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=14	mw=2,07 md=2,00 s=1,00
Instructor was open to subject-oriented issues.	Strongly disagree	\	Strongly agree	n=14	mw=1,79 md=1,50 s=1,12
Instructor was open and friendly towards students.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=13	mw=1,46 md=1,00 s=1,13
Instructor was able to arouse my interest in the subject.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=14	mw=2,07 md=2,00 s=1,14

Evaluation of general satisfaction with the course						
Overall, I am satisfied with the course.	Strongly disagree		_ Strongly agree	n=14	mw=2,07 md=2,00 s=1,07	
Evaluation of teaching and learning	environment					
Prior knowledge and experience helped me master the course content.	Strongly		Strongly agree	n=14	mw=2,86 md=2,00 s=1,29	
Technical equipment (overhead projector, beamer, blackboard, microphone, etc.) was always ready for use and functioned properly).	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=14	mw=1,71 md=1,50 s=0,91	
Size of the room was adequate for the course.	Strongly		Strongly agree	n=14	mw=1,57 md=1,00 s=1,09	
The room setup (seating, tables, lighting, ventilation, etc.) was satisfactory.	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	n=14	mw=1,64 md=1,00 s=1,08	