

Professor Dr. Erik Theissen


FIN 602 Trading and Exchanges | Lecture & Exercise - Mo, B2 (7076a|HS2017)
Erfasste Fragebögen = 54



Auswertungsteil der geschlossenen Fragen

Legende


Frage**text**




n=Anzahl
mw=Mittelwert
md=Median
s=Std.-Abw.
E.=Enthaltung

Personal Data


Course of Study



Targeted degree:



Semester (only for your actual course of study):



I attended the course regularly:




I am an exchange student:




Evaluation of the course


Course objectives were clearly stated.




Course requirements and criteria for grading were clearly explained.




The course was well structured.




The choice of topics was explained by the instructor.




The choice appeared well-founded to me.




Dissemination of subject matter was appropriately placed over the semester.




Course content was presented in a comprehensible manner.




Instructor illustrated subject matter with examples from the business world and from current research.




Where appropriate instructor drew parallels to business-related disciplines.




Instructor incorporated audio-visual media effectively (e.g., blackboard, overhead projector, video, beamer).




Visual materials were easy to read and follow.




Instructor provided the opportunity for questions regarding content.




Answers given by the instructor were helpful in clarifying uncertainties.




Recommended reading materials (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were useful in facilitating understanding of course content.




Recommended reading materials (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were readily available.




Instructor's manner of speaking was clear and audible.




Instructor spoke at an appropriate speed.




Instructor's lecturing style sustained my attention.




Instructor was open to subject-oriented issues.



Instructor was open and friendly towards students.




Instructor was able to arouse my interest in the subject.




Evaluation of general satisfaction with the course

Overall, I am satisfied with the course.




Evaluation of teaching and learning environment


Prior knowledge and experience helped me master the course content.




Technical equipment (overhead projector, beamer, blackboard, microphone, etc.) was always ready for use and functioned properly).



Size of the room was adequate for the course.



The room setup (seating, tables, lighting, ventilation, etc.) was satisfactory.



Profillinie

Teilbereich: Fakultät für Betriebswirtschaftslehre

Name der/des Lehrenden: Professor Dr. Erik Theissen

Titel der Lehrveranstaltung: FIN 602 Trading and Exchanges | Lecture & Exercise - Mo, B2 (7076a|HS2017)
(Name der Umfrage)

Verwendete Werte in der Profillinie: Mittelwert


Evaluation of the course

Course objectives were clearly stated.	Strongly disagree								Strongly agree	n=52	mw=1,44	md=1,00	s=0,80
Course requirements and criteria for grading were clearly explained.	Strongly disagree								Strongly agree	n=52	mw=1,44	md=1,00	s=0,87
The course was well structured.	Strongly disagree								Strongly agree	n=51	mw=1,51	md=1,00	s=1,01
The choice of topics was explained by the instructor.	Strongly disagree								Strongly agree	n=52	mw=1,58	md=1,00	s=0,98
The choice appeared well-founded to me.	Strongly disagree								Strongly agree	n=53	mw=1,49	md=1,00	s=0,80
Dissemination of subject matter was appropriately placed over the semester.	Strongly disagree								Strongly agree	n=53	mw=1,43	md=1,00	s=0,91
Course content was presented in a comprehensible manner.	Strongly disagree								Strongly agree	n=53	mw=1,32	md=1,00	s=0,85
Instructor illustrated subject matter with examples from the business world and from current research.	Strongly disagree								Strongly agree	n=53	mw=1,38	md=1,00	s=0,88
Where appropriate instructor drew parallels to business-related disciplines.	Strongly disagree								Strongly agree	n=53	mw=1,47	md=1,00	s=0,95
Instructor incorporated audio-visual media effectively (e.g., blackboard, overhead projector, video, beamer).	Strongly disagree								Strongly agree	n=52	mw=1,58	md=1,00	s=0,96
Visual materials were easy to read and follow.	Strongly disagree								Strongly agree	n=53	mw=1,57	md=1,00	s=1,01

Instructor provided the opportunity for questions regarding content.	Strongly disagree								Strongly agree	n=51	mw=1,22	md=1,00	s=0,83
Answers given by the instructor were helpful in clarifying uncertainties.	Strongly disagree								Strongly agree	n=52	mw=1,29	md=1,00	s=0,82
Recommended reading materials (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were useful in facilitating understanding of course content.	Strongly disagree								Strongly agree	n=53	mw=1,60	md=1,00	s=1,06
Recommended reading materials (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were readily available.	Strongly disagree								Strongly agree	n=51	mw=1,55	md=1,00	s=1,01
Instructor's manner of speaking was clear and audible.	Strongly disagree								Strongly agree	n=52	mw=1,27	md=1,00	s=0,84
Instructor spoke at an appropriate speed.	Strongly disagree								Strongly agree	n=52	mw=1,31	md=1,00	s=0,85
Instructor's lecturing style sustained my attention.	Strongly disagree								Strongly agree	n=52	mw=1,44	md=1,00	s=0,92
Instructor was open to subject-oriented issues.	Strongly disagree								Strongly agree	n=51	mw=1,27	md=1,00	s=0,90
Instructor was open and friendly towards students.	Strongly disagree								Strongly agree	n=52	mw=1,23	md=1,00	s=0,83
Instructor was able to arouse my interest in the subject.	Strongly disagree								Strongly agree	n=51	mw=1,45	md=1,00	s=0,83

