

Stefan Scharnowski


FIN 604 STATA in Finance | Tutorial (10456a|FS2020)
No. of responses = 13



Survey Results

Legend


Question text




n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Course


The course contents were very well structured.




The course contents were illustrated very well by using appropriate examples.




The instructor motivated me.




The instructor encouraged the open and creative participation of students.




The instructor demonstrated a high level of commitment in this course.



In relation to the number of ECTS credits I receive, the average workload for this course is ... (One ECTS credit corresponds to an average workload of approx. 30 hours.)




I had sufficient previous knowledge to follow the course.




Teacher


Instructor's explanations were clear.




Students were encouraged to form an opinion on the content of the course.




Proposed objectives agreed with those actually taught so I knew where course was going.




The instructor was well-prepared with regard to the content of the course.




The material distributed (literature, slides, etc.) helped me acquire the knowledge.




The instructor created a link between theory and practice.




The instructor conveyed why the content is valuable and useful.




The instructor was polite with the students.



The instructor was cooperative and open-minded.




Evaluation criteria were transparent.




Learning Outcome


I improved my methodological competence thanks to this course.




I improved my professional competence thanks to this course.



I improved my presentation skills thanks to this course.




My interest in the subject has increased as a consequence of this course.




Overall


Overall, I am very satisfied with the instructor.



Overall, I am very satisfied with the course.



I learned a lot in this course.



Profile

Subunit: **Fakultät für Betriebswirtschaftslehre**
 Name of the instructor: **Stefan Scharnowski**
 Name of the course: **FIN 604 STATA in Finance | Tutorial (10456a|FS2020)**
 (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

Course

The course contents were very well structured.	I completely agree		I do not agree at all	n=12	av.=1,8	md=1,0	dev.=1,3
The course contents were illustrated very well by using appropriate examples.	I completely agree		I do not agree at all	n=12	av.=1,6	md=1,0	dev.=0,9
The instructor motivated me.	I completely agree		I do not agree at all	n=12	av.=1,8	md=1,0	dev.=1,2
The instructor encouraged the open and creative participation of students.	I completely agree		I do not agree at all	n=12	av.=1,5	md=1,0	dev.=0,9
The instructor demonstrated a high level of commitment in this course.	I completely agree		I do not agree at all	n=11	av.=1,8	md=1,0	dev.=1,3
In relation to the number of ECTS credits I receive, the average workload for this course is ... (One ECTS credit corresponds to an average I had sufficient previous knowledge to follow the course.	very high		very low	n=12	av.=2,8	md=3,0	dev.=1,5
	I completely agree		I do not agree at all	n=13	av.=2,5	md=3,0	dev.=1,3

Teacher

Instructor's explanations were clear.	I completely agree		I do not agree at all	n=13	av.=2,2	md=2,0	dev.=1,5
Students were encouraged to form an opinion on the content of the course.	I completely agree		I do not agree at all	n=13	av.=2,3	md=2,0	dev.=1,3
Proposed objectives agreed with those actually taught so I knew where course was going.	I completely agree		I do not agree at all	n=13	av.=2,2	md=1,0	dev.=1,5
The instructor was well-prepared with regard to the content of the course.	I completely agree		I do not agree at all	n=13	av.=1,9	md=1,0	dev.=1,4
The material distributed (literature, slides, etc.) helped me acquire the knowledge.	I completely agree		I do not agree at all	n=13	av.=1,7	md=1,0	dev.=1,5
The instructor created a link between theory and practice.	I completely agree		I do not agree at all	n=13	av.=2,3	md=2,0	dev.=1,7
The instructor conveyed why the content is valuable and useful.	I completely agree		I do not agree at all	n=13	av.=2,0	md=1,0	dev.=1,5
The instructor was polite with the students.	I completely agree		I do not agree at all	n=12	av.=1,3	md=1,0	dev.=1,2
The instructor was cooperative and open-minded.	I completely agree		I do not agree at all	n=12	av.=1,4	md=1,0	dev.=1,2
Evaluation criteria were transparent.	I completely agree		I do not agree at all	n=12	av.=1,8	md=1,5	dev.=0,9

Learning Outcome

I improved my methodological competence thanks to this course.	I completely agree		I do not agree at all	n=10	av.=1,3	md=1,0	dev.=0,7
I improved my professional competence thanks to this course.	I completely agree		I do not agree at all	n=13	av.=2,0	md=1,0	dev.=1,4
I improved my presentation skills thanks to this course.	I completely agree		I do not agree at all	n=9	av.=2,7	md=3,0	dev.=1,7



Overall



Comments Report

Open Questions

What did you particularly like about this course?

- Good introduction/overview, kind instructors, some useful tips
- I really like that , for some sessions, the instructor gave us enough time to try out the exercise problems by ourselves, and waited until at least 20 of us were finished before walking us through the solutions.
- Stefan! He did a really good job in explaining the content. Also the part on programming basics was very well done and explained in a understandable way. The other instructors could have done better and show more commitment/ motivation.
- Very nice instructors!
The exercises help to understand the content very much.
- very practical.

What should be improved?

- Hushed too much through different commands, especially on day 2(&3). At the beginning, we still had the chance to practice after almost every block, this diminished throughout the course (even the first day). It was sometimes tough to follow, or, I could follow but was not sure afterwards if I would be able to implement the code by myself.
I realized in the case study and exam that I can do what we did before, but that it's still tough for me to develop something new (for example as the code isn't always 100% logic (or I didn't get it yet).
Emphasis could have been more on the crucial / powerful commands, e.g. sortby or xtreg, and various applications (what can be combined and how, what doesn't work without workarounds, ...).
- Some dozent speaks like a train and no time to practice. Why dont we open python course
- Sometimes, the time given for the exercises was a little too short, meanwhile the classes were over 20 min early. Next time, maybe give students a little more time to work on some of the more complicated exercises. I think it would fit well into the planned schedule.

I personally had a short look at Stata before the course and really benefited from that. Maybe it is a good idea to upload the slides a couple of days before the course starts, so students can already become acquainted with the content a little bit. (I know they were uploaded before, but I only came across them "by accident".)
- Stick to the times you give us. It is confusing if the actual courses don't take place at the time agreed on paper.
- Very hard earned to points in the exam