


PORTAL Fakultät für Betriebswirtschaftslehre
 FIN 801 Discrete-Time Finance - Professor Dr. Erik Theissen (53672)
 Erfasste Fragebögen = 7



Auswertungsteil der geschlossenen Fragen


Legende

Frage**text**




1. Personal data

1.1) Course of Study




1.2) Targeted degree



1.3) Semester (only for your actual course of study):



1.4) I attended the course regularly:



1.5) I am an exchange student:



2. Evaluation of the course

2.1)	Course objectives were clearly stated.		Strongly agree	n=7 mw=1.4 md=1 s=0.8
2.2)	Course requirements and criteria for grading were clearly explained.		Strongly agree	n=7 mw=1.7 md=2 s=0.8
2.3)	The course was well structured .		Strongly agree	n=7 mw=1.9 md=1 s=1.5
2.4)	The choice of topics was explained by the instructor.		Strongly agree	n=7 mw=2 md=2 s=0.6
2.5)	The choice appeared well-founded to me.		Strongly agree	n=7 mw=1.6 md=2 s=0.5
2.6)	Dissemination of subject matter was appropriately placed over the semester.		Strongly agree	n=7 mw=2.6 md=2 s=0.8
2.7)	Course content was presented in a comprehensible manner .		Strongly agree	n=7 mw=1.1 md=1 s=0.4
2.8)	Instructor illustrated subject matter with examples from the business world and from current research .		Strongly agree	n=6 mw=1.5 md=1.5 s=0.5
2.9)	Where appropriate instructor drew parallels to business-related disciplines .		Strongly agree	n=6 mw=1.8 md=2 s=0.4
2.10)	Instructor incorporated audio-visual media effectively (e.g., blackboard, overhead projector, video, beamer).		Strongly agree	n=6 mw=1.5 md=1 s=0.8
2.11)	Visual materials were easy to read and follow.		Strongly agree	n=7 mw=1.6 md=1 s=0.8
2.12)	Instructor provided the opportunity for questions regarding content.		Strongly agree	n=7 mw=1 md=1 s=0
2.13)	Answers given by the instructor were helpful in clarifying uncertainties.		Strongly agree	n=7 mw=1 md=1 s=0

2.14)	Instructor encouraged active participation throughout the course.		Strongly agree	n=7 mw=1.9 md=2 s=0.7
2.15)	The course offered ample time for discussion of important aspects of course content.		Strongly agree	n=6 mw=2 md=2 s=0.9
2.16)	Contributions of instructor		Strongly agree	n=7 mw=1.1 md=1 s=0.4
2.17)	Papers and reports of other participants		Strongly agree	n=3 mw=1.7 md=2 s=0.6
2.18)	Preparation of own paper		Strongly agree	n=3 mw=1.3 md=1 s=0.6
2.19)	Discussions in the course		Strongly agree	n=6 mw=1.3 md=1 s=0.5
2.20)	Teamwork		Strongly agree	n=3 mw=2 md=2 s=0
2.21)	Individual work		Strongly agree	n=5 mw=1.4 md=1 s=0.5
2.22)	Special session (e.g., guest speaker)		Strongly agree	n=1 mw=2 md=2 s=0
2.23)	Reading of listed literature		Strongly agree	n=5 mw=1.6 md=1 s=0.9
2.24)	Revision of lecture notes		Strongly agree	n=5 mw=2.2 md=2 s=0.8
2.25)	Visit to instructor during office hour		Strongly agree	n=1 mw=1 md=1 s=0
2.26)	Recommended reading materials (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were useful in facilitating understanding of course content.		Strongly agree	n=6 mw=1.5 md=1.5 s=0.5


2.27)	Recommended reading materials (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were readily available .		Strongly agree	n=7 mw=2.4 md=2 s=1.3
2.28)	Instructor's manner of speaking was clear and audible.		Strongly agree	n=7 mw=1 md=1 s=0
2.29)	Instructor spoke at an appropriate speed .		Strongly agree	n=7 mw=1.3 md=1 s=0.5
2.30)	Instructor's lecturing style sustained my attention.		Strongly agree	n=7 mw=1.4 md=1 s=0.5
2.31)	Instructor was open to subject-oriented issues .		Strongly agree	n=7 mw=1.4 md=1 s=0.5
2.32)	Instructor was open and friendly towards students.		Strongly agree	n=6 mw=1 md=1 s=0
2.33)	Instructor responded to students' ideas and opinions in a constructive manner.		Strongly agree	n=7 mw=1.1 md=1 s=0.4
2.34)	Instructor included questions, exercises, etc. in the course which enabled students to assess their progress .		Strongly agree	n=7 mw=2.1 md=2 s=0.9
2.35)	Instructor was able to arouse my interest in the subject.		Strongly agree	n=7 mw=1.6 md=1 s=0.8
2.36)	The majority of the students was well prepared .		Strongly agree	n=7 mw=1.9 md=2 s=0.9
2.37)	The majority of students actively participated in the course.		Strongly agree	n=7 mw=2.1 md=2 s=1.1

3. Evaluation of general satisfaction with the course


3.1)	Overall , I am satisfied with the course.		Strongly agree	n=7 mw=1.3 md=1 s=0.5
------	---	--	----------------	--------------------------------

4. Evaluation of teaching and learning environment


4.1) **Prior knowledge** and **experience** helped me master the course content.




4.2) **Technical equipment** (overhead projector, beamer, blackboard, microphone, etc.) was always ready for use and functioned properly).



4.3) **Size of the room** was adequate for the course.




4.4) The **room setup** (seating, tables, lighting, ventilation, etc.) was satisfactory.




Profillinie


Teilbereich: Fakultät für Betriebswirtschaftslehre
 Name der/des Lehrenden: PORTAL Fakultät für Betriebswirtschaftslehre
 Titel der Lehrveranstaltung: FIN 801 Discrete-Time Finance - Professor Dr. Erik Theissen
 (Name der Umfrage)

2. Evaluation of the course





3. Evaluation of general satisfaction with the course



4. Evaluation of teaching and learning environment