Evaluation of general satisfaction with the course

Overall, I am satisfied with the course.



n=53 mw=1,38 md=1,00 s=0,86

Evaluation of teaching and learning environment

Prior knowledge and experience helped me master the course content.



n=51 mw=2,41 md=2,00 s=1,22

Technical equipment (overhead projector, beamer, blackboard, microphone, etc.) was always ready for use and functioned properly.



n=53 mw=1,45 md=1,00 s=0,91

Size of the room was adequate for the course.



n=50 mw=1,40 md=1,00 s=0,97

The room setup (seating, tables, lighting, ventilation, etc.) was satisfactory.



n=52 mw=1,44 md=1,00 s=0,98

Auswertungsteil der offenen Fragen

Auto-Gruppe

What did you especially like about the course?

<p>- the clarity of the explanations from the teacher</p> <p>- the <u>very</u> interesting slides</p>	<p>Good course content design with real world examples and empirical test results.</p>
<p>Course Materials are a lot, it would be better to stream down a bit.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • very good explanations • highlighting of important topics or critical aspects to understand how different things are connected
<p>The professor always explained the course content in a comprehensive and easy to understand manner.</p> <p>I found the content to be very insightful.</p>	<p>the detail illustration of the chapters</p>
<p>I found the course very interesting, especially practical and historical aspects. As always I enjoyed the good presentation and accompanying materials. I think it is well-paced and it is possible to follow the content even for non-BWL students.</p> <p>After 3 semesters of your lectures I am very sad not to be able to hear any more from you. Thank you for such great lectures.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • clear timetable about the whole semester • good/excellent explanation about slides • active interaction during lectures by questioning & answering • excellent professor! • combine theories with empirical researches
<p>→ A good balance between theoretical and practice-related issues.</p> <p>→ Well-organized and logically structured slides</p> <p>→ Very clear way of the lecturer to present relatively sophisticated empirical studies</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • clearly structured • always like in Theissen courses that exam is at end of November rather than full exam weeks

A lot of examples from real world

Professor delivers the content of lecture in a very comprehensive way as always and ~~seems to~~ illustrate by lots of practical examples. Very attractive and practical.

The professor had a very good approach of the various topics, it was very well structured, and it gave me knowledge that I haven't before.

- The real world uses and examples
- The teaching manner

The instruction is clear.

Thank you!

Teaching Structure (Lecture + Study Questions) is very helpful. Focus on lectures also considers future and understanding of "by picture" - much better than just learning by heart.
Previous exams help to prepare.
Early exam date is good.

~~I especially like that the end of the lecture questions are solved in class at the end~~

I like that the instructor incorporates practical examples during the lectures, to better understand the topics.

Good structured
Study questions
Solid teachers

teaching in lively way
theory supported by empirical results
many examples

Prof. Theissen

Prof. Theissen

Constant business and research examples
→ sehr praktisch!

I like how Professor approaches to the topics covered. The explanations are very clear and Professor is always open to clarify all doubts or uncertainties. The best course of my career.

THE FACT THAT THERE WAS BOTH A PRACTICAL AND A THEORETICAL APPROACH.

Professor. Theissen.

Prof. Theissen :)

I liked the content of the course and the specific examples / case studies discussed in this course

Integration of end-of-lecture questions if the lecture worked well (better than separate tutorials in my opinion)

Fantastic teaching.

A good mix of lectures, questions and discussions which all helped to learn the concepts presented in the course.

What should/could be improved?

Not have the 2 sessions on the same day

Sometimes it was hard to take notes of everything the instructors said because there were so many interesting insights

My wish would be to get a more in-depth introduction into the blockchain technology. However I do understand that this might be beyond the scope of this lecture.

the exercise answers should be posted online

I wish we could have covered chapter 9, which seems quite relevant.

More numerical tasks in after chapter questions.

Sometimes the empirical papers related to the content are difficult to understand and sometimes don't know how to analyze the ~~area~~ info/data of the paper.

May be more examples from the real world, and may be it could be done by inviting some guests who work for the trading industry.

For ~~class~~ study questions, it's better to give some bulletpoint

I personally didn't like the redundancy betw. chapter 3 and the following, where everything was explained in more detail, that might be ~~just~~ just a matter of taste though.

slides chaotic -
structure of course

less focus on US markets

more focus on Asian markets
(i.e. current topic of
less regulation on Chinese
capital (foreign markets
could have been better
mechanized))