

Professor Dr. Erik Theissen


FIN 703 Seminar Market Microstructure | Seminar (7716a|HS2018)
Erfasste Fragebögen = 10



Auswertungsteil der geschlossenen Fragen

Legende

Frage-
text



Erklärung der Ampelsymbole



Der Mittelwert liegt unterhalb der Qualitätsrichtlinie.




Der Mittelwert liegt im Toleranzbereich der Qualitätsrichtlinie.



Der Mittelwert liegt innerhalb der Qualitätsrichtlinie.

Personal Data

Course of Study



Targeted degree:



Semester (only for your actual course of study):



I attended the course regularly:




I am an exchange student:




Evaluation of the course


Course objectives were clearly stated.




Course requirements and criteria for grading were clearly explained.




The course was well structured.




The choice of topics was explained by the instructor.




The choice appeared well-founded to me.




Dissemination of subject matter was appropriately placed over the semester.




Course content was presented in a comprehensible manner.




Instructor illustrated subject matter with examples from the business world and from current research.




Where appropriate instructor drew parallels to business-related disciplines.




Instructor incorporated audio-visual media effectively (e.g., blackboard, overhead projector, video, beamer).




Visual materials were easy to read and follow.




Instructor provided the opportunity for questions regarding content.




Answers given by the instructor were helpful in clarifying uncertainties.




Instructor encouraged active participation throughout the course.




The course offered ample time for discussion of important aspects of course content.




Recommended reading materials (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were useful in facilitating understanding of course content.




Recommended reading materials (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were readily available.




Contributions of instructor




Papers and reports of other participants




Preparation of own paper



Discussions in the course




Teamwork






The majority of the students was well prepared.




The majority of students actively participated in the course.




Evaluation of general satisfaction with the course

Overall, I am satisfied with the course.




Evaluation of teaching and learning environment


Prior knowledge and experience helped me master the course content.




Technical equipment (overhead projector, beamer, blackboard, microphone, etc.) was always ready for use and functioned properly.



Size of the room was adequate for the course.



The room setup (seating, tables, lighting, ventilation, etc.) was satisfactory.





Profillinie

Teilbereich: Fakultät für Betriebswirtschaftslehre
 Name der/des Lehrenden: Professor Dr. Erik Theissen
 Titel der Lehrveranstaltung: FIN 703 Seminar Market Microstructure | Seminar (7716a|HS2018)
 (Name der Umfrage)

Verwendete Werte in der Profillinie: Mittelwert

Evaluation of the course



Individual work	Strongly disagree					Strongly agree	n=10	mw=1,3	md=1,0	s=0,5
Special session (e.g., guest speaker)	Strongly disagree					Strongly agree	n=7	mw=2,0	md=2,0	s=0,8
Reading of listed literature	Strongly disagree					Strongly agree	n=9	mw=1,7	md=2,0	s=0,7
Revision of lecture notes	Strongly disagree					Strongly agree	n=9	mw=2,1	md=2,0	s=0,9
Visit to instructor during office hour	Strongly disagree					Strongly agree	n=10	mw=1,7	md=2,0	s=0,7
Instructor's manner of speaking was clear and audible.	Strongly disagree					Strongly agree	n=9	mw=1,3	md=1,0	s=0,5
Instructor spoke at an appropriate speed.	Strongly disagree					Strongly agree	n=9	mw=1,3	md=1,0	s=0,5

Evaluation of general satisfaction with the course

Instructor's lecturing style sustained my attention.	Strongly disagree					Strongly agree	n=10	mw=1,4	md=1,0	s=0,5
Instructor was open to subject-oriented issues.	Strongly disagree					Strongly agree	n=9	mw=1,3	md=1,0	s=0,5
Instructor was open and friendly towards students.	Strongly disagree					Strongly agree	n=9	mw=1,3	md=1,0	s=0,5
Instructor responded to students' ideas and opinions in a constructive manner.	Strongly disagree					Strongly agree	n=8	mw=1,3	md=1,0	s=0,5
Instructor included questions, exercises, etc. in the course which enabled students to assess their progress.	Strongly disagree					Strongly agree	n=7	mw=1,4	md=1,0	s=0,5
Instructor was able to arouse my interest in the subject.	Strongly disagree					Strongly agree	n=10	mw=1,4	md=1,0	s=0,5
The majority of the students was well prepared.	Strongly disagree					Strongly agree	n=10	mw=1,4	md=1,0	s=0,5
The majority of students actively participated in the course.	Strongly disagree					Strongly agree	n=10	mw=1,3	md=1,0	s=0,5

Evaluation of teaching and learning environment

Overall, I am satisfied with the course.	Strongly disagree					Strongly agree	n=9	mw=1,6	md=2,0	s=0,5
--	-------------------	--	--	--	--	----------------	-----	--------	--------	-------

Evaluation of teaching and learning environment

Prior knowledge and experience helped me master the course content.	Strongly disagree					Strongly agree	n=10	mw=2,2	md=2,5	s=1,1
Technical equipment (overhead projector, beamer, blackboard, microphone, etc.) was always ready for use and functioned properly).	Strongly disagree					Strongly agree	n=10	mw=1,6	md=1,5	s=0,7
Size of the room was adequate for the course.	Strongly disagree					Strongly agree	n=9	mw=1,3	md=1,0	s=0,7
The room setup (seating, tables, lighting, ventilation, etc.) was satisfactory.	Strongly disagree					Strongly agree	n=10	mw=1,3	md=1,0	s=0,7

Auswertungsteil der offenen Fragen

Auto-Gruppe

What did you especially like about the course?

- Mixture of topics, even though it sometimes made participation more difficult (due to limited knowledge).
Discussion rounds, giving the possibility to express own ideas
that the seminar was a one-day-one
- empirical work
Accessibility of Prof. Theissen
- Topics were mostly well chosen to me

What should/could be improved?

- For 6 ECTS the workload was way too high
Also I'd like to not have it during the semester break
- Sometimes joint presentation is more difficult therefore alone should be allowed
- choice of topics was clear, making the discussion interesting but also hard to contribute and follow, not linked to the own topic
- maybe to provide Stata for free
- opening hours of the PC-pool where Stata is installed